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## Abstract

This dissertation details the design, construction and characterization of a sensitive, fast ion beam spectrometer to record the absorption spectra of molecular ions. It is the first work to use the noise immune cavity-enhanced optical heterodyne molecular spectroscopy (NICE-OHMS) technique in conjunction with a fast ion beam. Additionally, it is the first work outlining direct electronic spectroscopy in an ion beam. Direct spectroscopy of a fast molecular ion beam offers many advantages over competing techniques, including the generality of the approach to any molecular ion, the complete elimination of spectral confusion due to neutral molecules, and the mass-identification of individual spectral lines. Fast ion beam spectroscopy allows for sub-Doppler absorption spectra to be recorded. While some techniques, such as velocity modulation spectroscopy, provide semi-rigorous ion/neutral discrimination, the spectrometer employed in this dissertation provides a rigorous, spatial discrimination against background neutrals. The major challenge is the intrinsic weakness of absorption and dispersion signals resulting from the relatively low ion density in the beam.

The primary goal of designing this instrument is to enable acquisition of cold, rotationally-resolved, gasphase spectra of molecular ions in the $2-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ region. For this dissertation in particular, the goal was to build an instrument able to acquire the uncooled, rotationally-resolved, gas-phase spectrum of $\mathrm{N}_{2}^{+}$in the near IR at 920 nm , using an ion beam. By characterizing this instrument in terms of linewidth and sensitivity, we are confident that we can move on to the next steps, of building a difference frequency generation laser, performing mid-IR spectroscopy, and implementing supersonic cooling. The spectra obtained in the mid-IR will be valuable for applied astronomical and fundamental spectroscopic research.

Direct infrared spectroscopy of an ion beam was pioneered by the Saykally group in 1989-1990, but has not been attempted since that time. Our ion beam instrument uses a Ti:Sapphire laser to produce near-IR (NIR) radiation at 920 nm . NICE-OHMS is set up using two electrooptic modulators and a medium finesse cavity ( $\mathrm{F}=450$ ) with a free spectral range of 113 MHz . The optical cavity increases the path length of absorption, and the heterodyne spectroscopy reduces the noise to near the shot noise limit. The laser is calibrated to sub-MHz accuracy using an optical frequency comb. A fast ion beam instrument was constructed, using the
most recent advances in ion beam technology, including cylindrical benders and a beam-modulated time-of-flight mass spectrometer. The cylindrical benders help to maximize the ion density in the interaction region, and the mass spectrometer offers improved resolution and online mass analysis. The ion beam is made collinear with the laser beam inside of the NICE-OHMS cavity. The NIR NICE-OHMS setup has a noise equivalent absorption of $2 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} \mathrm{~Hz}^{-1 / 2}$, which is 50 times more sensitive than the previous instrument. Several transitions of the $1-0$ band of the $\mathrm{A}^{2} \Pi_{u}-\mathrm{X}^{2} \Sigma_{g}^{+}$Meinel system of $\mathrm{N}_{2}^{+}$with line widths of $\sim 120 \mathrm{MHz}$ have been measured. An optical frequency comb is used to calibrate the absolute value of the transition frequencies to within 8 MHz of the values obtained for a sub-Doppler calibrated positive column discharge. The rotational temperature of the ions in the plasma was measured to be $\sim 750 \mathrm{~K}$.
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## Chapter 1

## Introduction

### 1.1 Molecular Ions

A fundamental understanding of ion chemistry has broad impact on flame chemistry [14] (including soot nucleation [15]), plasma etching processes [16], atmospheric sciences, [17] and the interstellar medium. [18,19] This is because the ions' charge makes them highly reactive, and ion chemistry dominates systems even in low relative abundances. The same reactivity that makes them interesting also makes them difficult to produce and study in large quantities, as they must be continuously created (in a gas discharge) or created and isolated (in an ion trap or beam). [6] Ions normally constitute only a few parts per million of the total gas density of a discharge. [20] Some of the methods used to study ions spectroscopically include direct spectroscopy on a DC discharge source, velocity modulation on an AC discharge source, [20] photoelectron spectroscopy, ion trap measurements, and ion beam measurements. [6]

### 1.2 Beginnings of Ion Spectroscopy

Initial spectroscopic measurements of ions were made with indirect methods, as discussed in Section 1.4. Initial optical emission and absorption studies relied on electrical discharges, which were typically spectrally congested and performed with low resolution (3 GHz). [21] Dixon and Woods demonstrated the ability of DC discharges to produce ions in densities high enough to allow for direct absorption experiments in the microwave. [3,20,22,23] Eventually tunable IR absorption work was performed in glow discharges and hollow cathode lamps. The first mid-infrared spectroscopy of a glow discharge occurred in 1980 when Oka recorded the spectrum of the $\nu_{2}$ band of $\mathrm{H}_{3}^{+}$in a DC discharge with a difference frequency generation (DFG) laser in a multi-pass configuration. [24] Other molecular ions like $\mathrm{HeH}^{+}$and $\mathrm{NeH}^{+}$soon followed. [25-27] These early spectroscopic studies on DC glow discharges and hollow cathodes were often hampered by interfering signals from neutral absorbers, which are several orders of magnitude more abundant. [28]

### 1.3 Velocity Modulation Spectroscopy

The invention of velocity modulation spectroscopy (VMS) by Rich Saykally's group [20] in 1983 allowed for the absorption signal from ions to be recorded while the absorption signal from neutral molecules was avoided. At the time, several ion beam studies had been published that yielded the absorption spectrum of $\mathrm{HeH}^{+}[29], \mathrm{D}_{3}^{+}$[30], and $\mathrm{HD}^{+}$, [31] by monitoring changes in the charge-transfer cross sections of these molecules. The velocity shift introduced by the fast ion beam allowed the ionic absorption to be shifted into resonance with a fixed frequency laser. Saykally postulated that the electric field shift of an AC voltage discharge plasma would modulate the ions by the same order of magnitude as the Doppler linewidth, and could then be used to distinguish between species modulated by electric fields (such as ions) and those that are not. VMS works by using a high voltage AC discharge (near 20 kHz ) [28] to create and modulate the velocity of ions in a positive column. The signal can then be demodulated using a lock-in amplifier to extract the ionic absorption signals and discriminate against the unmodulated neutral signals. This discrimination only occurs when the lock-in is demodulated at the first harmonic of the plasma modulation (1f). VMS was first used to record the $\nu_{1}$ band of $\mathrm{HCO}^{+}$. [20] Other cations like $\mathrm{HN}_{2}^{+}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$and $\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}$, [32] and anions, $\mathrm{OH}^{-}[33], \mathrm{N}_{3}^{-}, \mathrm{NCO}^{-}, \mathrm{NCS}^{-}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}^{-}, \mathrm{FHF}^{-}[34]$ soon followed. The VMS line shapes have been described by Farley. [35]

VMS has been the mainstay of molecular ion spectroscopy, and in the last 28 years, approximately fifty molecular ions have been studied, as reviewed in [28]. VMS has been used at wavelengths ranging from the UV to the millimeter-wave [36,37]. While no major technological advances had been made in VMS between 2004 and 2010, several additional molecules have been studied, including $\mathrm{FeCO}^{+}[38]$ and $\mathrm{FeO}^{+}[39]$ in the millimeter/submillimeter range, $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{+}[40], \mathrm{SO}^{+}[41]$, and $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$[42] in the mid-infrared.

While the ion/neutral discrimination of VMS has been very useful, it is not a rigorous discrimination of ions from the neutrals. Slight asymmetries between the positive and negative half cycles lead to concentration modulation of short lived neutral species, with a lifetime, $\tau$, less than the inverse of the modulation frequency, $\left.f_{\text {mod }}\right) \cdot[20,28]$ Additionally, neutrals that undergo charge exchange can be observed using velocity modulation at the modulation frequency, [28] especially metastable helium atoms. [43] Further, demodulating the signal at twice the plasma modulation frequency (2f) results in a five-fold enhancement in the strength of the neutral signal, and almost completely overpowers the ion signal. [20] This occurs because the neutral formation follows essentially the absolute value of the electric field. Because the plasma is shut off twice during each cycle, the absorption of neutral molecules are concentration modulated at 2 f yielding absorption sensitivity comparable to velocity modulation. [28] Therefore, any technique which demodulates at 2 f will lose the ion/neutral discrimination.

Most improvements to VMS before 2010 focused on improving the signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) to allow for the study of weak transitions or trace ions. The addition of optically heterodyned frequency modulation to VMS reduced the noise levels to close to the shot noise limit. [44, 45] Further increases in the S/N must come through the signal by increasing the path-length. The longest path lengths for VMS were traditionally modified White cells $[44,45]$ which had an effective path length of around 8 m . The $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ ratio of such experiments could be further improved by extending the optical path length using an external cavity. As traditional (1f demodulation) VMS relies on the difference in the ion drift velocity between the two halfcycles of an AC discharge, the 1 f signal vanishes in any bidirectional multi-pass cell, including a cavity. The reason that the 1 f signal vanishes with counter-propagating laser beams is explained in Figure 1.1. When the laser is located on the red side of the transition, over the course of a modulation cycle the center position of the ion population is shifted into the laser frequency and absorbs laser light. That absorption will occur in phase with the reference signal as shown in Figure 1.1(b). The lock-in amplifier multiplies the signal by the reference signal (giving a positive signal) and averages over the entire cycle, yielding a net positive signal. When multi-pass laser light passes through Figure 1.1(c), the light is absorbed when the ions are modulated in either direction. The lock-in multiplies the absorption by both the positive and negative values of the reference signal, yielding a value that is equal in magnitude and opposite in sign. When the lock-in amplifier averages over the cycle, a net value of zero is recorded Figure 1.1(d). However, if the lock-in amplifier is demodulated at twice the reference frequency, and the phase is adjusted, a net positive signal can be observed Figure 1.1(e). However, as stated above, 2 f demodulation is sensitive to the neutral absorption signals, as well.

In addition to the non-rigorous spectroscopic ion/neutral discrimination, the harsh plasma conditions of plasma discharge experiments also increase the rotational and vibrational temperatures, typically to several hundred Kelvin. For example, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}^{+}$has been studied by the Oka group in a liquid-nitrogen cooled discharge cell, where the rotational, vibrational, and translational temperatures were reported as 371,700 , and 830 K , respectively, [20] indicating that the translational and rotational temperatures are not completely equilibrated. [28] Furthermore, until recently, [13] all VMS experiments produced Doppler-limited line widths ( $\sim 1 \mathrm{GHz}$ at optical wavelengths) ( 300 MHz at mid-IR wavelengths). [28] For small diatomic molecules, the spectra remain relatively simple to assign and line intensities are fairly strong, but larger (e.g., $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3}^{+}$) or highly fluxional (e.g., $\mathrm{CH}_{5}^{+}$) molecular ions are more challenging targets, as each individual transition is weaker due to quantum dilution.

One measure of how dilute a spectrum will be is to calculate the "fraction of band" (FOB). The FOB is the ratio of the intensity of strongest line to the total integrated intensity of the entire band. It can be

(a) Doppler profile of a population of ions centered at a line center (red) with the laser position indicated (blue) with single pass laser radiation. During a modulation cycle, the absorption will be in phase with the positive value of the modulation voltage. The arrow indicates the direction of the velocity modulation with respect to the frequency axis.

(c) Doppler profile of a population of ions centered at a line center (red) with the laser position indicated (blue) for multi pass laser radiation. The arrows indicate the direction of the velocity modulation with respect to the frequency axis for two directions of laser light. Because the laser light is traveling both directions during each modulation half-cycle, an absorption signal will be observed during both half-cycles.

(b) Time domain view of signal and reference signal for 1 f detection with single pass laser radiation.

(d) Time domain view of signal and reference signal for If detection for multi pass laser radiation.

(e) Time domain view of signal and reference signal for 2 f detection for multi pass laser radiation.

Figure 1.1: Illustration of lock-in operation for single pass (a,b), and multi-pass ( $\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}$ ); with 1 f demodulation (b,d) and 2 f demodulation (e) (1f (solid red) and 2 f demodulation (dotted red)).
estimated with calculations of the rotational constants, Hönl-London factors, and the temperature. The FOB decreases with increasing temperature and the weight of the molecule (the FOB increases with increasing value of the rotational constants). For instance, a simulation of the $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3}^{+}$spectrum is shown in Figure 1.2, indicating the quantum dilution at two different rotational temperatures. The fraction of band decreases
from $3.8 \%$ at 25 K to $0.2 \%$ at 1000 K .


Figure 1.2: Simulation of $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3}^{+}$at 25 K (red) and 1000 K (blue).

Figure 1.3 shows a plot of ions observed using velocity modulation spectroscopy, [28, 46] where the number of atoms in the molecule is plotted as a function of its molecular mass. $52 \%$ of the ions contain only two atoms, $30 \%$ have three atoms, $12 \%$ have four atoms, $5 \%$ have five atoms, and only $1.5 \%$ have six atoms. Only one six-atom ion has been observed $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{5}^{+}\right)$.


Figure 1.3: Ions observed with velocity modulation spectroscopy illustrate the extremes of either a light central atom with several lighter atoms surrounding it, or a single large mass atom with a single low mass atom attached.

### 1.4 Fast Ion Beams

Before glow discharge plasmas and direct absorption VMS were used to study molecular ions, indirect ion beam methods were used to measure ionic absorption spectra. Ions beams allow for mass selection with magnetic or electrostatic separation using Wien velocity filters or quadrupoles, respectively. [6] However, this advantage came with the drawback of reduced ion density, on the order of $10^{4}-10^{7} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ compared to the $10^{10} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ for positive columns. Both number densities are quite low compared to the spectroscopy of neutral molecules often taken at atmospheric pressures $\left(10^{19} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}\right)$. [6] The ion density in a beam is limited to $10^{7} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ because at higher densities the beam expands due to the space charge interaction. [47]

The first ion beam/laser beam interactions were studied by Hall and coworkers at JILA who measured the electron photodetachment of negative atomic ions in a photoelectron spectrometer with a pulsed (fixedfrequency) ruby laser. [48] Later, tunable dye lasers were used to photodetach $\mathrm{S}^{-}$and measure the finestructure splitting of an electronic state. [49] In 1966, Trujillo pointed out that fast ion beams reduce the relative beam energy spread compared to the average velocity. This enabled the study of collision cross sections of Ar with two collinear molecular ion beams. [50] Kaufman then suggested, in 1976, that the collinear laser beam/ion beam geometry could be used to reduce the Doppler linewidth of absorption features. [51] In theory, the linewidth would continue to decrease with increasing beam voltage. In practice however, kilovolt power supplies are more stable than megavolt sources. [6] Usually, the kinematic linewidth is not the limiting linewidth. Rather the main cause of Doppler width in ion beams are instabilities in accelerating potentials and the extraction of ions from different potentials within the ion source, [6] as illustrated in Figure 1.4.


Figure 1.4: Illustration of ground penetration into ion source. A simple aperture in the source plate allows a variable voltage gradient. $\mathrm{V}_{1}=99.97 \%$ of $\mathrm{V}_{\text {Beam }}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{2}=99.98 \%$ of $\mathrm{V}_{\text {Beam }}$, [6] leading to extraction from different potentials.

Many groups routinely used direct current quadrupole benders to change the orientation of the ion beam. [52] By manipulating the position of the ions, the ions can be spatially separated from background neutrals, leading to a rigorous ion/neutral discrimination. Additionally, the mass selection allows one to unambiguously assign the absorption to specific species. This mass selection can dramatically simplify the process compared to indirect photoelectron spectroscopy, [6] and compared to the "ion soup" of VMS.

### 1.5 Indirect Ion Beam Methods

It is commonly thought that the small concentration with which ions can be created means that direct absorption spectroscopy is usually impractical. [6] Therefore indirect means of spectroscopic measurement, such
as charge exchange, fluorescence, photoelectron production, predissociation and electron photo-detachment processes are used. Some indirect methods involve inefficient processes like charge exchange [53] and fluorescence, [54] and some other indirect measurements are more sensitive, being based on charged particle measurements. The problem with these indirect processes is that not all molecules will undergo the processes that produce them, and so they are not general techniques.

The first indirect, infrared absorption spectrum of a molecular ion with fast ion beam occurred by measuring the charge exchange of molecular ions through a gas target $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$. An ion beam is produced and Doppler shifted into resonance with a high power laser beam. At resonance, the laser transfers population between different ro-vibrational states. The cross sections for charge exchange for different vibrational levels differ by several percent, and therefore the amount of ion beam passing through the gas target changed. This detection mechanism is very general if there is no excited state population loss mechanism (like fluorescence). [53] Using this method, the Wing group measured a spectrum of $\mathrm{HeH}^{+}$[29], $\mathrm{D}_{3}^{+}$, [30] and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{D}^{+}$[31]. A mid-IR, multi-line CO laser was used to pump the molecular transitions, and the ion beam was Dopplertuned into resonance by changing the beam voltage (and velocity) of the ion beam. Five transitions were measured and showed a discrepancy of 8 GHz between the calculated values and the experimental values for the frequencies of the transitions. Later in 1982, Bernath, Wong, and Amano used a tuneable DFG laser in DC discharges of He and Ne to report the observation of $\mathrm{HeH}^{+},{ }^{20} \mathrm{NeH}^{+}$and ${ }^{22} \mathrm{NeH}^{+},[25]$ measuring 11 transitions of ${ }^{20} \mathrm{NeH}^{+}$and 8 of ${ }^{22} \mathrm{NeH}^{+}$. [26]

Although inefficient, fluorescence measurements of ion beams can be used to measure optical spectra of ions. Rosner demonstrated this in 1978 by measuring the hyperfine structure of $\mathrm{Xe}^{+}$using fluorescence measurements of an ion beam of xenon. [55] Later, fluorescence spectroscopy was used to measure the spin-rotation and magnetic hyperfine constants of the X and B states of $\mathrm{N}_{2}^{+} .[56,57]$

Photoelectron spectroscopy is an indirect absorption technique which takes advantage of the photoelectric effect, that an atom (or molecule) can be ionized with sufficient photon energy, and the emitted photoelectron has a characteristic energy dependent on the original electronic, vibrational, and rotation state of the precursor molecule. Photoelectron spectra can be very complicated, and cannot be used to measure the spectroscopy of ions that do not have a stable precursor (even when the ion is easily formed in a discharge source). [6] Therefore, photoelectron spectroscopy cannot measure $\mathrm{H}_{3}^{+}, \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3}^{+}$or $\mathrm{CH}_{5}^{+}$. Additionally, photoelectron spectroscopy can only measure positive ions. [6]

The final three indirect ion beam absorption methods, pre-dissociation, photo-fragment and electrondetachment spectroscopy produce low resolution spectra, unsuitable for rotationally resolved work. Predissociation is an indirect absorption method which can be particularly sensitive due to the high gain of
charged particle detectors. However, it is limited to use with molecules with excited states with a high propensity for dissociation. Predissociation occurs when a bond breaks after a photon of energy greater than the dissociation energy of the excited state is absorbed. Predissociation is more likely in molecules that absorb wavelengths shorter than 200 nm . [58] The $\mathrm{O}_{2}^{+}$molecule is often studied in crossed ion beam predissociation studies. [59-61]

Similarly, photo-fragmentation spectroscopy (i.e., a laser induced dissociation) is used when the excited electronic state of a molecule is not bound, and the daughter ions of fragmentation can be measured with mass spectrometry. An $\mathrm{N}_{2}^{+}$ion beam was studied with photo-fragmentation spectroscopy but was limited to 30 GHz resolution. [62,63] Like predissociation, photo-fragmentation spectroscopy can be quite sensitive, but is limited to molecular systems where the spectroscopic excited state is unbound, like $\mathrm{N}_{2}^{+}$(cited earlier) and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2}^{+}$. [64]

Finally electron-detachment spectroscopy can also be a fairly sensitive technique. However molecular anions are difficult to produce, as most negative ions do not have bound electronic states. [65, 66] However, the absorption spectrum of $\mathrm{C}_{2}^{-},[67] \mathrm{OH}^{-},[68]$ and $\mathrm{NH}^{-}[65,69,70]$ have been measured.

### 1.6 Non-Classical Molecular Ions ${ }^{1}$

The limitations of the spectroscopic techniques discussed above are highlighted by the case of $\mathrm{CH}_{5}^{+}$. This molecular ion is particularly interesting because it lacks a classical structure [72] and acts as the prototypical non-classical carbocation. [73] The only published high-resolution spectrum of $\mathrm{CH}_{5}^{+}$was recorded by the Oka group [7] in a liquid nitrogen-cooled discharge of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$, and was identified by a process of elimination, by removing the known spectral lines of $\mathrm{H}_{3}^{+}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}^{+}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}^{+}, \mathrm{HCO}^{+}, \mathrm{HCNH}^{+}$, the Rydberg spectrum of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, and strong lines of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ that remained due to a slight asymmetry in the AC plasma. This rich spectrum ( $\sim 900$ lines, due to the fairly high rotational temperature) may still contain some transitions of other ions, and certainly is missing some $\mathrm{CH}_{5}^{+}$transitions due to overlap with $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ lines. As a result of the complexity of the spectrum, it remains completely unassigned more than a decade since its publication. Figure 1.5 illustrates the complexity of acquiring lines for this molecular ion. The entire reported spectrum is shown in Figure 1.5(a) with four interfering lines shown in blue. A zoom of this frequency range (Figure 1.5b) shows, that these much stronger interfering neutral lines probably overlap the ion signal (as the weaker ionic signal would be over-powered by the neutrals).

Supersonic discharge expansions have been used to produce rotationally cold molecular ions, but the reduced rotational temperature comes with the price of lost ion/neutral discrimination. Although con-
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Figure 1.5: $\mathrm{CH}_{5}^{+}$spectrum with interfering $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ lines. [7]
centration modulation can provide some discrimination from background precursors, [74] radicals [75] and excited states of stable neutrals still congest and complicate the recorded spectrum. In particular, the Nesbitt group recorded a spectrum of a supersonically expanding $\mathrm{H}_{2}: \mathrm{CH}_{4}$ plasma, the broad outline of which has been published. [76] Their spectrum contains many transitions that coincide with Oka's, but also many transitions that do not. Because of the lack of ion/neutral discrimination, it is possible that some of these transitions may be due to excited $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$. They have proposed [77] the use of four-line combination differences to help assign the spectrum, but this effort requires higher precision in the determination of line centers. The ideal experiment for studying the spectra of complex ions such as $\mathrm{CH}_{5}^{+}$may be a combination of a supersonic expansion ion source with fast ion beam spectroscopy.

Fast ion beam spectroscopy offers rigorous ion/neutral discrimination (by physically separating ions from neutrals), reduced Doppler line widths (from the kinematic compression), and also allows mass identification of each spectral line (from Doppler splittings). The only direct absorption ion beam spectrometer (prior to the present work) was developed in the late 1980s by the Saykally group at Berkeley. [12] This instrument was successfully used to measure the mid-IR absorption spectra of $\mathrm{HF}^{+},[12] \mathrm{HN}_{2}^{+}, \mathrm{HCO}^{+},[78] \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$and $\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+},[79]$ but was abandoned after a few years, as it did not have sufficient sensitivity to pursue the spectra of larger or fluxional ions at the rotational temperature of uncooled ion sources.

With an eye to applications such as $\mathrm{CH}_{5}^{+}$, a second-generation direct ion beam spectrometer has been designed and constructed for the near-IR, which incorporates a number of technological advances from the past two decades and is also designed to eventually be supplied ions with a continuous supersonic expansion discharge source. [80] This approach combines ultra-sensitive spectroscopy (discussed in Chapter 4), rotational cooling, and very high precision line center determination (discussed in Chapter 3); we refer to it as Sensitive, Cooled, Resolved Ion BEam Spectroscopy (SCRIBES).

This dissertation describes an important step toward SCRIBES, in the form of a new ion beam instrument
that uses a Ti:Sapphire laser (the future pump laser for a mid-IR difference frequency laser) in concert with noise immune cavity-enhanced optical heterodyne molecular spectroscopy (NICE-OHMS) [81] as a sensitive direct spectroscopic probe of the ion beam. This instrument uses a time-of-flight mass spectrometer (TOFMS ) to provide mass analysis of constituents of the ion beam at spectroscopically relevant beam energies. An optical frequency comb is used to accurately and precisely measure the frequencies of the observed transitions. The initial proof of concept system studied in this work is the $1-0$ band of the $A^{2} \Pi_{u}-\mathrm{X}$ ${ }^{2} \Sigma_{g}^{+}$Meinel system of $\mathrm{N}_{2}^{+}$, which is an important species in atmospheric aurorae and electrical discharges. Because the line centers of many transitions in this band have already been measured at Doppler-limited resolution, [82] $\mathrm{N}_{2}^{+}$serves as a useful benchmark of the capabilities of our fast ion beam spectrometer.


Figure 1.6: Initial ion beam instrument constructed at the University of Illinois. The source chamber is outlined in blue, the first DC quadrupole chamber is outlined in green, the second quadrupole chamber is outlined in red. The cold cathode source is shown inside the source chamber (red), and produces and ion beam (blue). The ion beam is extracted with plate lenses and passes into the DC quadrupole. The ions fly through a drift region and are turned by a second DC quadrupole. A high pressure $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ collision cell is used to neutralize fast neutrals (blue). A diode laser produces radiation at 950 nm . Two lenses are used to pass the laser beam through an optical isolator, two 10 cm lenses, and through an acousto-optic modulator (AOM). Three mode-matching lenses are used to match the first order beam of the AOM to the ring-down cavity. Two $99.98 \% 1$ meter radius of curvature mirrors are used to form a ring-down cavity.

Several iterations of the SCRIBES instrument were tried before a successful system was developed. The penultimate implementation will be described briefly (see Figure 1.6), as background for changes implemented to the design described in this dissertation. Initially, two DC electrostatic quadrupole benders were used to turn the ion beam into and out of the drift region, and only one 2000-L/s turbo-molecular pump was used to provide vacuum on the entire system. Cavity ring-down spectroscopy (CRDS) [83] was set up, and
attempted numerous times, but no absorption signal was observed. One large limitation with using CRDS with $\mathrm{N}_{2}^{+}$in an ion beam is the relatively large charge exchange cross section between $\mathrm{N}_{2}^{+}$and molecular $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. [84] The charge transfer was apparent because the ring-down time was adversely affected when ions were flying through the drift region, even though the ions were deflected $90^{\circ}$ away from the ring-down mirrors. Later it was found that $\sim 0.5 \mu \mathrm{~A}$ of current was created on a Faraday cup, indicating that fast neutrals were hitting the collector of the Faraday cup. Therefore, it was ascertained that the fast ion beam of $\mathrm{N}_{2}^{+}$was interacting with the background molecules of nitrogen and a charge transfer reaction was occurring. [84]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}_{2}^{+}+\mathrm{N}_{2} \longrightarrow \mathrm{~N}_{2}+\mathrm{N}_{2}^{+} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This reaction has a cross section of $30 \AA^{2}$ at 4 kV . [84] At $2 \times 10^{-5}$ Torr, the flight distance would be 6.9 m before $99 \%$ of ions would exchange their charge. Because the ions traveled 0.43 meters, approximately $6 \%$ of the beam was charge exchanged into fast neutrals which would not be affected by the quadrupole, would hit the ring-down mirrors and damage them. A high pressure collision cell ( $\sim 100 \mathrm{mTorr} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ) was introduced to decelerate the fast neutrals. Once the CRDS mirrors were no longer damaged by the residual fast neutral beam, CRDS was attempted again. However, today we believe that too much laser power was used. We estimate that the saturation parameter was $\sim 900$, which would reduce the observed absorption by a factor of 30 . During this time, we also noticed that the turbo-molecular pumps induced vibrations to the laser table. While the effect on cavity ring-down time was minimal, it precluded using higher stability cavities which require less vibration. When no signal absorption was obtained with CRDS, a more sensitive spectroscopic technique was decided upon and it was also decided that the ion beam instrument should be removed from the laser table. Cavity enhanced absorption spectroscopy, utilizing a Pound-Drever-Hall (PDH) locking technique [85] was implemented on a positive column discharge cell, and the new technique of cavity enhanced velocity modulation spectroscopy (CEVMS) was invented, and characterized. [13, 46] All of the PDH implementation will be described in the dissertation of Mr. Brian Siller. CEVMS was attempted on the fast ion beam, however the absorption was still photo-bleached, and unobserved. The NICE-OHMS technique was then implemented and characterized on a positive column. [86] The implementation of NICEOHMS spectroscopy allowed for both a dispersion and absorption signal to be recorded. As discussed in Chapter 4, the absorption signal is still expected to be unobservable, but the dispersion signal is able to be recorded.

This dissertation describes the laser system in Chapter 2, including the information relevant for the DFG construction. Chapter 3 describes the optical frequency comb, and describes the theory, daily operation,
care, interfacing, and how the data is transferred to the LabVIEW data collection computer. Chapter 4 describes the noise immune cavity enhanced optical heterodyne molecular spectroscopy (NICE-OHMS) used to collect dispersion spectra of the ion beam. Chapter 5 describes the construction of the fast ion beam instrument during the present iteration of construction. Chapter 6 describes the spectroscopy as obtained from the fast ion beam spectrometer with the molecular ion, $\mathrm{N}_{2}^{+}$. This work, [71] describes the first direct dispersion spectroscopic measurement of an electronic transition of a molecular ion in an ion beam. The technique is fully general to any molecule which can be produced in a discharge (or supersonic discharge) plasma. Currently, McCall group members are converting the system to the mid-infrared.

## Chapter 2

## Laser Systems

A cw-Titanium Sapphire (Ti:Sapphire) ring laser was used to probe $1-0$ band of the $\mathrm{A}^{2} \Pi_{u}-\mathrm{X}^{2} \Sigma_{g}^{+}$Meinel system of $\mathrm{N}_{2}^{+}$. As described in the introduction, the NICE-OHMS spectroscopy was developed and benchmarked on a high ion-density positive column. [86] Once the spectroscopy was understood, it was integrated with the ion beam. After the ion beam spectroscopy was bench-marked with the Ti:Sapphire laser, the optics for the Ti:Sapphire NICE-OHMS spectroscopy setup were removed and NICE-OHMS difference frequency generation (DFG) setup construction began. This chapter will focus on the Ti:Sapphire ring laser, and the cw-neodymium doped-yttrium:aluminum:garnet (YAG) laser used as pump lasers for the DFG. This chapter will describe the setup for locking of the cw-YAG laser to an iodine line, but details of the implementation of the NICE-OHMS DFG, as well as the iodine lockbox will described in future dissertations from the McCall group.

### 2.1 Titanium Sapphire Laser System

The Ti:Sapphire is a complex laser used to generate coherent radiation between 690 and 1020 nm . The laser consists of 5 parts: pump lasers to pump the lasing medium, the Ti:Sapphire lasing medium, a cavity to produce narrow longitudinal modes, frequency selective elements to generate a single frequency, and a reference cell to stabilize the laser to a single frequency.

The Ti:Sapphire laser consists of three lasers: a diode laser that is used to pump a neodymium-doped yttrium orthovanadate $\left(\mathrm{Nd}: \mathrm{YVO}_{4}\right)$ laser, which in turn pumps the Ti:Sapphire. The diode laser is a gallium aluminum arsenide semiconductor (GaAlAs) p/n junction. When forward biased, the recombination of electrons and holes produces photons. Continuous current produces a population inversion, and a laser can be formed by proper cavity geometry. This laser emits light at 809 nm . [87]

The diode laser optically pumps the $\mathrm{Nd}: \mathrm{YVO}_{4}$ laser (Spectra Physics Millenia). $\mathrm{YVO}_{4}$ lases when $\mathrm{Nd}^{3+}$ ions are pumped from their ground state to an excited band and non-radiatively relax to the ${ }^{4} F_{3 / 2}$ electronic state. Fluorescence to the ${ }^{4} I_{11 / 2}$ state emits radiation at 1064 nm . [88, 89] The output of the
$\mathrm{Nd}: \mathrm{YVO}_{4}$ is frequency doubled by a second harmonic generating (SHG) lithium triborate $\mathrm{LiB}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}(\mathrm{LBO})$ crystal, producing 532 nm radiation. The SHG output pumps the Ti:Sapphire laser (Sirah Matisse TS). A Ti:Sapphire laser involves a four-level solid state laser system tunable between 690-1020 nm. The lasing medium of a Ti :Sapphire is a $0.1 \% \mathrm{Ti}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ doping of $\mathrm{Ti}^{3+}$ in a medium of sapphire $\left(\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$.


Figure 2.1: Optical layout of Ti:Sapphire ring laser, adapted from Matisse manual. [8] Abbreviations defined in text.

Figure 2.1 is a diagram of the Matisse Ti:Sapphire TS laser interior optical components which form the ring cavity and frequency selective portions of the laser. The 532 nm pump beam enters from the right, and is directed with the pump mirrors (PM1 and PM2) through the two focusing/folding mirrors (FM1 and FM2) and the Ti:Sapphire crystal. The ring cavity is formed when the fluorescence from the Ti:Sapphire crystal is reflected off of FM1, Mirror 1 (M1), Mirror 2 (M2), Mirror 3 (M3), Mirror 4 (M4) and FM2. M3 is a small mirror mounted on a fast piezoelectric transducer to change the laser frequency by changing the cavity length and handles the fast corrections to the etalon lock. M4 is a large mirror mounted on a slow piezoelectric transducer, and is used to handle the slow corrections to the laser frequency. The ring cavity can lase in both directions, clockwise and counterclockwise. Complicated intensity dynamics occur when both directions are present. [90-92] M2 is mounted out of plane and induces a small change to the polarization of light to both directions. A window of terbium-gallium-garnet (TGG) corrects the polarization of only the counterclockwise beam.

The Ti :Sapphire crystal emits radiation from 650-1050 nm. The ring-resonator cavity has a free spectral range (FSR) of 160 MHz . Therefore, frequency selective components are needed to select a single frequency of laser radiation. The first frequency-selective components are the Matisse optical sets (MOS), MOS1, MOS2 and MOS3. MOS1 are the shortwave optics (690-780 nm), MOS2 are the midwave optics (750-880 nm ) and MOS3 are the longwave optics MOS3 (850-1020 nm). The birefringent filter ( BiFi ) consists of three plates with different thicknesses. The BiFi reduces the lasing range to several hundred GHz. The frequency which is chosen depends on the tilt of the plates and is changed with a stepper motor controlled
by the laser's digital signal processor (DSP). The thin etalon is a thin window with a FSR of 250 GHz which reduces the frequency span of longitudinal modes within the BiFi mode down to 250 GHz . The thin etalon tilt is controlled by a motor controlled mount. The Matisse commander program monitors the ratio of the output of the photodiode to the total laser power to keep the amount of power in a single mode constant and near minimum (thus suppressing other frequencies outside of the thin etalon FSR). The final frequency selective optic is a thick etalon with a 20 GHz free spectral range and a Finesse of about 3. Because Finesse $=\mathrm{FSR} /$ linewidth, the thick etalon linewidth is about 7 GHz . While 7 GHz is much higher than the longitudinal mode spacing of 160 MHz (see Figure 2.2a), the thick etalon is actively locked to the top of the etalon transmission (see Figure 2.2b). While the intensity difference betwen the maximum mode and the adjacent mode is only $1 \%$, that is enough to ensure that only one mode lases.

(a) Thick etalon transmission width $(7 \mathrm{GHz}$ FWHM) and free spectral range ( 2 GHz ) superimposed with 160 MHz longitudinal mode spacing.

(b) Piezo etalon (thick etalon) dither lock. [8]

Figure 2.2: Ti:Sapphire thick etalon transmission and dither lock.

The thick etalon is formed by two prisms with parallel base sides. One prism is adjusted by kinematic
mounts, and the separation between the prisms is controlled by a piezoelectric actuator. The thick etalon selects a single longitudinal cavity mode. In order to keep the thick etalon centered on the maximum of a cavity mode, a small dither is applied to the piezoelectric actuator and a top of fringe algorithm is used by the DSP.

After the frequency selective components have been properly implemented, depending on the frequency and mirror set, between 1-2 Watts exit the output coupler (M1). Typical powers of 1 W are achieved with MOS3 at 920 nm , and 2 W are achieved with MOS2 at 870 nm . Frequency stabilization occurs by locking the laser to an external, temperature-stabilized Fabry-Perot (FP) cavity. Frequency stabilization between 300 and 500 kHz is achieved by sending error signals from the FP cavity to the electronics that control the slow (M4) and fast (M3) piezo-actuated mirrors through the DSP.

Three photodiodes provide feedback and are necessary for the Matisse Commander software to lock and scan the Ti:Sapphire. One measures a fraction of the total power which leaks through M2. One measures the back-reflection off of the thin etalon, and one measures the Fabry-Perot cavity transmission in the reference cell. The system arrived with assemblies which hold square neutral density filters to make sure the proper light level arrives on the detectors. Sirah, through Newport, will sell a variable neutral density filter wheel assembly, but it is quite expensive. Instead, neutral density filter wheel assemblies were designed and installed. Designs for the ND filter wheels are shown in Section A.1. Continuously variable (0-4 OD) ND filter wheels (Thorlabs NDC-25C-4) are used to change the level of laser power reaching the various photodiodes.

### 2.2 YAG Laser System

The DFG laser is pumped by the cw-Ti:Sapphire laser and a cw-YAG laser. The lower frequency component of the DFG pump comes from an an Innolight Mephisto 1000NE Nd:YAG. A Nd:YAG laser is a crystal of $\mathrm{Y}_{3} \mathrm{Al}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{12}$ where $1 \%$ of the $\mathrm{Y}^{+}$are replaced with $\mathrm{Nd}^{3+}$ ions. The crystal is pumped with 810 nm light from an internal diode laser. The laser can output up to 1 W with a 1 kHz linewidth (per 100 ms ) at 1064 nm . While the optical frequency comb described in Chapter 3 has two combs that can measure the frequency of the Ti:Sapphire or the YAG, practical considerations with scanning the laser demand that only one laser be measured by the frequency comb at a time. While the YAG has an instantaneous narrow linewidth, there is some frequency drift that should be stabilized. A third-harmonic sub-Doppler dither lock to an iodine cell is used to stabilize the frequency of the YAG. [93] Because the YAG only produces the fundamental, an external periodically poled lithium niobate (PPLN) crystal is used to double the frequency of the fundamental, and
the doubled light is locked to an iodine line.
An optical layout of the frequency doubling setup is shown in Figure 2.3. The output of the YAG is elliptically polarized, so a quarter wave plate ( $\lambda / 4$ or QWP - Thorlabs WPQ05M-1064) is used to rotate the polarization into linear polarization, and a half wave plate $(\lambda / 2$ or HWP - Thorlabs WPH05M-1064) is used to rotate the linear polarization into the vertical, as required by the SHG process. Wave plates rely on the birefringence $(\Delta n)$ of an optical material to introduce a phase shift in the light. A half wave plate will induce a $\lambda / 2$ phase rotation, and a quarter wave plate will induce a $\lambda / 4$ rotation if a birefringent material has the correct length $(L)$ (see Equations 2.1 and 2.2).

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\lambda}{4}=2 \pi \Delta n L_{1}  \tag{2.1}\\
& \frac{\lambda}{2}=2 \pi \Delta n L_{2} \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

A 35 mm lens focuses the light into the PPLN crystal. The 1 cm PPLN crystal (Thorlabs SHG3-10) was held in an oven (Thorlabs PV10), which was controlled by a temperature controller (Thorlabs TC200). Schematics for the details of the SHG oven mounting system are given in Section A.2. The temperature of the PPLN crystal was set to $185^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The output of the PPLN crystal was collimated by a 175 mm lens, and the 532 light was separated from the 1064 fundamental with a dichroic beam splinter (CVI MellesGriot LWP-45-Rs-532-Ts-1064-PW-1025-UV). The 532 nm light was rotated into the horizontal by a HWP (Thorlabs WPH05M-532), and then passed through a polarizing beam splitter (PBS) (CVI Melles-Griot PBS-532-050), iris, and QWP (Thorlabs WPQ05M-532). The green light is then focused by a 250 mm lens and a mirror is placed at the focal plane of the lens as measured by a beam profiler. A 10 cm iodine cell (Thorlabs CQ19100-I) is placed between the lens and the mirror, and a ND filter wheel (0-2 OD Thorlabs NDC-50C-2) is placed between the cell and the mirror. The incident beam is steered back through the iris to make the pump and probe beams collinear. When the horizontally polarized light passes through the QWP, the light is converted to circularly polarized light. The reflection off of the mirror causes the a $180^{\circ}$ rotation in the handedness of the circularly polarized light. When the back-reflection passes through the QWP the second time, the light is converted to vertically polarized light. The PBS then reflects the vertically polarized light through a 100 mm lens onto a silicon photodiode (Thorlabs DET 110).

The frequency of the YAG can be controlled by changing both the temperature of the crystal as well as the piezo voltage controlling the position of a mirror inside the laser cavity. The temperature of the YAG crystal is adjusted until the frequency of the SHG light is resonant with an iodine transition, and fluorescence is seen in the iodine cell. A small modulation voltage at 10 kHz is applied to the piezo transducer. The
reference signal is frequency tripled using a circuit designed and built by the SCS Electrical shop. Schematics for the tripler are provided in Section A.3. The tripler works by converting a sine wave to a square wave and filtering out everything but the third harmonic. The input sine wave is converted to a square wave using a comparator circuit. Because the fourier-transform of a square wave is essentially all harmonics, the fundamental, second, and third harmonics are also present. A narrow bandpass filter is built around the third harmonic, and the output is a sine wave at 30 kHz . Example spectra obtained by roughly scanning the temperature (and frequency) over an iodine line are shown in Figure 2.4. Figure $2.4(\mathrm{a})$ shows the unmodulated DC signal of the rovibronic line $R(56) \mathrm{v}^{\prime \prime}=0 \rightarrow \mathrm{v}^{\prime}=32$. Figure 2.4(b) shows the 3f-demodulated lock-in output in red, and with vertical lines indicating the frequency of the iodine hyperfine components divided by two, shown in blue. The 3f-demodulated signal yields a third derivative line shape with a flat baseline. Having a flat baseline aids with the eventual locking of the YAG to the iodine line. 2.4(c) illustrates the large, sharp zero crossing near the center of the sub-Doppler feature which enables a tight lock to the iodine line. Instead of recording the output of the lock-in, the output can be used as an error signal input to lock to an iodine line. The details of the construction, and implementation of the lockbox will be described in the dissertation of Brian Siller.


Figure 2.3: Optical layout for YAG doubling PPLN and sub-Doppler iodine lock. The YAG radiation is focused into the PPLN crystal. The PPLN crystal is held in an oven.

In order to reduce the vapor pressure of the iodine cell, a Peltier cooler was used. A Peltier cooler uses the Peltier effect to create a heat flux between the junction of two types of materials. It is able to transfer heat from one side of the device to the other by consuming electrical energy. When the device is used to cool, the opposite side will become warm. Something must remove the heat from the sink produced by the Peltier


Figure 2.4: Doppler broadened (a) and sub-Doppler (b) spectra of iodine with (c) zoomed in at the $\mathrm{a}_{10}$ hyperfine component.
device if the source is to be kept cool. Therefore, a water cooling system was designed. An overview of the idea is shown schematically in Figure 2.5. The brass piece contains a reservoir into which the cold finger of the iodine cell can sit. When a quantity of thermal grease (Wakefield Solutions 120-2; newark.com 00Z1245) was placed inside the reservoir, the heat from the cell was transferred to the cold brass piece. Instructions and schematics for the construction of the Peltier cooler are given in Section A.4.


Figure 2.5: Mechanical overview of Peltier cooling of iodine cell.

The mounts required for the SHG and DFG ovens have a minimum beam height. The YAG laser needed a mount that was 2.5 " above the height of the laser table. Therefore a mount was constructed to go under the YAG. Designs for the mounts are shown in Figure 2.6. The piece was constructed out of two, solidaluminum plates and were connected together. The YAG rested on top of the mount. Because a solid piece
of aluminum would cost quite a bit more, two aluminum pieces were used and screwed together tightly. A block of aluminum was chosen in order to minimize vibrations that would be present if the laser were mounted on posts.


Figure 2.6: Base mount for the YAG.

### 2.3 Difference Frequency Generation Laser System

Earlier, for the spectroscopic work described by Brian Tom, [94, 95] a DFG laser was constructed. This system was used to characterize an ion source used in dissociative recombination experiments and reaction dynamics of hydrogenic plasmas in a hollow cathode cell. These results have been previously described, [96] therefore, only information relating to the construction of the DFG will be presented. The DFG laser was eventually disassembled when it was determined that the $\mathrm{N}_{2}^{+}$near-IR spectroscopy would require the Ti:Sapphire laser. Once the near-IR spectroscopy was completed, the NIR components were removed and a second DFG was constructed. The second DFG will be described in future McCall group dissertations.

Difference frequency generation is a parametric process between three photons of frequency $\omega_{\text {pump }}$,
$\omega_{\text {signal }}, \omega_{\text {idler }}$, where $\omega_{\text {pump }}-\omega_{\text {signal }}=\omega_{\text {idler }}$ and $\omega_{\text {pump }}>\omega_{\text {signal }}>\omega_{\text {idler }}$. Conservation of energy demands that $\omega_{\text {pump }}-\omega_{\text {signal }}=\omega_{\text {idler }}$. In this system, the cw ring Ti:Sapphire described above served as the pump laser and the Nd:YAG served as the signal laser. Conservation of momentum demands that the wave-vectors are also correctly phase matched. In a parametric process like DFG, however, the dispersion of the nonlinear material causes a periodic phase mismatch. The first DFG was built by Pine, and produced only $\sim 500 \mathrm{nW}$ [97] because of the destructive interference due to the phase mismatch. A phase mismatch occurs because the index of refraction of the non-linear material is dependent on the wavelength of light and the temperature of the material $(n(\lambda, T))$. For a three photon parametric process, $n_{\text {pump }}>n_{\text {signal }}>n_{\text {idler }}$ and $\lambda_{\text {pump }}<\lambda_{\text {signal }}<\lambda_{\text {idler }}$. Therefore, the wave vector, $\boldsymbol{k}=2 \pi n \hat{\boldsymbol{k}} / \lambda$, of each photon is not the same. Conservation of momentum requires that the phase mismatch $(\Delta \boldsymbol{k})$ is equal to zero, $[98,99]$ otherwise destructive interference of the DFG process reduces the amount of idler light created. [97] The phase mismatch for a DFG process is described by $\left(\Delta \boldsymbol{k}=\boldsymbol{k}_{\text {Pump }} \boldsymbol{k}_{\text {Signal }}-\boldsymbol{k}_{\text {idler }}\right)$ and for given wavelengths of $\lambda_{\text {pump }}, \lambda_{\text {xignal }}$ and $\lambda_{i d l e r}$, will be equal to a coherence length $\Lambda$. The problem of the coherence length is shown in Figure 2.7(a). After the coherence length of the interaction, the DFG process begins to destructively interfere with itself, eventually going all the way to zero. If the polarization axis of the crystal could be inverted after the coherence length, the DFG process could continue increasing. This process is illustrated in Figure 2.7(b), and is called quasi-phase matching (QPM). It was first proposed in 1962 [100] as a way to overcome the problems associated with the phase mismatch and has been widely used in DFG applications. While QPM is not nearly as efficient as perfectly phase matched processes, it is still much better than no phase matching. The polarization axis can be inverted by periodically poling the non-linear crystal. When the crystal is grown, a high voltage electrode is applied to the crystal, and inverts that polarity every coherence length. [101] As the polarization changes with respect to the normal of the top and bottom surfaces of the DFG crystal, the input light is required to have vertically polarized input polarization, an effect accomplished with the half-wave plates and polarizing prisms. For a DFG built with a tunable Ti:Sapphire laser, a YAG laser, and a PPLN crystal with grating periods between 20 and $23 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, the wavelengths of IR radiation and Ti:Sapphire radiation are given in Table 2.1.

### 2.3.1 Difference Frequency Generation Layout

The general setup for a DFG laser involves matching the spatial modes of the pump and signal lasers to the same size and position, as well as the polarization. For the Ti:Sapphire, the output was very collimated and no focusing optics were applied to it before it was made collinear to the YAG. The output of the horizontally


Figure 2.7: Explanation of coherence length and quasi phase matching in a periodically poled lithium niobate crystal. Adapted from [9]
polarized Ti:Sapphire was passed through an optical isolator (Optics For Research, IO-5-NIR-LP) to ensure that no back-reflections entered into the lasing or reference cell cavity. Because the optical isolator causes a $45^{\circ}$ rotation of the light passing through, a half wave plate (Thorlabs AHWP05M-950) was necessary to bring the plane of polarization to the vertical. The output of the YAG is elliptically polarized with a 1:5 ratio. A quarter wave plate (Thorlabs WPQ05M-1064) is used to rotate the polarization into a linear polarized state. A half wave plate (Thorlabs WPH05M-1064) is then used to rotate the polarization into the vertically polarized state required by the AOM and the PPLN crystal. The YAG was then modulated by an acoustooptic modulator (Brimrose TEM-85-2-1064 (AOM); FFA-85-B2-F2.25-X (Driver); 50-55 dB extinction ratio (Option)), which spatially and temporally modulated the light intensity and imparted a frequency shift as well. The DFG was aligned to the first order light, and the 0 order light was picked off with a D shaped mirror (Thorlabs PFD10-03-P01 (Mirror); DMM1 (Mount)) and sent to a beam dump. All QWP and HWP were mounted in precision rotation mounts (Thorlabs PRM1).

The YAG was mode matched to have approximately the same spatial mode (beam size) as the Ti:Sapphire, with 25 cm and 20 cm focal length biconvex lenses (Thorlabs LB-1056-C \& LB-1945-C, respectively). There was approximately 24.5 " between the laser and the 25 cm lens, 23 " before the 20 cm lens, and 30 " before the achromatic lens. Biconvex lenses were chosen because they are ideal for use when the object and the image are on opposite sides of the lens and the image/object ratio is between 0.2 and 5 . A custom coated dichroic mirror was purchased (CVI Melles-Griot SWP-45-Rs-1064-Ts-750-PW-1025-UV 1064/Ti:Sapphire)

| Period | Idler Wavelength $\mu \mathrm{m}$ |  | Idler Frequency$\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ |  | Pump Wavelength nm |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | min | max | min | max | min | max |
| 20.00 | 2.802 | 2.863 | 3568.9 | 3492.8 | 771.166 | 775.715 |
| 20.25 | 2.864 | 2.929 | 3491.6 | 3414.1 | 775.788 | 780.480 |
| 20.50 | 2.93 | 2.996 | 3413.0 | 3337.8 | 780.551 | 785.159 |
| 20.75 | 2.997 | 3.07 | 3336.7 | 3257.3 | 785.227 | 790.150 |
| 21.00 | 3.071 | 3.152 | 3256.3 | 3172.6 | 790.216 | 795.476 |
| 21.25 | 3.153 | 3.242 | 3171.6 | 3084.5 | 795.540 | 801.089 |
| 21.50 | 3.243 | 3.344 | 3083.6 | 2990.4 | 801.150 | 807.172 |
| 21.75 | 3.345 | 3.463 | 2989.5 | 2887.7 | 807.231 | 813.924 |
| 22.00 | 3.464 | 3.613 | 2886.8 | 2767.8 | 813.979 | 821.944 |
| 22.25 | 3.614 | 3.825 | 2767.0 | 2614.4 | 821.996 | 832.440 |
| 22.50 | 3.826 | 4.72 | 2613.7 | 2118.6 | 832.488 | 868.271 |
| 22.25 | 4.721 | 4.936 | 2118.2 | 2025.9 | 868.305 | 875.317 |
| 22.00 | 4.937 | 4.982 | 2025.5 | 2007.2 | 875.349 | 876.753 |

Table 2.1: Idler wavelength determines pump wavelength and poling period needed for DFG process.
which transmits the Ti:Sapphire and reflects the YAG when the element is placed at $45^{\circ}$. A Glan laser polarizer (Newport 10GL08AR. 16 calcite, coated $650-1000 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) was used to ensure that only vertically polarized radiation was applied to the PPLN crystal. After the beams were combined, a flipper mirror was inserted to make sure that the beams were collinear over a long distance. The beams were passed through a 20 cm achromatic lens (Thorlabs AC254-200-B) before entering the PPLN crystal. Achromatic doublet lenses contain two lenses, with the first compensating for the chromatic aberrations of the second such that two wavelengths will focus to the same distance after passing through the lens pair. The 20 cm achromat focused the YAG and the Ti:Sapphire down to 82 and $69 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ beam waists approximately 190 mm away from the lens. These beam waists correspond to approximately 17 and 19 mm Rayleigh ranges. The crystal was placed with the entrance of the crystal near the beam waist.

After being focused by the achromatic doublet, the pump and signal lasers entered the PPLN crystal. The PPLN crystal was originally purchased from Thorlabs, as supplied by Stratophase (DFG2-40). However, those parts are now obsolete and are serviced through Covesion. The PPLN crystal was housed in an oven (PV40) controlled by a temperature controller (Thorlabs TC200). Because the temperature of the PPLN crystal is supposed to change by no more than $10^{\circ} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$, and the program provided by Thorlabs did not have all of the functionality that we desired, a CVI/Labwindows program was written to control the temperature of the oven. The graphical user interface for this program is shown in Figure 2.9 and the code for the program is found in Appendix A.

The program can work in two modes: cycle or normal. Cycle mode is chosen whenever the user wants to change the temperature of the crystal. The mode is chosen by pressing the "Cycle" button, and entering in the desired set temperature. The user also selects a fast $\left(10^{\circ} \mathrm{min}^{-1}\right)$ or slow $\left(1^{\circ} \mathrm{min}^{-1}\right)$ speed, and a direction


Figure 2.8: Optical overview of first DFG setup.
(up or down). The user then presses "Start" and the program will automatically change the temperature according to the rate indicated. The temperature scale bar indicates the temperature reading from the oven. Once the time ramp is completed, the program will automatically switch over to the "Normal" cycle, and stay at that temperature indefinitely. Small changes in temperature can be made under the "Normal" setting by changing the set temperature. The oven can be turned off by pressing disable (which shouldn't happen unless the crystal is at or near room temperature). The temperature controller can have different control loop parameters (PID values) which can be changed by the user. Under the normal mode, the offset of the controller can be changed by pressing the De-Tune/Tune button. When the temperature is stable, the controller notes the difference between the actual temperature and the set temperature, and applies an offset to minimize the difference. With the correct optical layout, and the two lasers spatially mode matched, and focused down into the PPLN crystal, only the temperature of the crystal must be correctly set.


Figure 2.9: LabWindows program interface for controlling the PPLN crystal temperature.

### 2.3.2 Difference Frequency Generation Sellmeier Curves

The phase matching conditions are calculated by using the temperature and wavelength dependent dispersion equation, known as the Sellmeier equation. Initial coefficients for the Sellmeier equation for lithium niobate were given initially by Jundt [102] and more accurately by Deng. [103] The modified Sellmeier equation for the extraordinary index of refraction $\left(n_{e}\right)$ is given by [103]

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{e}^{2}=a_{1}+b_{1} f+\frac{a_{2}+b_{2} f}{\lambda^{2}-\left(a_{3}+b_{3} f\right)^{2}}+\frac{a_{4}+b_{4} f}{\lambda^{2}-a_{5}^{2}}-\left(a_{6}+b_{5} f\right) \lambda^{2} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3} . a_{4}, a_{5}, a_{6}, b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{4}, b_{5}$ are the Sellmeier equation coefficients, and the $f$ is a reduced temperature parameter given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=(t-24.5)(t+370.82) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t$ is the temperature in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
Section A. 6 contains the code for an Igor procedure file which determines the index of refraction for a given wavelength and temperature, solves the temperature to allow for optimal quasi-phase matching, and performs this for all channels of the PPLN crystal. The results of the solver function are shown in Figure 2.10. In addition to the chart shown in Figure 2.10, Table A. 1 has a temperature look-up table for the quasi-phase matching conditions calculated for every 10 nm between 2.8 and $4.8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Once the pump and signal lasers were collinear and the beam waist was determined, the DFG crystal


Figure 2.10: Temperature curves for the DFG. The poling period ranges from $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to $22.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
temperature was increased to the values calculated using the Sellmeier equation, and mid-IR light was produced.

The expected power ( mW ) can be estimated (as informed by the engineers at Stratophase) to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\text {Expected }}=0.3 \frac{\mathrm{~mW}}{\mathrm{~W}^{2} \mathrm{~cm}} P\left(\mathrm{~W}^{2}\right) L(\mathrm{~cm}) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P$ is the product of the pump and signal lasers and $L$ is the length of the crystal. Initially, for an input power of 1.35 W from the $\mathrm{Ti}: S a p p h i r e ~ a n d ~ 0.55 \mathrm{~W}$ from the YAG, with a 4 cm long crystal, we expected a 890 $\mu \mathrm{W}$ power level and observed $750 \mu \mathrm{~W}$, or around $84 \%$ of the expected power. While this was enough power to perform cavity ringdown spectroscopy, one can verify that the DFG conversion was performing correctly by actually using the parameters of our DFG and comparing that to the expected theoretical values.

The theoretical conversion efficiency for DFG process is given by $[104,105]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{i}=\frac{4 \omega_{i}^{2} d_{Q}^{2} L P_{p} P_{s} T h(\mu, \xi)}{\epsilon_{0} \pi c^{3} n_{p} n_{s} n_{i}} \frac{1}{k_{s}^{-1}+k_{p}^{-1}} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega_{i}$ is the frequency of the idler, $L$ is the crystal length, $P$ is the pump power for the pump and signal, $T$ is the transmission through the crystal, $\epsilon_{0}$ is the permittivity of free space, $c$ is the speed of light, $n$ is the index of refraction for the pump signal and idler, $k_{s}$ and $k_{p}$ are the wave vector magnitudes for the signal and the pump. $d_{Q}$ is calculated from the nonlinear coefficient of a PPLN crystal $\left(d_{33}\right)$ as $2 d_{33} / \pi$. As $d_{33}$ of

PPLN is $24 \mathrm{pm} / \mathrm{V} ; d_{Q}$ is $15.3 \mathrm{pm} / \mathrm{V}$. (Note that [104] omits the transmission factor $(T)$ and [105] is missing $\omega_{i}^{2}$ and $c^{3}$.) The focusing parameter, $h$, is given by [105]

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(\mu, \xi)=\frac{1}{2 \xi} \int_{-\xi}^{\xi} \mathrm{d} \tau \int_{0}^{\xi} \times \frac{1+\tau \tau^{\prime}}{\left(1+\tau \tau^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{1+\mu^{2}}{1-\mu^{2}}\right)^{2}\left(\tau-\tau^{\prime}\right)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \tau^{\prime} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu=k_{s} / k_{p}$, and $\xi=L / b$, where $b$ is the confocal parameter $\left(b=2 \times Z_{R}\right)$ for the focused light, and where $Z_{R}$ is the Rayleigh range. Equation 2.7 can be numerically integrated; the values for the integration are found in Table 2.2, and a plot of the values is shown in Figure 2.11.

| $\mu$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $L / b$ | $h(\mu, \xi)$ | $\mathrm{d} h / \mathrm{d} \mu$ |
| 0.1 | 0.091 | 0.62 |
| 0.2 | 0.153 | 0.39 |
| 0.3 | 0.192 | 0.25 |
| 0.4 | 0.217 | 0.17 |
| 0.5 | 0.234 | 0.12 |
| 0.6 | 0.246 | 0.08 |
| 0.7 | 0.254 | 0.06 |
| 0.8 | 0.26 | 0.04 |
| 0.9 | 0.264 | 0.02 |
| 1 | 0.266 | 0.02 |
| 1.1 | 0.268 | 0.01 |
| 1.2 | 0.269 | 0 |
| 1.3 | 0.269 | 0 |
| 1.4 | 0.269 | 0 |
| 1.5 | 0.269 | -0.01 |
| 1.6 | 0.268 | -0.013 |
| 2 | 0.263 | -0.018 |
| 2.8 | 0.249 | -0.017 |
| 3.5 | 0.237 | -0.018 |
| 4 | 0.228 | -0.018 |
| 4.5 | 0.219 | -0.014 |
| 5 | 0.212 | -0.016 |
| 5.5 | 0.204 | -0.014 |
| 6 | 0.197 |  |
|  |  |  |

Table 2.2: The focusing parameter was numerically integrated as a function of the confocal parameter ( $\mu$ ) and differentiated to determine the maximum value.

From the plot of the focusing parameter it is apparent that it reaches a maximum value between $\mu=1$ and 1.5. From Table 2.2, the derivative of $h$ is found, and the maximum focusing parameter will occur when the $\mu=1.3$. In our case, $\mu=1$, and the value of $h$ is $99 \%$ of the maximum at this value.

Table 2.3 includes the values used in Equation 2.6, and shows the expected power for the focusing parameters actually used. When compared to the actual expected values we see approximately $97 \%$ of the


Figure 2.11: Numerical integrations of focusing parameter.

| Description | Symbol | Value | Units |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Idler angular frequency | $\omega_{i}$ | $5.14 \mathrm{E}+14$ | $\mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| Non-linear QPM | $D_{Q}$ | $1.53 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{V}$ |
| Crystal length | $L$ | 0.04 | m |
| Power pump | $P_{p}$ | 1.35 | W |
| Power signal | $P_{s}$ | 0.55 | W |
| Crystal transmission | $T$ | 0.86 |  |
| focusing parameter | $h(\mu, \xi)$ | 0.267 |  |
| Pump $n$ | $n_{p}$ | 2.151 |  |
| Signal $n$ | $n_{s}$ | 2.149 |  |
| Idler $n$ | $n_{i}$ | 2.083 |  |
| pump $k$ | $k_{p}$ | 7618690 | $\mathrm{~m}^{-1}$ |
| signal $k$ | $k_{s}$ | 5905249 | $\mathrm{~m}^{-1}$ |
| Confocal parameter | $b$ | 3.77 | cm |
| Momentum s/p | $\mu$ | 0.775 |  |
|  | $\xi(\mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{b})$ | 1.06 |  |
| Calc'd power | $P_{i}$ | 776 | $\mu \mathrm{~W}$ |
| Measured Power | $P_{i}$ | 750 | $\mu \mathrm{~W}$ |
|  | Ratio | 0.97 |  |

Table 2.3: Parameters used for calculating the expected power value.
expected mid-IR radiation, and therefore know that the system is very well aligned. However, the overall power efficiency $\left(P_{\text {Out }} /\left(P_{1} \times P_{2}\right)\right)$ is much lower $(\sim 0.1 \%)$.

Once the mid-IR light was produced, the accuracy of the phase matching curves could be tested. Results from the experimental and calculated temperatures are given in Table 2.4. For two frequencies in the same channel, the experimental maximum temperature was within $2^{\circ}$ of the calculated value.

| $\lambda$ <br> $\mu \mathrm{m}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{\text {Exp }}$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{\text {Calc }}$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Difference <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | rel err <br> $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.668 | 155.7 | 153.6 | 1.7 | 1 |
| 3.621 | 139.4 | 135.7 | 1.3 | 1 |

Table 2.4: Accuracy of temperature tuning curves.

In order to know how far one should scan to determine the maximum temperature, two scans over the
crystal temperature were taken. The results are shown in Figure 2.12, and show that the FWHM of the temperature tuning range is $\sim 2.7^{\circ}$.


Figure 2.12: Examples of temperature transfer function of IR generation.

## Chapter 3

## Optical Frequency Comb

Until the year 2005, most wavelength measurements in the near and mid-IR were performed using a wavemeter with some 60 MHz accuracy, or calibration to a reference gas, whose absorption spectrum has previously been measured. In 2005, Roy Glauber, John Hall and Theodor Hänsch were awarded the Nobel prize in Physics. Glauber's contribution was for explaining the quantum theory of optical coherence. Hall and Hänsch were awarded for the contributions to the development of laser-based precision spectroscopy including the optical frequency comb. [106] Since that time, several papers have described the use of optical frequency combs to revolutionize spectroscopy. For instance, the accuracy of the frequency measurements have improved to 1 part in $10^{14}$.

Using a frequency comb as a frequency reference, the absolute frequencies of certain spectroscopic lines have been measured to very high accuracy. For instance, the cesium D1 line at 894.6 nm and the hydrogen $1 \mathrm{~S}-2 \mathrm{~S}$ transition at 121 nm have been calibrated to a frequency comb with a pulse repetition rate measured to with 6 parts in $10^{16}$. [107] Other experiments measure the transition frequency of laser cooled, trapped $\mathrm{In}^{+}$, which had a 43 Hz line width, giving the fractional uncertainty of the line center determination to $1.4 \times 10^{-14}$. [108] Fiber based frequency combs have repetition rates of between 100 and 250 MHz , but Ti:Sapphire based combs can have $1 \mathrm{GHz}[109]$ and $10 \mathrm{GHz}[110,111]$ which forms the upper limit for femtosecond (fs) comb generation. The broadband nature of frequency combs has been utilized in single pass measurements to study the absorption of many transitions simultaneously for techniques such as breath analysis. [112] In other cases, portions of the comb are coupled to enhancement cavities to produce high accuracy $\left(1 \times 10^{-15}\right)$ and sensitive $\left(1 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} \mathrm{~Hz}^{-1 / 2}\right)$ absorption signals over 4 THz . [113] Other techniques take advantage of the broadband nature of frequency combs by coupling them to astronomical spectrographs for precision radial velocity measurements to detect earth-like exoplanets. [114,115] Current state-of-the-art astronomical spectrographs are limited to $60 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{s}$ velocity resolution by the thorium argon calibration. [116] Based on the fact that an increasing number of wavelength calibration points decreases the frequency uncertainty, [117] using more calibration lines from a frequency comb whose value is known more precisely will increase the accuracy of the spectrographs down to the $10 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{s}$ level.

Still other techniques have begun employing heterodyne techniques to utilize the broadband nature of the frequency comb. For instance, coupling a cw-laser and heterodyning it against the comb modes provides 1 MHz resolution heterodyne signals over a wide frequency range. [118] Single combs have been used with a mechanical interferometer forming an FT-IR spectrometer with comb radiation as the source, [119] while even more sophisticated techniques employ two coherent frequency combs to enable motionless Fourier transform spectroscopy to simultaneously measure 9 THz with 220 MHz resolution. [120, 121]. While the relative brightness of each comb mode is lower than a conventional cw laser, it is much higher than traditional FT-IR sources. By having many spectral elements, and small acquisition time, the detection efficiency is increased. [121]

Future spectroscopic work with frequency combs will mostly focus on terahertz ( THz ) generation using frequency combs with non-linear processes in GaAs crystals, [122] and comb generation from whispering gallery mode cavities employing spontaneous four-wave mixing in microring resonators allowing comb generation to a chosen free-spectral range and wavelength range. [123, 124]

This chapter will focus on the implementation of a turn-key Menlosystems, erbium doped fiber based frequency comb, purchased in 2008, and used for several publications $[46,71,86]$. As this is the first dissertation describing the use of the comb, some principles of operation, operating instructions, as well as interfacing documentation will be given. While numerous groups have utilized a frequency comb to obtain the absolute transition frequencies of molecular neutrals, in many cases combining them with sub-Doppler techniques to achieve a precision as good as $10 \mathrm{kHz},[125-130]$ the work described in this dissertation [71] (and earlier work published $[46,86]$ and not described in this dissertation) represent the first application of a frequency comb to determination of sub-Doppler line-centers of molecular ions.

### 3.1 Principles of Operation

The development of the frequency comb was a major achievement because frequencies can be measured much more accurately than any other physical quantity. [131]. A frequency comb utilizes the fact that mode-locked femtosecond lasers produce a fixed frequency spacing between all longitudinal modes in the laser. Due to the dispersion of the cavity, the group and phase velocities are not the same, producing a phase shift between the carrier wave and the peak envelope for each round trip. While this dispersion cannot be removed, it can be controlled and stabilized. A Fourier analysis of the frequencies found in the modelocked, phase-stabilized ultrafast laser shows that there are discrete laser modes which are separated by the repetition rate of the laser. The frequency of the $n^{\text {th }}$ comb mode is $f_{n}=n f_{R E P}+f_{C E O}$ where $f_{R E P}$ is the repetition rate, and
$f_{C E O}$ is the offset. [132]. This equation shows how the very large optical frequency, $f_{n}$, can be described by two radio frequencies. While it is impossible to directly measure optical frequencies using a counter, it is relatively simple to measure the radiofrequency components, if they are stable. The repetition rate is readily measured using a photodiode, but the determination of the offset frequency can only occur if the frequency comb spans more than an optical octave. With the discovery of self-phase modulation of the gain medium, optical octave spanning frequency combs have been produced as described in references [133] and [134] and enable a self-reference of the carrier envelope offset [135].


Figure 3.1: An octave spanning frequency comb can precisely measure its own offset frequency. Adapted from [10].

Comb self-referencing is accomplished when a comb mode from the red side of the frequency comb (with frequency $\left.=n f_{R E P}+f_{C E O}\right)$ is frequency doubled using a periodically poled lithium niobate (PPLN) crystal, and the resultant frequency doubled comb mode $\left(2\left(n f_{R E P}+f_{C E O}\right)\right)$ is heterodyned with a non-frequency doubled comb mode at the blue side of the original comb $\left((2 n) f_{R E P}+f_{C E O}\right)$, as illustrated in Figure 3.1. Heterodyne techniques have been used in radio engineering since 1920 where a strong reference local oscillator (LO) is mixed with a weak incoming signal. When two laser beams are superimposed on a detector, the intensity follows Equation 3.1 [136]. From Equation 3.1 it is apparent that a signal appears at the difference frequency between $\omega_{L O}$ and $\omega_{s}$. This difference frequency can then be used to offset lock the carrier envelope offset of the pump laser.

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{R}=I_{s}+I_{L O}+2 \sqrt{I_{s} I_{L O}} \cos \left[\left(\omega_{L O}-\omega_{s}\right) t+\phi\right] \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

An optical frequency comb (MenloSystems, FC1500) with a repetition rate of about 100 MHz was used to determine the frequency of the spectroscopic laser to sub- MHz accuracy. A photo of the frequency comb is shown in Figure 3.2, and a schematic of the optical layout is shown in Figure 3.3. A 200 MHz InGaAs-PIN photodiode is used to measure the carrier envelope offset frequency $\left(f_{C E O}\right)$, and a $10-1000 \mathrm{MHz}$ InGaAs-PIN


Figure 3.2: Pictures of optical frequency comb optical layout.
photodiode internal to the laser head measures the repetition frequency $\left(f_{R E P}\right)$. Using high-pass filters, the $10^{t h}$ harmonic of the repetition frequency is measured in order to increase the phase sensitivity and frequency accuracy.

The frequency comb requires a 10 MHz reference. A global positioning system (GPS) disciplined highstability oven-controlled crystal oscillator (Endrun technologies, Tycho-Meridian) provides a 10 MHz reference signal, with a quoted Allan variance in 1 s of $1 \times 10^{-12}$. The GPS antenna was installed on the roof of Roger Adams Laboratory, and a 100 foot cable was used to bring the GPS signal into the lab, and was connected to the Tycho oscillator. The oscillator uses the GPS signal to correct the time kept by the crystal. By averaging the corrections needed to keep the crystal synchronized with the GPS over a large time, a highly stable 10 MHz reference is produced. This 10 MHz signal then references the frequency comb, and heterodyne RF generator.


Figure 3.3: Optical layout of FC1500 frequency comb. fs=femtosecond laser, EDFA=erbium doped fiber amplifier, $\mathrm{SHG}=$ sum harmonic generation crystal, $\mathrm{PCF}=$ photonic crystal fiber, $\mathrm{XPS}=$ offset interferometer. Adapted from [10].

### 3.1.1 Repetition Frequency Lock



Figure 3.4: Electrical layout of the repetition frequency lock. Adapted from [10].

The electrical layout for the repetition frequency $\left(f_{R E P}\right)$ lock is shown in Figure 3.4. The tenth harmonic of the repetition rate is filtered from the fundamental (and other harmonics) impinging on the photodiode. That 1000 MHz signal is mixed with a reference signal from a dielectric resonant oscillator (DRO) at 980 MHz which is in turn referenced to the 10 MHz reference. The output of the first mixer, called the downmixed repetition rate (DMRR) is near 20 MHz . That 20 MHz signal is then mixed with a variable frequency at 20 MHz using a direct digital synthesizer (DDS). The output of the second mixer is fed into locking electronics as an error signal. The electronics process the signal, and feed the signal to a high voltage amplifier, which adjusts the piezoelectric transducer on the fs laser. The piezoelectric transducer adjusts the length of the cavity and changes the repetition rate. In this way the repetition frequency of the laser is locked with an Allan variance of 0.001 Hz . The DMRR can be converted to the repetition rate ( $f_{R E P}$ ) using Equation 3.2.

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{R E P}=\frac{D M R R+980}{10} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.1.2 Offset Frequency Lock

The electrical layout for the carrier envelope offset frequency ( $f_{\text {CEO }}$ ) lock is shown in Figure 3.5. The output of the photodiode shown in Figure 3.1 is fed through RF filters and a phase detector. The reference signal is multiplied from 10 MHz to 20 MHz for the phase detector. The phase detector produces an error signal


Figure 3.5: Electrical layout of the offset frequency lock. Adapted from [10].
which the lockbox uses to produce a process signal to control the power of the diodes used to pump the fs laser. The power changes the intensity-dependent index of refraction $\left(\mathrm{n}_{2}\right)$ through the Kerr effect. By changing the power in the laser, the offset can be locked to near 20 MHz . A portion of the comb radiation is sent to a photodiode, so that the absolute value of the beat frequency can be counted and recorded. Once $f_{R E P}$ and $f_{C E O}$ are locked, these frequencies are referenced to GPS atomic clocks, and the comb can be used to precisely and accurately determine the frequency of laser radiation to the uncertainty of the reference $\left(1 \times 10^{-12}\right)$.

Because the comb spans an octave between 1.05 and $2.1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, and the Ti:Sapphire produces light between 0.7 and $0.950 \mu m$, the comb light must be frequency doubled and spectrally broadened as shown in Figure 3.3. While the periodically-poled lithium niobate $\left(\mathrm{LiNbO}_{3}\right.$ or PPLN) crystal located in the XPS unit (see Figure 3.3 ) is not heated, more light is sent through the second PPLN crystal before going through the photonic crystal fiber (PCF), so this PPLN is heated to $185^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The temperature controller inside the frequency comb rack must indicate that the PPLN crystal temperature is at this value before comb radiation is placed on the crystal. After the PPLN SHG stage, the comb radiation is centered at 785 nm and spans between 760 and 825 nm . In order for the full Ti:Sapphire wavelength range to be covered, the frequency doubled comb must be broadened with a nonlinear fiber. A photonic crystal fiber (PCF) spectrally broadens the doubled light. The fiber has a $2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ core surrounded with air holes, which change the index of refraction and cause spectral broadening. After the PCF, the comb radiation can span between 450 and 950 nm , spanning the entire Ti:Sapphire wavelength range. The spectrally broadened comb light is then overlapped with the unknown near-IR laser whose frequency is to be determined.

The frequency of the CW laser is determined by measuring the beat note between the unknown laser and the comb. The beat note is measured by heterodyning the two lasers on a detector. In order to heterodyne the two lasers, they must be made collinear, have the same spatial mode (size), and polarization. Collinearity is accomplished as shown in Figure 3.6. Two polarizing beam splitters are used to enable collinearity and ensure that the light is in the same polarization. Two half wave-plates ( $\frac{\lambda}{2}$ ) are used to rotate the comb radiation and the Ti :Sapphire radiation into vertically and horizontally linearly polarized
radiation, respectively. The vertically polarized comb light is reflected by the first polarizing beam splitter (PBS), and the horizontally polarized Ti:Sapphire radiation is transmitted. A third half wave-plate rotates both lasers to approximately $45^{\circ}$ from the horizontal, and a second PBS selects out only the horizontally polarized radiation of both components. Beam collinearity is adjusted by steering mirrors of the Ti:Sapphire and the comb before the first PBS. After the beams are collinear, they are dispersed by a grating, and reflected onto an avalanche photodiode. The heterodyne beat signal then follows the electrical path outlined in Figure 3.7, passing through RF filters. (All cases use a 50 MHz low-pass (LP) filter, and in some cases a 20 MHz band-pass filter). That beat note is then fed to the counter which determines the frequency of the beat note $\left(f_{\text {Beat }}\right)$.


Figure 3.6: Optical layout for making cw laser collinear to comb. $\frac{\lambda}{2}$ - half wave plates. Adapted from [10].


Figure 3.7: Electrical signal path of unknown beat signal, starting from the avalanche photodiode. Adapted from [10].

Once $f_{R E P},\left|f_{C E O}\right|$ and $\left|f_{\text {Beat }}\right|$ have been determined, one must then determine the signs of $\left|f_{C E O}\right|$ and $\left|f_{\text {Beat }}\right|$. Instructions for the determination of the sign will be given in Section 3.3. If the wavelength of the unknown laser has been determined to within $50 \mathrm{MHz}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right.$ of $\left.f_{R E P}\right)$, and the signs of the beat-notes have been determined, Equation 3.3 can be used to determine the frequency of the laser to sub- MHz precision and accuracy.

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{c w}=n f_{R E P} \pm f_{C E O} \pm f_{B e a t} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.2 System Startup

Begin by ensuring that the frequency comb computer is on. Then turn on the power supplies for the control electronics by turning the keys illustrated in Figure $3.890^{\circ}$ clock-wise. Begin with the overall electronics first, then the fiber-laser electronics, then the amplifier electronics. It may take several minutes for the mode-locked LED on the fiber-laser to stop blinking and be solidly illuminated, indicating mode lock.


Figure 3.8: Location of electronics, fiberlaser, and amplifier switches for system startup.

### 3.2.1 DDS \& Temperature Controller

The direct digital synthesizer (DDS) and Thorlabs temperature controller are shown in Figure 3.9. Turn on the DDS by flipping the switch located at the upper right-hand corner of the DDS. Gain local control of the DDS by pushing the knob in. Press the soft-key on the screen of the DDS located in the upper right-hand corner to toggle the RF output on and off. Begin with the RF output on. Ensure that the temperature controller is on, enabled, and set to $185^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The set temperature of the crystal should never be changed by more than $10^{\circ}$ per minute. For other questions dealing with the temperature controller, please refer to the TC200 Manual.


Figure 3.9: Direct digital synthesizer (DDS) on the left and the Thorlabs TC200 which controls the temperature of the periodically poled lithium niobate crystal for doubling the comb radiation.

### 3.2.2 Software \& Modelocking

Launch the frequency comb software (Fiber Comb Control V.0.24). Upon start-up, there may be a non-fatal error if the DDS is not connected to the frequency comb computer. This is fine, hit OK. The comb software should look something like Figure 3.10. The green boolean indicators indicate that a control is activated. If a feature is inactive it will be red. First ensure that the laser is "on" by clicking the button located to the right of the green indicator and label for "Laser". If mode lock is near, the "Laser Modelocked" indicator should turn green quite quickly and the laser will beep three times. If mode-lock is not obtained upon startup, first write down the four values of the "PControl Laser" sliders, and slowly move the sliders up and down by 10 single clicks on the arrows. If a mode locked state is not obtained, refer to Appendix B. Once mode-lock is obtained, the XPS amplifier can be turned on by clicking on the button marked "Amplifier XPS". The mode-lock state should not be adjusted or lost when either amplifier is on, as they can be damaged should mode-lock be lost.


Figure 3.10: Comb software screen shot. "PControl Offset Beat" sliders are used to adjust the offset beat.


Figure 3.11: Two spectrum analyzers and oscilloscope.

### 3.2.3 Obtaining Carrier Envelope Offset Beat

The two spectrum analyzers and oscilloscope can then be turned on. A picture of those instruments is shown in Figure 3.11. Usually the top box (the upper spectrum analyzer) is used to monitor the carrier envelope offset beat $\left(f_{C E O}\right)$. The lower spectrum analyzer monitors the unknown laser beat $\left(f_{c w}\right)$, and the lowest box is an oscilloscope for monitoring the error signals of the $f_{R E P}$ and $f_{C E O}$ lock. The upper spectrum analyzer's input should come from the monitor port of OFD100. After a spectrum analyzer is turned on, and before the signal is applied, the attenuation should be set to the highest level ( 40 dB ) to ensure no damage occurs to the instrument. If no offset beat is observed after the amplifier is turned on, the attenuation should be reduced, up to a value of 10 dB of attenuation. If a signal is still not observed, one should return the attenuation to the highest level and observe the signal directly coming from the photodiode (the input to the OFD). After zooming out to at least 100 MHz from the zero frequency (by increasing the span of the sweep), the repetition beat note should be observed. If it is not observed, then the XPS light is not hitting the photodiode. If the repetition beat note is observed, it can be peaked up using the mirror before the photodiode inside of the XPS. Adjustment of this mirror should rarely occur. After the assurance of light hitting the detector has been obtained, one can then adjust the three "Squeezer" adjustments of the amplifier named "PControl Offset Beat" shown in the upper right-hand corner of Figure 3.10. These sliders can be moved much more vigorously than the "PControl Laser" sliders, and have little hysteresis. Observe the spectrum analyzer with low attenuation in the $0-50 \mathrm{MHz}$ region while adjusting the sliders until a signal is obtained. Then optimize the signal while gradually increasing the attenuation until 20 dB of signal-to-noise $(\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N})$ is obtained at 30 dB of attenuation.

### 3.2.4 Carrier Envelope Offset and Repetition Frequency Locking

Once the offset beat has 20 dB of $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$, one can then adjust $f_{R E P}$ and $f_{C E O}$. Before either lockbox is activated, one must first ensure that both lockboxes are centered within their capture range. This can be determined by observing the digital readout shown in the software lockbox controls, shown in Figure 3.12. If either lockbox is not centered, the value can be changed by turning the knob illustrated in Figure 3.13 while monitoring the digital readout until the numbers are near 0.5 and 5 V respectively. Once the lockbox offsets are centered, the carrier envelope offset (CEO) should be set to near 20 MHz . Press the "Center Frequency Button" on the spectrum analyzer coming from the carrier envelope offset OFD. Type in "20." and then press "Center Frequency" again, and the spectrum analyzer will now show the middle vertical line as 20 MHz . If the offset beat is not at 20 MHz , move the CEO by pressing the "Forward" and "Backward" buttons shown in Figure 3.12 in steps of size between 1 and 10. As the CEO begins to approach the correct
value, the absolute value of the slope of the error signal, shown in the top trace of Figure 3.14, decreases. Once the absolute value of the slope of the error signal shows little decrease when a step of 1 is made, the CEO is in the correct place.

If the DDS were connected to the frequency comb computer, a similar procedure could be followed for the repetition frequency. However, as the DDS is scanned by the spectroscopy LabVIEW program and computer, the DDS must be manually reset. This is done by observing the "Downmixed Repetition Rate" (DMRR) shown in Figure 3.15, and manually changing the DDS to match this value. This is accomplished by pressing the knob of the DDS in (to gain local control of the device), and using the left and right arrows to move the indicating vertical arrow to the correct position and turning the knob to the correct value to match the DMRR. As the DDS was constructed in Germany, the European convention of using a comma as the decimal point, and periods to denote three digits is used. An example of the DDS screen is shown in Figure 3.16. As the frequency of the DDS is adjusted to the near the correct value, the error signal of $f_{R E P}$, shown in lower trace in Figure 3.14 , will begin to oscillate less often. Usually when the value is within 10 Hz , it is possible to lock $f_{R E P}$. The lock is engaged either by pressing the "ON/OFF" software button shown in Figure 3.12 or by pressing the physical button on the lockbox shown in Figure 3.13.


Figure 3.12: The software controls for the offset (left) and frequency (right) lockboxes indicate when the lock is activated, locked, and what the offset of the capture range is. Both lockboxes should be set to near the center of the travel. For the "Offset Lockbox" this should be 0.5 V . For the "Rep Rate Lockbox" this should be 5 V .


Figure 3.13: A picture of the lockboxes used for locking the carrier envelope offset (left) and frequency (right) of the fs-comb laser. The knob for adjusting the offset is circled in red. The button for turning the lock on and off glows green when engaged. The red LED to the right of the green switch is illuminated when the locking mechanism is engaged but not locked.


Figure 3.14: Oscilloscope trace of of the error signals generated by the locking electronics when $f_{C E O}$ is not locked (top), but $f_{R E P}$ is (bottom). When $f_{R E P}$ is unlocked, a sine wave is apparent in the bottom trace.


Figure 3.15: A screenshot of the "Counters View" box of the Fiber-comb software. The top value is the "Downmixed Repetition Rate" used to determine the repetition frequency of the fiber-laser as described by Equation 3.6 in Section 3.3 and as used to adjust the fiber-laser's repetition frequency lock. The second value is the absolute value of "Offset Beat", used as part of Equation 3.4 to determine the unknown cw laser's frequency. "Channel $\# 3$ " is the counter reading from the visible channel which is used to measure the absolute value of the beat frequency of the Ti:Sapphire laser. "CW Beat 2: 1064 nm " is the counter reading from the IR channel which is used to measure the absolute value of the beat frequency of the YAG laser. The reported Allan variances $(\mathrm{Hz})$ are for the last 100-1000 data points taken and are only useful if the laser is actively stabilized to something $\left(f_{R E P}\right.$ and $f_{C E O}$ are actively stabilized, and their variance therefore has meaning).


Figure 3.16: A picture of the DDS screen where the left and right arrows are apparent, as well as the display indicating 20, 034, 011.00000 Hz . The indicating arrow is at the 1 Hz position


Figure 3.17: Dialogue for controlling the amplifier, waveplate, and piezo stage for the doubled comb radiation and photonic crystal fiber.

### 3.2.5 Frequency Doubled Comb Radiation

Once $f_{R E P}$ and $f_{C E O}$ are locked, one can then turn the frequency doubled comb radiation on. This is accomplished by clicking the "ON/OFF" switch located in the bottom left corner of the "CW Beat Control" dialogue shown in Figure 3.17. Once the amplifier is on, the power output from the SHG stage should be measured using the Coherent power meter outside of the comb box. Approximately 80 mW of doubled comb radiation can be obtained when the "PControl Amplifier" sliders are adjusted. Once $70-80 \mathrm{~mW}$ is achieved, the light can enter the photonic crystal fiber (PCF). By placing a business card (or other $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ laced surface) after the translation stage with the PCF, one can monitor the coupling by viewing the business card with an IR viewer. The XYZ stage controls shown in Figure 3.17 should be set to the middle setting by clicking on " 38 V ". Then the XYZ micrometers should be manually adjusted to maximize the light passing through the fiber. If no light is seen, please refer to Appendix B. Once the light is visible without an IR viewer, optimization should continue until the brightest, whitest light comes out of the fiber (it will still be teal/green- but the whiter the color the better the broadening). A red glass filter reflects most of the visible comb radiation and only the NIR components pass through.

### 3.2.6 Collinearity of Comb and CW Radiation

The comb radiation bounces off of two mirrors and then is made collinear with the incoming Ti:Sapphire radiation on a polarizing beam splitter (PBS) as indicated in Figure 3.6. In order to increase the collinearity path length, a small mirror is inserted after the $2^{\text {nd }}$ PBS and before the grating to reflect both lasers outside of the frequency comb box. The collinearity is adjusted with two mirrors before the half wave plates for the Ti:Sapphire or the comb radiation. Once suitable collinearity is achieved, the small mirror is removed and both lasers reflect off of the grating. Depending on the wavelength of the Ti:Sapphire, the Ti:Sapphire radiation may not reach the next mirror. The top screw of the grating adjusts the horizontal pointing of the grating by small amounts. The horizontal pointing of the grating should be adjusted such that the Ti:Sapphire spot appears near the center of the next steering mirror. If a large wavelength change was made (for instance, when the Ti:Sapphire Matisse Optical Sets are changed from MOS3 to MOS2), rotation of the entire grating mount will be necessary. The Ti:Sapphire beam spot should hit the iris in front of the detector. The next steering mirror can also be horizontally adjusted. The vertical pointing should seldom need adjusting.

### 3.2.7 Comb Radiation at the Correct Wavelength

Once the lasers are collinear and aligned to the detector, one must ensure that the light broadened by the PCF (described in Section 3.2.5) is at the correct wavelength. This is accomplished by placing a white piece of cardboard before the iris in front of the detector, and using a NIR viewer to look at the horizontally dispersed radiation of the comb light and compare the spatial distribution of comb light with that of the Ti:Sapphire. If there are "dark spots" in the dispersed comb where the Ti:Sapphire radiation is, the comb wavelength is not correct and no beat note can be observed. Adjust the "PControl Amplifier" sliders shown in Figure 3.17 until no dark spots are visible where the Ti:Sapphire radiation occurs.

### 3.2.8 Obtaining CW Beat Note

Once the cw laser and comb are collinear, spatially mode matched, of the same polarization, and the comb is broadened to the wavelength of the $\mathrm{Ti}: S a p p h i r e$, the beat note should be apparent on the detector. One monitors for the beat note by directly connecting the input of one of the spectrum analyzers to the output of the photodiode. This is illustrated in Figure 3.18. By increasing the span of the spectrum analyzer to 100 or 200 MHz , a beat note at 100 MHz (the repetition frequency of the fs laser) should be apparent when comb radiation is on the detector. This 100 MHz beat note is the spacing between adjacent comb modes $\left(f_{R E P}\right)$. If this beat note is not visible, this means the comb radiation is not reaching the detector and the alignment to the detector should be changed by adjusting the vertical and horizontal displacement of the lens shown in Figure 3.6. Once $f_{R E P}$ is visible, the spectrum analyzer can be adjusted for a 50 MHz sweep centered at 25 MHz . When the Ti:Sapphire and comb are perfectly collinear, of the same polarization, spatially mode matched, and the comb has radiation at the same frequency as the Ti:Sapphire a beat note should be apparent. The proportion of light from the comb and the Ti:Sapphire should be balanced, by rotating the half wave-plate in between the two PBS's shown in Figure 3.6. If no beat note is apparent, the steps outlined in sections 3.2 .6 through 3.2 .8 should be repeated until a beat note is found.


Figure 3.18: Cartoon diagramming nominal and temporary BNC connections. Normally the "CW Beat 2" from the photodiode is plugged into "APD In" of the "OFD100 Offset Control" and the monitor of the "Offset Control" is connected to the spectrum analyzer. The red line indicates that the "CW Beat Control 2 " can be directly connected to the spectrum analyzer input.

### 3.2.9 Optimizing CW Beat Note

Once a suspected beat note is observed, it should be verified before optimization is attempted. Blocking either the input Ti:Sapphire or the comb radiation will make the beat note disappear. If blocking either radiation source does not remove the beat, probably too much power from either the Ti:Sapphire or comb is on the detector, and the balance should be adjusted with the third half wave-plate. Finally, by scanning the Ti :Sapphire at a slow speed ( $\sim 1 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{s}$ ) should slowly scan the beat note across the spectrum analyzer, within the RF filters' bandpass. Once the beat note $\left(f_{C W}\right)$ has been verified, the signal can be optimized. The Ti:Sapphire should be scanned until the beat note is approximately centered at 20 MHz . Then the 50 MHz and 30 MHz low pass filters (Mini-Circuits BLP-50+, BLP-30+) and external bandpass filter (MiniCircuits BBP-21.4 ${ }^{+}$) can be inserted in-between the output of "CW Beat 2 " and the APD in as shown in Figure 3.18.

Once the harmonics of $f_{R E P}$ and other noise is removed with the low-pass and bandpass filters, the input attenuation of the spectrum analyzers can be adjusted as necessary to aid in CW Beat optimization. The beat note can be adjusted by changing the parameters listed in Sections 3.2.6 through 3.2.8. Several iterations between the vertical and horizontal placement of the lens, the rotation of all three half-wave plates and collinearity mirrors shown in Figure 3.6, the XYZ stage of the non-linear PCF and the "PControl Amplifier" sliders shown in Figure 3.17 may be necessary. The XYZ stage of the PCF can be adjusted, both manually and by computer control. Initial coarse adjustment will occur manually and finer adjustment will occur using the XYZ control shown in Figure 3.17. The beat note should be optimized until a signal to noise ratio (SNR) of at least 20 is seen with 40 dB of input attenuation. Then the signs of the beat notes can be
determined.

### 3.3 Measurement of Ti:Sapphire laser

Once a cw beat is observed on the spectrum analyzer with a SNR of at least 20 with 40 dB of input attenuation, the absolute frequency of the cw laser can be measured. Equations 3.4 and 3.5 are used to determine the frequency of the input laser. Equation 3.4 is used for the fundamental comb (YAG input) and Equation 3.5 is used for the frequency doubled comb (Ti:Sapphire input). The values of $f_{R E P},\left|f_{C E O}\right|$ and $\left|f_{C W}\right|$ are determined directly from the frequency comb. The Offset Beat $\left(\left|f_{C E O}\right|\right)$ and the CW Beat $\left(\left|f_{C W}\right|\right)$ are shown on the "Counters View" screen shown in Figure 3.15. The repetition frequency $\left(f_{R E P}\right)$ is calculated from the "Downmixed Repetition Rate" (DMRR) shown in Figure 3.15 using Equation 3.6. The value of the comb mode participating with the cw laser, $n$, and the sign of $f_{C E O}$ and $f_{C W}$ must be determined before Equations 3.4 and 3.5 can be used to determine the frequency of the cw laser. The procedure for determining these values is to first determine the sign of the cw beat $\left(f_{C W}\right)$, then the sign of the carrier envelope offset beat $\left(f_{C E O}\right)$, and then the comb mode number $n$.

$$
\begin{gather*}
f_{Y A G}=n \times f_{R E P} \pm f_{C E O} \pm f_{C W}  \tag{3.4}\\
f_{\text {Ti:Sapphire }}=n \times f_{R E P} \pm 2 f_{C E O} \pm f_{C W}  \tag{3.5}\\
f_{R E P}=\frac{f_{D M R R}+980}{10} \mathrm{MHz} \tag{3.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

### 3.3.1 CW Beat Note Sign Determination

The sign of the CW beat note can be determined by either scanning the repetition rate of the frequency comb (as described in the MenloSystems manual [10]) while the Ti:Sapphire is fixed, or scanning the frequency of the Ti :Sapphire while the repetition rate of the frequency comb is fixed. Figure 3.19 shows an explanation of how the sign of the CW Beat is determined. Normal use of the comb will usually find the second case more intuitive. The figure shows the case of the Ti:Sapphire frequency being higher than the nearest comb mode, meaning that the beat sign is positive. When the laser frequency increases (the wavelength and reference cell piezo voltage decrease), the beat frequency increases. This can lead to the information on the left half of Figure 3.20. When the direction of travel of the reference cell piezo and the CW beat are opposite in sign,
the cw beat is positive, and when they are in the same direction, the sign is negative.


Figure 3.19: A case of a positive beat frequency. The left side of the figure shows the effect on the cw beat frequency when scanning the repetition frequency of the fs laser while the Ti:Sapphire frequency is fixed. The right side of the figure shows the effect of scanning the Ti:Sapphire frequency while the fs laser frequency is fixed.


Figure 3.20: A chart indicating how to determining the sign of the CW beat of the Ti:Sapphire and laser the CEO beat sign of the frequency comb. The wavelength of the Ti:Sapphire corresponds to the piezo voltage of the reference cell. If the wavelength and CW beat travel in the same direction (CW beat increases with wavelength) then the sign of the CW beat is negative. If the wavelength and CW beat travel in opposite directions (CW beat decreases with wavelength) then the sign of the CW beat is positive. For a positive CW sign, if the offset frequency decreases while the CW beat of the Ti:Sapphire decreases, then the CEO beat sign is negative. For a positive CW sign, if the offset frequency increases while the CW beat of the Ti:Sapphire decreases, then the offset beat sign is positive.

### 3.3.2 Carrier Envelope Offset Beat Note Sign Determination

Once the sign of the cw beat note has been determined, the sign of the CEO beat can be determined. Figure 3.21 shows a visual explanation of the CEO of an optical frequency comb. When the octave spanning comb is frequency doubled, one of the red comb lines from the frequency doubled comb is heterodyned with the one of the blue comb lines of the fundamental comb. The heterodyne beat between them is measured near 20 MHz , but it matters if the frequency doubled comb mode contribution is higher in frequency (positive CEO), or lower in frequency than the fundamental comb mode contribution (negative CEO).

The sign of the $f_{C E O}$ is determined by unlocking the offset lock (described in Sections 3.1.2 and 3.2.4) and changing $f_{C E O}$ by adjusting the knob shown in Figure 3.13. The direction of frequency change in CEO is noted, as well as the direction of change in cw beat frequency. The chart in Figure 3.20 is consulted and


Figure 3.21: A case of a positive beat frequency and positive offset beat frequency. The top case shows the conditions before the CEO of the comb is changed. The lower chart shows the effect of increasing the offset frequency. Overall, the graphic illustrates that the cw beat frequency will decrease when the offset frequency is increased while the Ti:Sapphire frequency is fixed for a positive cw and offset beat. Understanding this figure, together with Figure 3.19 illustrates how Figure 3.20 was constructed.
the appropriate sign is determined from the chart.

### 3.3.3 Comb Mode Number Determination

Once the sign of the cw and CEO beats are determined, and the wavemeter is aligned, the comb mode number contributing to the beat note can be determined. Figure 3.22 shows a screenshot of a part of the LabVIEW program used to determine the cw laser's frequency. On the bottom right of the screenshot, two


Figure 3.22: Two toggle switches at the bottom right of the screenshot are used to indicate the sign of the offset and cw beat frequencies. Pointing up means a positive sign, pointing down means a negative sign. The two boxes at the top left of the screenshot indicate the wavemeter wavelength and frequency.
toggle switches are used to indicate the sign of the offset and cw beat frequencies. These must be in the correct position, "up" for positive and "down" for negative. When the "View Frequency Comb" button is pressed, the computer communicates with the OFC to read out several of the values, such as the "Time Stamp", the "Rep Rate Counter", the "Offset Frequency" $\left(f_{C E O}\right)$ and cw beat "Matisse Beat" $\left(f_{C W}\right)$. The Repetition Rate $\left(f_{R E P}\right)$ is calculated from the "Rep Rate Counter" value using Equation 3.2. Now that the signs of $f_{C E O}$ and $f_{c w}$ are known the only unknown is the mode number $n$, and Equation 3.5 can be solved for the mode number using an estimation of $f_{T i: S a p p h i r e}$ from the wavemeter $\left(f_{\text {Ti:Sapphire,wavemeter }}\right)$ as shown with Equation 3.7.

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{\text {estimate }}=\frac{f_{T i: \text { Sapphire,wavemeter }} \mp 2 f_{C E O} \mp f_{C W}}{f_{R E P}} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For unambiguous determination of the frequency comb mode contribution number $(n)$, usually a wavemeter with accuracy better than half the repetition rate of the frequency comb is required. For a 100 MHz comb, a wavemeter with accuracy better than 50 MHz should be required. As the wavemeter used has an uncertainty of 65 MHz at 920 nm , the wavemeter may not have high enough accuracy to determine the mode number. This ambiguity was investigated and it was determined that there are circumstances when the wavemeter is precise enough to determine the comb mode number. The uncertainty of the wavemeter is
usually an offset that can change from day to day and is sometimes dependent on alignment. The precision of the offset is fairly constant through the course of the day. The offset can be determined unambiguously by scanning over the hyperfine transitions of iodine using the wavemeter. The difference between the actual transitions and the reading on the wavemeter is the offset. Once the offset is known, the wavemeter can be used to unambiguously determine the comb mode contribution number.

Alternatively the comb number contribution can also be determined without scanning over iodine first, as long as the offset of the wavemeter is less than 35 MHz . When Equation 3.7 is used, the calculated value is never an exact integer. Instead the calculated mode number has at least two digits of precision after the decimal. If the calculated number is within 0.35 of the comb mode number, it is determined unambiguously. An explanation of this principle is shown in Figure 3.23.


Figure 3.23: Visual explanation of how a 65 MHz accuracy wavemeter can provide unambiguous comb mode determination. The vertical black lines indicate the position of comb modes. The shorter, vertical red line illustrates the position of the Ti:Sapphire laser. The horizontal blue line represents the uncertainty of the wavemeter. The central green box represents the $\pm 35 \mathrm{MHz}$ where the comb mode number can be unambiguously determined. The blue boxes on the sides represent the ambiguous region of comb mode determination. If the mode number calculated by Equation 3.7 is within the unambiguous region, the comb mode number is known.

Figure 3.23 illustrates that as long as the as the comb mode number is within $\pm 0.35$ of an integer, the comb mode can be unambiguously determined without additional information. If the comb mode is outside the $\pm 0.35$, an iodine scan is required to determine the wavemeter offset. Once the wavemeter offset is determined, the comb mode number can be unambiguously determined.

### 3.3.4 Measurement of Laser Frequency

With the comb mode number accurately determined, the signs of the Offset and CW beat determined, the repetition rate, the offset beat frequency, and the CW beat frequency, all the unknowns of Equation 3.5 are known, and it can be used to determine the frequency of the Ti:Sapphire laser. Once the actual comb mode number is typed into the "Input Mode Number" field, and the correct Offset and CW signs are selected,
the software will automatically calculate the wavelength of the Ti:Sapphire as measured with the comb. If a scan is underway, the LabVIEW program will automatically save this value.

It is important to point out that the wavemeter and frequency comb measurements normally occur before any manipulation with the double-passed acoustooptic modulator (AOM), which shifts the frequency of the light by twice the frequency of the RF signal applied to the AOM. Post-signal processing is used to account for this shift. This convention was chosen for two reasons. First, the value of the frequency of the laser as determined by the frequency comb should refer to the frequency of light actually entering the frequency comb. Second, by post-signal processing, the user is aware of, and can account for, any incorrect assumptions about the sign of the shift induced by the AOM.

Once the data is read into an Igor data calibration routine, the assumption that the correct comb mode number is checked by graphing the assumed mode number (input into the program) with the calculated mode number calculated from Equation 3.7. Such a graph is shown in Figure 3.24(a). As the centroid of the Gaussian fit to the histogram (Figure $3.24(\mathrm{~b})$ ) is firmly within the unambiguously determined region, one can be confident that the correct mode number was chosen.


Figure 3.24: Graphs of comb scans illustrating how the assumption of the comb mode number determination was validated.

### 3.3.5 Recording Frequency Comb Data

Recording the data into the LabVIEW spectroscopy program is a two-step automated process. The frequency comb program can automatically generate an XML-RPC server, if the correct setting in the setup file is selected. An example of the setup file is shown in Section B. 3 of Appendix B. Once the Fiber Comb Control program is generating the XML-RPC server, it can be read by a simple Python script. LabVIEW allows Python code to run inside of LabVIEW. An example of the python code used to read the values is found in Section B.4. The LabVIEW code automatically records important values from the comb and the
wavemeter, records the user's input of the signs of the offset and CW beats and the assumed mode number, and calculates and records the frequency of the Ti:Sapphire laser unshifted by the AOM.

### 3.4 Scanning Comb

Precise frequency counting measurements require a narrow RF bandpass filter. The comb electronics arrived with an RF bandpass filter roughly 20.3 MHz to 23 MHz . Therefore, measurements of the cw beat of the Ti:Sapphire laser against a comb mode can only occur when the Ti:Sapphire laser is between 20.3 and 23 MHz away from the nearest comb mode. Because typical comb scans occurred over at least 600 MHz , gaps with 50 MHz spans would lose a lot of frequency calibration. Therefore, the repetition rate of the fs comb laser was incremented when the measured beat frequency approached the limits of the bandpass filters. By writing a program to integrate with the direct digital synthesizer (DDS) of the frequency comb, the repetition frequency of the fs laser was changed by 1.2 Hz every $4-5$ data points. As a result, the measurement of the frequency would be made with a single comb mode during the entire scan.

Scanning was accomplished by automatically performing some calculations at each data point. The absolute value of the CW beat was compared to the low and high limits input into the program (see Figure 3.22 ). If the value is beneath the high limit and above the low limit, the scanning mode is set to 0 (inactive). If not, the program goes on to determine if the beat frequency should increase or decrease. The average of the low and high limit is determined. If the CW beat value is larger than the average limit, the scanning mode is set to 1 (decrease beat frequency). If the value is smaller than the average limit, the scanning mode is set to -1 (increase the beat frequency). The program takes into account whether the laser frequency is increasing or decreasing, and whether or not the CW beat frequency is positive or negative. As illustrated in Figure $3.25, f_{R E P}$ will be increased if the laser frequency is increasing, and either the beat is too high with positive sign, or too low with negative sign. $f_{R E P}$ will be decreased if the laser frequency is decreasing, and either the beat is too high of a negative value, or too low of a positive value. A chart in Figure 3.25(b) illustrates the logic the LabVIEW program uses to determine if the frequency should be increased or decreased depending on the CW beat sign, the scanning mode, and the piezo scanning direction.

(a) A chart illustrating how to determine which direction the fs rep rate should be changed.

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | -1 | $\lambda \downarrow$ | $\mathrm{R} \uparrow$ |
| - | 1 | $\lambda \uparrow$ | $R \downarrow$ |
| + | 1 | $\lambda \downarrow$ | $\mathrm{R} \uparrow$ |
| + | -1 | $\lambda \uparrow$ | $R \downarrow$ |

(b) Chart illustrating which direction to turn to change the repetition rate dependent on the scanning mode, and CW Beat sign.

Figure 3.25: Illustration of how to determine which direction to slew the optical frequency comb repetition rate.

## Chapter 4

## Spectroscopic Method

Three methods were used to attempt laser-based spectroscopic measurements in the SCRIBES instrument: cavity ringdown (CRDS), cavity enhanced absorption spectroscopy (CEAS), and noise immune cavity enhanced optical heterodyne modulation spectroscopy (NICE-OHMS). In the end, neither CRDS nor CEAS were able to measure the absorption signal of the ion beam, and NICE-OHMS was used to measure the dispersion signal of the ion beam. Therefore only the NICE-OHMS spectroscopy will be described.

### 4.1 Noise Immune Cavity Enhanced Optical Heterodyne Molecular Spectroscopy

Noise immune cavity enhanced optical heterodyne molecular spectroscopy (NICE-OHMS) was developed by Jun Ye as a highly sensitive detection technique. [81] Typical sensitive absorption techniques had previously worked on either reducing the background noise, or increasing the intrinsic absorption signal. NICEOHMS works by doing both at the same time. NICE-OHMS is based first on frequency modulation (fm) spectroscopy, to reduce the background noise. In a sense, frequency modulation collects data from an onresonance signal and off resonance signal and subtracts the two, thus providing a signal where there is no output unless there is some form of optical resonance. This is accomplished by using an electrooptic modulator (EOM) to add sidebands to the carrier of the laser, where one side band will collect data with on resonance and another sideband will be off resonance. An EOM is a nonlinear crystal where an electric field is applied to the crystal via electrodes. The applied electric field changes the index of refraction of the material, which changes the phase delay of a laser beam sent through the crystal. The EOMs used for frequency modulation (and NICE-OHMS) are used as phase modulators which provide a variable phase shift on a linearly polarized input beam. The RF signal induces a change in the crystal's extraordinary index of refraction and causes a phase shift in the optical signal. When an RF signal is applied to the crystal, the optical beam is frequency modulated, and some of the light at the carrier frequency is converted into sidebands at a multiple of the modulation frequency.

In addition, an optical cavity is also used in conjunction with the fm spectroscopy. In order for the carrier and sidebands to transmit through the cavity, the side band spacing must match the free spectral range (FSR) of the cavity. This configuration suppresses laser-frequency noise in the light transmitted through the cavity, and gives an enhancement factor without increased frequency noise. The sideband frequency is usually high enough that the laser source noise level approaches the shot-noise limit, thus increasing the sensitivity. The noise immunity principle arises because the sidebands are added at the cavity FSR. Any small frequency variations of the laser will still lead to amplitude fluctuations in the transmitted carrier, but the same amplitude fluctuations occur for the sidebands on adjacent cavity modes, and therefore the net signal is unaffected. Ye originally demonstrated NICE-OHMS sensitivity at $1 \times 10^{-14} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} \sqrt{\mathrm{~Hz}}^{-1}$ on overtone transitions of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{HD}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at $1.064 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. [81] Ye originally observed only sub-Doppler dispersion.

Ye demonstrated that 2 EOMs can be used to add two sets of FM sidebands to the laser. A low frequency RF component is detected as a cavity-back reflection signal to produce the cavity-dispersion locking error signal for locking the laser to the cavity. A high frequency RF component equal to the FSR of the cavity is added by the second EOM, and is demodulated from the signal observed after transmission through the cavity

### 4.2 Optical Setup



Figure 4.1: Optical and detection layout for NICE-OHMS.

An overview of the spectroscopic optical train and detection system is shown in Figure 4.1 and has been described previously. [13,46, 86] The optics set of the Ti:Sapphire laser (described in Chapter 2) was switched to the long wave optics and the frequency set near 920 nm . The output of the etalon locked Ti:Sapphire laser was passed through a Faraday isolator (Optics For Research, IO-5-NIR-LP) to make sure that no back reflections from any optical surface or cavity interfere with the stability of the laser. A BK-7 window was used to pick off two reference beams, with one going to the mid-infrared wavemeter (Bristol 621A-IR), and one going to the optical frequency comb (described in Chapter 3). The wavemeter has an absolute frequency accuracy of 0.2 ppm , or 65 MHz at 920 nm (the wavelength for near-IR $\mathrm{N}_{2}^{+}$spectroscopy), 77 MHz at 780 nm (the wavelength of the Ti:Sapphire for DFG generation), and 20 MHz at 3000 nm (the wavelength of DFG laser light). The laser was then double passed through a 85 MHz resonant acoustooptic modulator (AOM) (Brimrose, TEM-85-2 (AOM) and FFA-85-B2-F2.25-X (driver)), quarter wave plate (QWP) lens and retro-reflector, so that the pointing of the laser beam would be immune to changes caused by changes in the AOM frequency. [137] An AOM is a device where the index of refraction is modulated by propagating sound waves. For this setup, the AOM induced a 170 MHz redshift to the laser light. Two sets of RF sidebands are added by two electrooptic modulators (EOM). Mode matching to the cavity was performed with two 1 $m$ lenses. The first lens was located after the polarizing beam splitter (PBS). The first non-resonant EOM (Thorlabs EO-PM-NR-C1) was placed near the focal length of the first lens, and added sidebands at $\sim 30$ MHz with small modulation index. A second BK7 window was used to couple in a visible HeNe laser to aid in alignment. The laser beam then passed through the second resonant EOM (Newport 4003, Frequency spacing $=113 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) roughly $15 "$ before the cavity. The laser then passed through the second mode matching lens and a third BK7 window. This final BK7 window was used to reflect some of the back-reflection off of the cavity onto a detector. The output of this detector is demodulated with an RF mixer to generate an error signal. [138] An analog lockbox constructed by Mr. Brian Siller (which will be described in his dissertation) was used to lock the laser frequency to the cavity. Slow corrections were sent to the piezoelectric transducer which controls the length of the cavity. Fast corrections were sent to the voltage controlled oscillator (VCO) that drives the AOM. An RF generator (HP 8657A) was used to reference the heterodyne demodulation, and to drive the second EOM by passing the signal through a phase shifter and amplifier.

The second EOM placed sidebands on the laser at around 113 MHz , the FSR of the optical cavity. The heterodyne signal between the carrier and sidebands was detected by a fast silicon photoreceiver (New Focus 1801-FS-AC). The RF signal was attenuated (10dB) and amplified (Mini-Circuits ZFL-500LN ${ }^{+}$), split into two signals (Mini-Circuits ZFSC- $2-4^{+}$), and demodulated with two separate mixers (Mini-Circuits ZFM- $4^{+}$). The mixers were referenced to the same 113 MHz signal used to drive the second EOM. Two cables with
different lengths are used to induce a $90^{\circ}$ relative phase shift between the reference signals of the two mixers. The overall detection phase is adjusted using an RF phase shifter (Minicircuits JSPHS- $150^{+}$) in the line between the RF generator and the amplifier that drives the 113 MHz EOM. The phase shifter calibration data is found in Appendix C and a plot of the phase shift versus input voltage is shown in Figure 4.2. The output of the two separate mixers were put into the inputs of two separate lock-in amplifiers. The lock-in amplifiers were referenced to a function generator which drove the low frequency intracavity velocity modulation.


Figure 4.2: Relative phase shift of 150 MHz phase shifter with respect to input voltage.

The AOM, which allows fast corrections to the laser frequency, works by imparting a frequency shift to the laser light. One can determine the sign of the AOM frequency shift by understanding the process of how the light interacts with the AOM crystal. An 85 MHz RF signal is applied to a transducer that drives sound waves in the AOM material. The sound waves cause variations in the index of refraction of the crystal. The light will be diffracted into several beams via Brillouin scattering, with each beam being Doppler shifted by the frequency of the sound wave in the material. The diffraction angle, $\theta$, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin \theta=\frac{m \lambda_{0}}{2 \Lambda} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Lambda$ is the wavelength of the acoustic waves for the AOM material, $\lambda_{0}$ is the wavelength of the light, and $m$ is the diffraction order of the grating which can have a positive or negative sign.

There are two types of AOMs, traveling wave and standing wave AOMs. Traveling wave AOMs induce a frequency shift to the light as it is diffracted, while standing wave AOMs do not. For our spectroscopy, a traveling wave AOM was used. Therefore, for each pass through the AOM a frequency shift $(F)$ equal to the RF wave traveling through the AOM is imparted to the photons (with initial frequency $\nu_{0}$ ). The resulting photon frequency $\left(\nu^{\prime}\right)$ is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu^{\prime}=\nu_{0}+m F \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure 4.3(a) illustrates that by observing which direction the light is deflected one can determine if the

Doppler shift is a blue or red shift. The phonons travel from the transducer through the crystal with a velocity given by the speed of sound in the crystal. If the scattered light is bent away from the transducer (e.i., $\sin \theta$ is positive) it is a positive mode diffraction order corresponding to a blue shift. If it moves towards the transducer (e.i., $\sin \theta$ is negative), it is a negative mode diffraction order corresponding to a red shift. The double pass setup is shown in Figure 4.3(b).

(a) The exit angle $( \pm \sin \theta)$ of the diffracted light can help one determine the diffraction order.

(b) The retroreflection of a negative order AOM mode induces a second red shift.

Figure 4.3: The sign of the Doppler shift of the AOM can be determined by determining the geometry of the input and output beams.

For the initial CEVMS [13] and PCEVMS [46] experiments, the AOM was set up with a positive diffraction order. For the NICE-OHMS positive column [86] and $\mathrm{N}_{2}^{+}$ion beam experiments [71], the AOM was set up with a negative diffraction order. Because the failure to properly assign the direction of the AOM shift will lead to inaccuracies of 170 MHz , it was decided that only post-signal processing would be used to account for this shift.

A cavity increases the spectroscopic path length, has a characteristic FSR range, finesse, cavity linewidth and ring-down time (should the input light be lost). The equation for calculating the finesse is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Finesse } \approx \pi \sqrt{\frac{R}{1-R}} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where R is the effective reflectivity of the mirrors. The free spectral range ( $\mathrm{FSR}=c / 2 L$ ), where $L$ is the length of the cavity, can be used to calculate the FWHM of the cavity resonance ( $\mathrm{FWHM}=\mathrm{FSR} /$ finesse). The cavity enhanced length for ion beam spectroscopy can be calculated by $l \times F / \pi$, where $l$ is the sample length. Table 4.1 shows the calculated values of the sample path length, finesse, and FWHM based on the mirror reflectivity. The comparison between the DLASFIB and SCRIBES instruments shows that the finesse has increased, the sample path length has increased, and the cavity linewidth has decreased. Figure 4.4 illustrates the FWHM of the spectroscopy cavity for the SCRIBES instrument.

| Reflectivity <br> Effective \% | Single Pass <br> Path Length $(\mathrm{m})$ | Cavity Enhanced <br> Path Length $(\mathrm{m})$ | Finesse | FWHM <br> $(\mathrm{kHz})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.9690 | 0.15 | 5 | 100 | 1130 |
| 0.9875 | 0.27 | 22 | 250 | 451 |
| 0.9932 | 0.27 | 40 | 460 | 245 |

Table 4.1: Cavity parameters for the DLASFIB $(\mathrm{F}=100)$ and SCRIBES ion beam instrument $\mathrm{F}=(250,460)$.


Figure 4.4: Cavity linewidth for a finesse of 450 with 113 MHz FSR and sideband spacing.

### 4.3 Modifications to Laser Table

Previous experiments with cavity ring-down spectroscopy with the ion beam instrument on the laser table showed that the turbo pumps induced vibrations which adversely affected the optical spectroscopy cavity. Therefore the instrument was removed from the laser table and constructed on a movable substructure. In order to have a cavity surround the ion beam instrument, breadboards were cantilevered off of the end and sides of the laser table. Figure 4.5 shows an overview of the modifications. The red boxes are the new breadboards. The blue boxes represent aluminum plates above and below the laser table. The green rectangle represents the laser table, while the black rectangles represent the 80-20 supports. Two $24 \times 12 \times$ 2.4" breadboards (Newport RG-12-2) were set on Sorbothane isolation vibration (McMaster-Carr 8514K61 70 Hardness $1 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ ) on top of the aluminum plates and were compressed against the plates with several bar clamps (McMaster-Carr 6545A4).


Figure 4.5: Designs for modifications to ion beam laser table.

### 4.4 Cavity Setup

For the rovibronic spectroscopy of $\mathrm{N}_{2}^{+}, 1 "$ diameter mirrors with 1 m radius of curvature and $99.6 \%$ reflectivity at 925 nm were purchased (Rainbow Research Optics).

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{0}^{2}=\frac{\lambda}{2 \pi} \sqrt{d(2 R-d)} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation 4.4 [139] gives the $1 / \mathrm{e}^{2}$ radius of a laser beam to be resonant with a cavity dependent on the wavelength of light $(\lambda)$, the separation between the mirrors $(d)$, and the radius of curvature of the mirrors $(R)$. For the 1.32 m cavity at 920 nm , with mirror radius of 1 m , the radius of the cavity is 0.37 mm , and the expected beam diameter was 0.74 mm . Two 1 m lenses were used to approximately mode match the beam diameter to around 0.8 mm .

### 4.5 Cavity Mounts

Highly stable cavity mounts are essential for achieving good laser/cavity lock. Several iterations of ring-down mounts have been made in the past. In the mounts used for the $\mathrm{N}_{2}^{+}$spectroscopy, brass was used instead of stainless steel because it is much faster to machine, and it is much heavier, and thus, more stable.

An overview schematic of the cavity mounts are shown in Figure 4.6, and detailed schematic drawings are found in Section C.3. In Figure 4.6, the base plate (colored black), the back plate (green), and front plate (blue), the piezoelectric transducer or piezo dummy (red), and the mirror holders (blue) are indicated. Red lines indicate where precision $(1 / 4-80)$ screws are used to push the mounts apart and align the mirrors. These mounts were set on a layer of vibration dampening Sorbothane, and the clamps which pushed the mount down were also separated from the mount with Sorbothane thus minimizing vibrations. It was determined that the mounts needed to stabilize for several days before laser locking could occur.


Figure 4.6: Overview of stable cavity mounts made of brass.

Another iteration of brass cavity mounts, compatible with vacuum sealing to the system is described in Section C. 4 but was not implemented at the time of publication.

### 4.6 Line shape and Sensitivity Equations

In frequency modulation (FM) spectroscopy, the photo-detector signal is proportional to [140]

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{f m}(\nu)=\frac{c \beta E_{0}^{2}}{8 \pi}\left[\left(\delta_{-1}-\delta_{1}\right) \cos \theta_{f m}+\left(\phi_{1}+\phi_{-1}-2 \phi_{0}\right) \sin \theta_{f m}\right] \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{0}$ is the electric field peak amplitude of radiation impinging on the detector, $\beta$ is the heterodyne modulation index, $\delta_{ \pm 1}$ denotes the amplitude attenuation due to the plus and minus sidebands of the laser, and $\phi$ denotes the optical phase shift experienced by the plus and minus sideband, as well as the carrier component. A beat signal is only observed when $\delta_{-1}-\delta_{1} \neq 0$ or when $\phi_{-1}+\phi_{1}-2 \phi_{0} \neq 0$. [140,141] Because the cosine terms describe the amplitude attenuation differences experienced by the sidebands, they represents the absorption of the signal. The sine term denotes the difference in phase shift experienced by the carrier and average phase shifts experienced by the sidebands, and represents the dispersion signal. [140,141] The signal (in V) and line shape for frequency modulation as a function of frequency de-tuning $\left(\nu_{d}\right)$ and

RF detection phase $\left(\theta_{f m}\right)$ is given by [142]

$$
\begin{align*}
S^{f m}\left(\nu_{d}, \theta_{f m}\right) & =\eta_{f m} J_{0}(\beta) J_{1}(\beta) P_{0} l c \alpha_{0}  \tag{4.6}\\
& \times\left[\left[\chi_{-1}^{a b s}\left(\nu_{d}-\nu_{f m}\right)-\chi_{1}^{a b s}\left(\nu_{d}-\nu_{f m}\right)\right] \cos \theta_{f m}\right. \\
& \left.+\left[\chi_{-1}^{d i s p}\left(\nu_{d}-\nu_{f m}\right)-2 \chi_{0}^{d i s p}\left(\nu_{d}-\nu_{f m}\right)+\chi_{-1}^{d i s p}\left(\nu_{d}-\nu_{f m}\right)\right] \sin \theta_{f m}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where $\nu_{d}$ is the de-tuning of the laser from the line center $\nu_{0},\left(\nu_{d}=\nu_{\text {Carrier }}-\nu_{0}\right), \eta_{f m}$ is an instrumentation factor including the responsivity and gain of the detector, and other RF components, $J_{0}(\beta) J_{1}(\beta)$ is a factor proportional to the loss of heterodyne detection with sideband strength, $P_{0}$ is the power incident on the detector, $l$ is the interaction length of the laser with the sample, $c$ is the concentration of the sample, $\alpha_{0}$ is the absorption coefficient on resonance ( $\alpha_{0}=S c p$, where $c p$ is the partial pressure of the analyte of interest, and S is the line strength), $\chi_{ \pm}^{a b s}$ is the general line shape for absorption for the + and - sideband, $\chi_{ \pm, 0}^{\text {disp }}$ is the general line shape for dispersion for the + ,- sidebands and the carrier, and $\nu_{f m}$ is the RF frequency applied to the EOM (sideband spacing).

When frequency modulation is used with a cavity, as in NICE-OHMS, the expected NICE-OHMS signal is enhanced by a factor $\frac{2 F}{\pi}$. [142] The maximum AC value of the detector $\left(\mathrm{AC}_{M a x}\right)$ is equal to $P_{0} \eta_{f m}$, and the voltage signal can be converted to a fractional signal by dividing by $\mathrm{AC}_{\text {Max }}$. In the ion beam experiment, due to the fact that the Doppler splitting caused by the fast ion beam is much larger than the heterodyne frequency and signal linewidth, only one direction of the Doppler shift will be probed by the laser beam at a given frequency. This has two consequences. First, the enhancement factor becomes only $\frac{F}{\pi}$. Second, if optical saturation is present, the laser will still depopulate the lower level, but this loss of population will not be observable as a lamb dip in either dispersion or absorption, as it was with previous AC modulated cavity enhanced, and NICE-OHMS studies. $[13,46,86]$ This is because Lamb dip observations require zero-velocity components, [143] and when the Doppler shift is on the order of $2-3 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, no zero-velocity components are observable. For an ion beam signal, it will be easier to replace ( $\alpha_{0} c$ ) with $(S \rho)$, where $S$ is the line strength ( $\mathrm{cm} /$ ion) and $\rho$ is the ion density (ions $\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ ). Therefore, the expected fractional signal is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{I}{I_{0}}\right)^{f m}\left(\Delta \nu, \theta_{f m}\right) & =\frac{F}{\pi} J_{0}(\beta) J_{1}(\beta) L \rho S  \tag{4.7}\\
& \times\left[\left[\chi^{a b s}\left(\Delta \nu-\nu_{f m}\right)-\chi^{a b s}\left(\Delta \nu-\nu_{f m}\right)\right] \cos \theta_{f m}\right. \\
& \left.+\left[\chi^{d i s p}\left(\Delta \nu-\nu_{f m}\right)-2 \chi^{d i s p}\left(\Delta \nu-\nu_{f m}\right)+\chi^{d i s p}\left(\Delta \nu-\nu_{f m}\right)\right] \sin \theta_{f m}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where the first line contains the components of the signal strength, and the last two lines contain the Doppler
broadened FM general line shape $\left(\chi_{\text {Doppler }}^{f m, n o}\right)$ for absorption and dispersion.
Without the signal strength portion of this equation, the line shape becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi_{\text {Doppler }}^{f m, n o} & =\left[\chi^{a b s}\left(\nu_{d}-\nu_{f m}\right)-\chi^{a b s}\left(\nu_{d}+\nu_{f m}\right)\right] \sin \theta_{f m}  \tag{4.8}\\
& +\left[\chi^{d i s p}\left(\nu_{d}-\nu_{f m}\right)-2 \chi^{d i s p}\left(\nu_{d}\right)+\chi^{d i s p}\left(\nu_{d}+\nu_{f m}\right)\right] \cos \theta_{f m}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\chi^{a b s}$ and $\chi^{\text {disp }}$ represent the general line shapes for Doppler broadened absorption and dispersion.
The Doppler broadened absorption line shape for a peak-normalized Gaussian is [142]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{G}^{a b s}(\nu)=e^{-4 \ln 2(\Delta \nu / \mathrm{FWHM})^{2}} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where FWHM is the full width at half maximum for the Gaussian profile.
The Doppler broadened dispersion line shape, derived from a peak-normalized Gaussian line shape, is given by $[11,142,144]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi^{d i s p}(\nu)=-\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\gamma^{2}} \int_{0}^{\gamma} e^{\gamma^{\prime 2}} d \gamma^{\prime} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma=2 \nu_{d} \sqrt{\ln 2} /$ FWHM. This functional form is called a Dawson function, and is the same shape as a Gaussian times the imaginary error function.

Under optically saturated conditions, the absorption and dispersion lineshapes behave differently. Studies of sub-Doppler and Doppler broadened saturation features show that the absorption amplitude is reduced by a factor $1 / \sqrt{1+G_{j}}$, where $G_{j}$ is a measure of the saturation for each sideband, j , and the rest of the line shape, and the FWHM remain constant. [11] For the case of $\beta \sim 0.36$ with a sideband saturation parameter $\left(\mathrm{G}_{ \pm 1}^{+}\right)$of 3 , the absorption loss was only up to a factor of two. [11] It is expected at higher modulation depth $\beta \sim 0.83$ (as in the case for the ion beam experiment) that there will be more of an absorption loss. Further, when the dispersion line shape follows a Doppler broadened profile, there is no change in the amplitude of the dispersion line shape; [11] it remains unaffected by saturation. When the dispersion line shape has increasing Lorentzian character, for instance when the spectroscopy is sensitive to pressure and transit time broadening, the dispersion line shape is affected by the saturation.

Because NICE-OHMS uses frequency modulation, both the absorption and dispersion signals can be detected as described earlier in Equation 4.8. [11] However, because the signals must be demodulated with an RF mixer, either a linear combination of the two signals is obtained, or the phase shift can be set so that either the dispersion or the absorption signal is extracted. Using an RF phase shifter is helpful to isolate the signals into either one mixer or the other, instead of taking a linear combination of both absorption and
dispersion. By splitting the signal with a power splitter and using two separate mixers, referenced $90^{\circ}$ to each other, both the dispersion and the absorption signals can be observed. In that case, the dispersion and absorption signals can be given by Equations 4.11 and 4.12 respectively.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\chi^{d i s p}=\chi^{d i s p}\left(\nu_{d}-\nu_{f m}\right)-2 \chi^{d i s p}\left(\nu_{d}\right)+\chi^{d i s p}\left(\nu_{d}+\nu_{f m}\right)  \tag{4.11}\\
\chi^{a b s}=\left[\chi^{a b s}\left(\nu_{d}-\nu_{f m}\right)-\chi^{a b s}\left(\nu_{d}+\nu_{f m}\right)\right] \tag{4.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

In addition, the ions are velocity modulated, and therefore the spectroscopic signal undergoes a velocity modulation, which induces a velocity modulation splitting, whose value is smaller than the observed line width. The general line shape functions for dispersion and absorption then follow Equations 4.13 and 4.14, respectively.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\chi_{v m}^{d i s p}=\chi^{d i s p}\left(\nu_{d}-\nu_{f m}-\nu_{v m}\right)-2 \chi^{d i s p}\left(\nu_{d}-\nu_{v m}\right)+\chi^{d i s p}\left(\nu_{d}+\nu_{f m}-\nu_{v m}\right)  \tag{4.13}\\
-\chi^{d i s p}\left(\nu_{d}-\nu_{f m}+\nu_{v m}\right)+2 \chi^{d i s p}\left(\nu_{d}+\nu_{v m}\right)-\chi^{d i s p}\left(\nu_{d}+\nu_{f m}+\nu_{v m}\right) \\
\chi_{v m}^{a b s}=\chi^{a b s}\left(\nu_{d}-\nu_{f m}-\nu_{v m}\right)-\chi^{a b s}\left(\nu_{d}-\nu_{f m}+\nu_{v m}\right)  \tag{4.14}\\
-\chi^{a b s}\left(\nu_{d}-\nu_{f m}-\nu_{v m}\right)+\chi^{a b s}\left(\nu_{d}-\nu_{f m}+\nu_{v m}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

### 4.7 Detector

A 125 MHz photoreceiver (New Focus 1801-FS-AC) was used to record the heterodyne signal. The photoreceiver is supposed to have a $40,000 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{A} \mathrm{AC}$ gain and a $1000 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{A}$ DC gain, however it was determined that those gains were not correct. The detector was calibrated by recording the power with a New Focus power meter, and the voltage from the DC channel was recorded on an oscilloscope. For recording the AC voltage, the AOM was used to modulate the signal, and the voltage from the AC channel was recorded on the oscilloscope. The initial results of the DC and AC voltage as a function of input power are shown in Figure $4.7(\mathrm{a})$. From this graph, it is apparent that the DC response is linear over this power range, and the AC gain is linear up until $350 \mu \mathrm{~W}$. From the input power and the responsivity of the photodiode ( 0.51 A/W), the photocurrent can be calculated. Figure $4.7(\mathrm{~b})$ shows a graph of the DC voltage as a function of the photocurrent, the slope of which gives the DC gain as $8077 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{A}$. Figure $4.7(\mathrm{c})$ shows a graph of the

AC voltage as a function of the photocurrent. At low input power, the AC gain was measured to be 20668 $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{A}$. The AC gain begins to saturate after $350 \mu \mathrm{~W}$ (or $179 \mu \mathrm{~A}$ ). However, it was determined that a stronger spectroscopic signal was found with higher DC levels. Therefore, the gain may not necessarily be a static value. However, the calibration data was collected by fully modulating the laser light on and off with the AOM. As much smaller AC levels are induced by the velocity modulation, it is possible that the gain of the circuit is still constant. We therefore assume that the detector is still in the linear region and note the caveat that it may not be.


Figure 4.7: Graphs indicating detector calibration

A sigmoidal fit provides a functional form for non-linearity of the detector for future use. The functional form for a sigmoidal fit is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=b a s e+\frac{\max }{1+\exp \left(\frac{x h a l f-x}{\text { rate }}\right)} \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The parameters for this fit are found to be: base $=-1.89$, $\max =6.838$, xhal $f=0.527$, and rate $=0.641$ to convert the observed DC signal voltage to an AC voltage value. Alternately, the AC gain can be assumed to be the linear value shown in Figure 4.7 (c) for the first nine points, and calculated for the remaining four points as shown in Figure 4.7(d). A sigmoidal fit to this curve allows the AC gain to be calculated as a function of the AC gain as a function of the DC voltage, which is helpful for data analysis. For this
fit, base $=20803$, $\max =-12669$, xhalf $=3.1776$, and rate $=0.4909$. The values for the detector calibration are found in Table 4.2.

| Power <br> mW | Current <br> mA | V DC <br> $1 \mathrm{M} \Omega$ |  | DC Gain <br> V/A | AC Gain <br> V/A | AC Gain <br> AC V/A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.03 | 0.0153 | 0.251 | 0.776 | 8077 | 50719 | 20668 |
| 0.04 | 0.0204 | 0.278 | 0.872 | 8077 | 42745 | 20668 |
| 0.06 | 0.0306 | 0.346 | 1.08 | 8077 | 35294 | 20668 |
| 0.11 | 0.0561 | 0.591 | 1.74 | 8077 | 31016 | 20668 |
| 0.13 | 0.0663 | 0.688 | 1.95 | 8077 | 29412 | 20668 |
| 0.16 | 0.0816 | 0.795 | 2.24 | 8077 | 27451 | 20668 |
| 0.20 | 0.102 | 0.96 | 2.6 | 8077 | 25490 | 20668 |
| 0.26 | 0.1326 | 1.23 | 3.2 | 8077 | 24133 | 20668 |
| 0.35 | 0.1785 | 1.75 | 4.16 | 8077 | 23305 | 20668 |
| 0.50 | 0.255 | 2.49 | 4.64 | 8077 | 18196 | 18196 |
| 0.62 | 0.3162 | 3.08 | 4.72 | 8077 | 14927 | 14927 |
| 0.91 | 0.4641 | 3.98 | 4.88 | 8077 | 10515 | 10515 |
| 1.15 | 0.5865 | 4.6 | 5.04 | 8077 | 8593 | 8593 |

Table 4.2: Heterodyne detector calibration data.

### 4.8 Expected Line Shape

Graphical examples of the expected line shape are illustrated in this section. If an expected transition is known for a particular rest frequency, observation in a fast ion beam will induce a blue and red shift as illustrated in Figure 4.8(a). The magnitude of the shift away from the rest transition is approximately proportional to the velocity. Therefore, faster ions are shifted further away from the rest frequency to the red and blue sides. When an additional velocity modulation is applied to the ions in the ion beam, each component undergoes an additional splitting, illustrated in Figure 4.8(b). Because the highest frequency shift corresponds to the fastest beam, this will correspond to the negative portion of the function generation of the velocity modulation. Being in phase with the negative portion of the cycle is the same as being $180^{\circ}$ out of phase with the x channel, and so the outer Doppler split component of both $\nu^{+}$and $\nu^{-}$will have a negative phase with respect to the x channel of the lock-in amplifiers (indicated by the negative value).

Figure 4.9 illustrates the effect of the heterodyne on the absorption (left) and dispersion (right) for the blue component from the ion beam Doppler shift. The dotted blue line illustrates the line center of this Doppler shift. The red and blue dash-dot lines illustrate the line center of the velocity modulated components. The solid black vertical lines represent the laser sideband positions when the carrier is parked at the dash-dot positions. One the left side of the graph, only the sideband positions are shown relative to the velocity modulation splitting. On the right side, both sidebands and the carrier are illustrated by the


Figure 4.8: Doppler splitting due to the fast ion beam (a) and velocity modulation (b).
vertical black lines. For the absorption side, compare with Equation 4.14 of four Gaussian line shapes. For the dispersion side, compare with Equation 4.13 of six Dawson line shapes. Each of those components are convoluted together, and the resulting expected lineshapes are illustrated in Figure 4.10.


Figure 4.9: Effect of heterodyne on the absorption and dispersion signals for the blue shifted Doppler component $\left(\nu^{+}\right)$.


Figure 4.10: Expected velocity modulated heterodyne NICE-OHMS line shape for a) absorption and b) dispersion.

### 4.9 Saturation Effects

From theoretical studies on the Doppler broadened NICE-OHMS lineshapes, it is known that saturation can affect the absorption and dispersion by different amounts depending on the line shape and relaxation processes. [11] In order to quantify the effects of this saturation, it is necessary to know the modulation index of the heterodyne sidebands. An electrooptic modulator removes intensity from the carrier and imparts a phase shift, which is equivalent to a frequency shift to different sidebands. By monitoring the laser frequency mode structure after modulation, one can measure the heterodyne depth of modulation ( $\beta$ ). An oscilloscope trace of a spectrum analyzer used to monitor the laser frequency mode structure is shown in Figure 4.11. The height of the peaks can be used to determine the ratio of intensity in the carrier and the sidebands, as illustrated in Figure 4.11(b).


Figure 4.11: Observed spectrum analyzer trace of the frequency modulated Ti:Sapphire laser in a) time and b) frequency.

The amplitude of the frequency modulation follows the Bessel functions of the first kind, of order 0
$\left(\mathrm{J}_{0}(\beta)\right)$ for the carrier and of order $1\left(\mathrm{~J}_{1}(\beta)\right)$ for the sidebands. (Higher order sidebands $\left(\mathrm{J}_{2} \ldots(\beta)\right)$ could be considered, but are omitted for this discussion because even the second order sidebands are fairly weak $(\sim 1 \%)$ at our modulation index $(\beta \sim 0.83)$ ). Figure 4.12 gives an example of the amplitude of the Bessel functions of the zeroth and first orders for a modulation index between zero and two.


Figure 4.12: Bessel functions of the zeroth and first kind for modulation index between zero and two.

It should be remembered that the Bessel functions represent the amplitudes of the electromagnetic wave, whereas the spectrum analyzer detector measures the intensity $\left(\propto\left|E_{0}\right|^{2}\right)$. Therefore, when converting from the ratio of the observed peak height of the carrier to the sidebands, one should use the ratio of the squares of the Bessel functions. Figure 4.13(a) contains calculations of the values of the Bessel functions squared $\left(\left(J_{0} / J_{1}\right)^{2}\right)$. By finding the appropriate ratio (4.8) observed in Figure 4.11 in the y axis of Figure 4.13(a), the modulation index $(\beta)$ can be found on the x axis. For the intensity calculations, the heterodyne value is determined from the product of the amplitudes of the carrier $\left(\mathrm{J}_{0}\right)$ and sideband $\left(\mathrm{J}_{1}\right)$, shown in Figure 4.13(b) $\left(\mathrm{J}_{0}(\beta) J_{1}(\beta)=0.317\right.$ for $\left.\beta=0.83\right)$. Furthermore, as the intensity is split into two sidebands and one carrier, the percentage of the intensity can be determined from the ratio as $\mathrm{I}_{ \pm 1}=100 /($ ratio +2$)$ and $\mathrm{I}_{0}=100-2 \times$ $\mathrm{I}_{ \pm 1}$. In this case, $\mathrm{I}_{ \pm 1}=14.7 \%$ and $\mathrm{I}_{0}=70.5 \%$.


Figure 4.13: Graphs of Bessel function values used for determining modulation depth ( $\beta$ ) and sensitivity parameters.

The general saturation dependent absorption and dispersion line shape functions for a sideband (j) and
saturation parameter $\left(\mathrm{G}_{j}\right)$ were derived by Ma et al. [11]

$$
\begin{gather*}
\chi_{j}^{a b s}\left(\Delta \omega, G_{j}\right)=\chi^{0} \frac{1}{1+G j} \operatorname{Re}\left[w\left(x_{j}+i y_{j}\right)\right]  \tag{4.16}\\
\chi_{j}^{d i s p}\left(\Delta \omega, G_{j}\right)=-\chi^{0} \operatorname{Im}\left[w\left(x_{j}+i y_{j}\right)\right]  \tag{4.17}\\
y_{j}=y \sqrt{1+G_{j}}  \tag{4.18}\\
y=\sqrt{\ln 2} \gamma_{R} / \delta \omega_{D}  \tag{4.19}\\
x_{j}=\left(\Delta \omega+j \omega_{m}\right) \sqrt{\ln 2} / \delta \omega_{D} \tag{4.20}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\mathrm{G}_{j}$ is the saturation parameter for each sideband $(\mathrm{j}= \pm 1,0), \delta \omega_{D}$ is the radial Doppler half-width, $\omega_{m}$ is the sideband spacing or fm frequency, and $\gamma_{t t}$ is the relaxation half width in radial units (caused by transit time broadening). $w\left(x_{j}+i y_{j}\right)$ can be be represented with $z=x_{j}+i y_{j}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(z)=\exp \left[-z^{2}\right](1+i \operatorname{erfi}(z)) \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{j}=G^{+} J_{j}^{2}(\beta)$ and $G^{+}$is the degree of saturation given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{+}=\frac{2 \mu^{2} I^{+}}{3 c \epsilon_{0} \hbar^{2} \gamma_{t t}^{2}} \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Ma [11] simulated the effect of saturation on absorption and dispersion for $G^{+} \leq 100$ and $y \leq 0.1$ and found that when $y=0$, only the absorption intensity was affected by saturation and the dispersion was completely unaffected by saturation (see Figure 4.16(e)). At higher values of $y$ (when the relaxation rate became a non-zero percentage of the overall linewidth) the dispersion began to be affected by the saturation. The $y$ value is influenced by the transit time broadening $\left(\gamma_{t t}\right)$ and then power broadening is taken into account. Ma [11] assumed molecules passing tangentially through a Gaussian laser beam to calculate the transit time as the fastest process under observation, and gave the relaxation half-width as a function of the transit time through laser beam $(\tau=2 w / u)$. The transit time broadening became:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{t t, G a u s s i a n, h w}=\frac{\pi}{2 \tau_{t t}} \mathrm{Mrad} / \mathrm{s} \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w$ is the 1 /e radius of the laser beam and $u$ is the most probable thermal velocity of the molecules. In this case, the molecule starts to interact with the laser beam as it approaches the far-reaching Gaussian
wings of the laser beam. However, this case is not valid for a collinear ion beam/laser beam geometry.

(a) Molecule passing tangentially through laser beam.

(b) Molecule passing collinearly through laser beam.

Figure 4.14: Transit time for a molecule passing a laser beam.

Instead the transit time is approximated by recognizing that the molecule starts oscillating fairly quickly as it approaches the laser beam, and stays in a constant intensity region(see Figure 4.14(b)), approximated with a rectangle, whose spectral intensity profile is given by [145]

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(\omega)=\frac{\sin ^{2}\left[\left(\omega-\omega_{0}\right) \tau / 2\right]}{\left(\omega-\omega_{0}\right)^{2}} \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau$ is the time of the ion spent interacting with the laser and is shown in Figure 4.15.


Figure 4.15: Spectral intensity profile for an ion passing collinearly through a laser beam.

Using L'Hopital's rule, the half max value is determined to be $\tau^{2} / 4$, and numerical calculations determined the FWHM to be $\sim 0.8858 / \tau(\mathrm{Hz})$. For the ion beam system discussed in Chapter 5 , the transit time for a 4 kV beam is $1.639 \mu \mathrm{~s}$, which would correspond to a transit time broadening of 0.54 MHz (FWHM) $\left(\gamma_{t t, \text { radial }, h w}=1.7 \mathrm{Mrad} / \mathrm{s}\right)$. Using Equation 4.19 with an observed 120 MHz linewidth $(\mathrm{FWHM})\left(\delta \omega_{D, h w}=\right.$ $377 \mathrm{Mrad} / \mathrm{s}$ ) gives an expected $y$ value of 0.0038 assuming that the transit time is the fastest homogeneous broadening process.

The intensity of light in the cavity $\left(\mathrm{I}^{+}\right)$is determined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I^{+}=\frac{I_{\times} F}{\pi} \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where F is the Finesse of the cavity and I is the intensity of light coupled into the cavity ( $\mathrm{W} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ). For 100 mW impinging on the cavity, and a $10 \%$ coupling efficiency, approximately 10 mW enter the cavity. The beam radius supported by the cavity is 0.37 mm , corresponding to an area of $4.3 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~m}^{2}$, and an intensity of $3.4 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$. Because $70 \%$ of that intensity goes into the carrier and $14.7 \%$ goes into each sideband, the intracavity intensity of the carrier $\left(I_{\text {Carrier }}^{+}\right)$and sidebands $\left(I_{\text {Sidebands }}^{+}\right)$are 2.4 and $0.5 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$. Using a 0.27 MHz transit time broadening (half width), and Equation 4.22, the carrier and sideband saturation was calculated to be $30229\left(G_{0}^{+}\right)$and $6311\left(G_{ \pm 1}^{+}\right)$respectively.

A Mathematica sheet was used to run the simulations and compare them to the results of Ma. The results of the simulation are shown in Figure $4.16(\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d})$ and the corresponding results from Ma are shown in Figure 4.16(e); the simulations agree with one another.

Once the results of the simulation matched with the published results, the parameters of the simulation were changed to more closely resemble the experimental parameters described above. Sensitivity calculations for the observed signal (see Section 6.6) show a signal $14 \times$ smaller than expected. Using the simulations with the expected saturation parameter (30229 and 6311 for the carrier and sidebands respectively) and the expected $y$ parameter due to power broadening ( 0.0038 ), the simulated dispersion signal only shows $44 \%$ of the unsaturated signal. Simulations of the absorption signal show only $2 \%$ of the absorption signal remaining. As the $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ in most of the scans was on the order of 40 , and the saturated absorption signal is a factor of 55 lower, it is not very surprising that no absorption is observed.

Overall, the fact that transit time broadening and power broadening play any role in the spectroscopy may be surprising. For instance, for a plasma discharge, one is usually limited to a Doppler broadened signal. The Doppler linewidth can be calculated from [146]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \nu_{D, F W H M}=\nu_{0} \sqrt{\frac{8 k T \ln 2}{M c^{2}}} \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ is Boltzmann's constant, $T$ is the temperature, $M$ is the mass of the species, $\nu_{0}$ is the frequency of the transition, and $c$ is the speed of light. For a 600 K plasma of $\mathrm{N}_{2}^{+}$at $10859 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, the expected Doppler-broadened line width is $\sim 1 \mathrm{GHz}$ (FWHM). Due to the nature of the fast ion beam (discussed in Section 5.12), this linewidth is reduced to a sub-Doppler value via kinematic compression.

These results show important implications for the mid-IR. Assuming a $10 \%$ coupling efficiency of $500 \mu \mathrm{~W}$
of mid IR light into a cavity whose cavity mode radius is now 0.68 mm , the intracavity carrier and sideband intensity will become 3583 and $748 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$. Assuming a similar transit time for $\mathrm{HN}_{2}^{+}$, and a transition dipole of 0.22 Debye, [79] the saturation parameters for the carrier and sidebands become $G_{0}^{+}=15$ and $G_{1}^{+}=3$ $\left(G^{+}=21\right)$.
The resulting estimated $y$ value of 0.011 , coupled with the smaller saturation parameters, means there will be far less saturation losses for dispersion then absorption. Using an estimate of the $y$ value, and the saturation parameters, more simulations were performed for the absorption and dispersion in the mid IR. These simulations are shown in Figure 4.18. For the given estimates of the parameters, the dispersion is expected to be reduced down to $96 \%$ of the unsaturated value, and the absorption is expected to be reduced down to $49 \%$ of the unsaturated value.

(e) Simulation by Ma

Figure 4.16: Panels a-d, simulations of absorption and dispersion for $G^{+}=0,1,3,10,30,100$ with $\Delta \nu_{\text {Doppler }, F W H M}=120 \mathrm{MHz}, \omega_{m}=1.6 \Delta_{D}, \beta=0.36, \mathrm{~J}_{0}^{2}=0.97, \mathrm{~J}_{1}^{2}=0.03$. Panel e, published simulations for comparison. [11]


Figure 4.17: Simulations of absorption and dispersion with $\mathrm{y}=0.0028$. Panels $\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{G}^{+}=0,1,3,10,30,100$, $1000,10000,42851$ with $\Delta \nu_{\text {Doppler }, F W H M}=120 \mathrm{MHz}, \nu_{m}=113 \mathrm{MHz}, \beta=0.83, \mathrm{~J}_{0}^{2}=0.70, \mathrm{~J}_{1}^{2}=0.15$.


Figure 4.18: Simulations of absorption and dispersion with $\mathrm{y}=0.011$. Panels $\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{G}^{+}=0,1,3,10,30,63$ with $\Delta \nu_{\text {Doppler }, F W H M}=40 \mathrm{MHz}, \nu_{m}=113 \mathrm{MHz}, \beta=0.83, \mathrm{~J}_{0}^{2}=0.70, \mathrm{~J}_{1}^{2}=0.15$.

## Chapter 5

## Fast Ion Beam System

### 5.1 Overall Design Approach

### 5.1.1 Previous Direct Absorption Measurements of Ion Beams

In 1989, Rich Saykally's group at UC Berkeley reported the first measurement of direct absorption from a fast molecular ion beam. [12] Their instrument was unique because it was the first time in which the general direct absorption process was applied to a molecular ion beam. Other ion beam techniques require specialized molecular systems that allow for pre-dissociation. Due to the high velocity of the ion beam, the recorded spectra underwent a kinematic compression, with observed line widths smaller than the Doppler width of the ions at their kinetic temperature. Another consequence of the increased velocity is a Doppler shift in the observed frequencies, which is related to the mass of the ion of interest, thus providing a mass identification of each spectral line. Some of the techniques the Saykally group used involved performing a mass analysis before the spectroscopy so that the ion beam species could be optimized. Velocity modulation was used to discriminate against the neutrals, a passive optical cavity was used to increase the sensitivity and a double balanced detector was used to reduce the noise of the spectroscopic signal. Initially, a nozzlefilament source was used to produce $\mathrm{HF}^{+}$from neat HF. Plate aperture ion optics were used to extract and collimate the $\mathrm{HF}^{+}$ions from the source. Direct current quadrupoles were used to turn the ion beam $90^{\circ}$ to be coaxial with the laser beam and away from the neutrals created in the source. A tunable color center infrared laser beam was used to probe the ions spectroscopically. Due to stability and tuning issues, the laser was locked at a fixed frequency, while the velocity of the ions was tuned and modulated by the use of a slow ramp and fast square wave coupled onto the drift tube. A schematic of the first DLASFIB instrument is shown in Figure 5.1. Later implementations of the instruments used an uncooled cold cathode to produce $\mathrm{HN}_{2}^{+}$and $\mathrm{HCO}^{+},[78]$ as well as $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$and $\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}$, [79] whose infrared spectra and absolute IR intensities were measured. In this configuration, the first quadrupole was removed, and the ions were probed collinear to the ion production plasma. Only one quadrupole was used to turn the ions into the Wien filter mass
spectrometer.


Figure 5.1: Instrumental layout of the first Direct Laser Absorption Spectroscopy Fast Ion Beam Spectrometer at UC-Berkeley.

Keim reported the rotational temperature $\left(T_{R o t}\right)$ and vibrational temperature $\left(T_{V i b}\right)$ of $\mathrm{HN}_{2}^{+}$ions in the DLASFIB instrument to be 600 and 500 K respectively. $T_{R o t}$ of $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$and $\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}$were reported to be 525 and 400 K respectively, and $T_{V i b}$ was assumed to be equal to $T_{R o t}$ [79]. The increased rotational temperatures would drastically increase the spectral congestion and quantum dilution for many polyatomic ions of astrochemical interest, including many carbocations such as $\mathrm{CH}_{5}^{+}$and $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3}^{+}$. Therefore, while some small polyatomic ions could be studied with an uncooled ion beam, more interesting molecules necessitate better rotational cooling. The Saykally group tried to implement supersonic cooling, but were unable to successfully implement it.

One problem with their implementation of supersonic cooling was that all DLASFIB setups used a monolithic vacuum chamber with a single large-throughput diffusion pump (effective pumping speed $=2300$ $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{s})$. The resulting chamber pressure was on the order of between $2 \times 10^{-4}$ and $3 \times 10^{-5}$ Torr in the ion optical system, including the Wien filter mass spectrometer. This type of an expansion was able to produce rotational temperatures of between 78 and 166 K for $\mathrm{HN}_{2}^{+}$. Attempts to properly cool the ions rotationally with a supersonic expansion using a $2500 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ diffusion ejector pump failed for at least two reasons. First, the pump evacuating the expansion chamber should have been backed with a $142 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ pump while only a $47 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$
pump was used. Second, the designs of their sources were not optimized to reduce arcing when the chamber pressure was in the glow discharge region of the Paschen curve. [147] While free jet expansions easily cool molecular beam expansions and expansion ion discharges down to $10-25 \mathrm{~K}$, it is still unknown whether fast ion beam acceleration through an ion/neutral skimmed region will increase the rotational temperature of cooled molecular ions. [148]

### 5.1.2 Overview of New Ion Beam Instrument

Work in the McCall group has focused on making improvements on a direct absorption ion beam experiment in terms of the ion optics, the spectroscopy sensitivity, and the mass spectrometry. Many of the improvements in components used have been enabled by technological advancements over the last 20 years.

A schematic of our uncooled ion beam instrument is shown in Figure 5.2 and details will be given in the following sections. Modular construction was used to facilitate changes and access to the system, and allow for differential pumping to achieve lower instrument pressures. The instrument is mounted on a versatile aluminum extrusion support structure $(80-20)$ and the entire vacuum setup can be moved on retractable heavy duty wheels. The ion source is housed in a large vacuum chamber that was dimensioned to enable high throughput pumping of continuous supersonic expansion ion sources. The rest of the ion beam instrument was housed inside various Conflat (CF) crosses, tees and nipples. After the ions are produced in an ion source, they are extracted and accelerated to ground, and focused into a beam by an electrostatic ion lens. Two sets of xy-steerers are used to vertically and horizontally translate and steer the ion beam before it passes into a cylindrical bender.

The ions are then bent by $90^{\circ}$ and focused into the drift tube by a cylindrical electrostatic double-focusing deflector. The drift tube is a 27 cm long stainless steel tube which is used to modulate the ion velocity. The drift tube is connected to a signal generator through a vacuum feedthrough and it is electrically isolated from the vacuum chamber. Two movable, electrically isolated stainless steel apertures can be extended into the ion beam path through slits in the drift tube to optimize the overlap between the laser cavity and the ion beam. The 3 mm diameter apertures are mounted on two vertical linear motion manipulators situated 12.9 cm apart. After the drift tube region the ion beam enters the second $90^{\circ}$ bender which guides the ions out of the laser cavity path into the mass spectrometer where the ion beam composition and energy can be analyzed online during spectroscopic operation, employing a time-of-flight beam modulation technique [149, 150]. A cw beam is pulsed into an ion packet whose arrival times after a flight distance of $\sim 1.5 \mathrm{~m}$ are detected with an electron multiplier. The laser beam is coupled into the instrument using Brewster windows to reduce reflection losses and a laser cavity is built up to increase the path length and signal strength.


Figure 5.2: A bird's-eye schematic view of the fast ion beam portion of the SCRIBES instrument. The blue lines represent the ion beam, while the red lines represent the path of the laser beam.

### 5.2 Vacuum Considerations

Schematics of the existing pumping setup and future supersonic pumping setup are shown in Figures 5.3 and 5.6, respectively. The vacuum system was constructed mostly from stock CF parts (Kurt J. Lesker), with the exception of the source chamber, which was mounted with a 8 " Conflat flange to connect to the ion beam and two ISO-F 250 connections, one for the source flange, and one for the turbo pump/roots pump. The first Einzel lens and steerer components are housed in a custom length 8" CF nipple. The electrostatic deflectors were housed in 8" CF 6-way crosses (C6-0800). The modulation tube was housed in 2-3/4" CF 4way crosses (C-0275). The linear manipulators were attached on top of 2-3/4" nipples (FN-0275). After the drift region, a $2-3 / 4$ " bellows allows for some flexibility in the system between the drift region and the mass spectrometer. An 8 " CF tee (T-0800) is used to house the retractable Faraday cup and the second Einzel lens. The mass spectrometer pulser plates were housed in a custom 8 " CF nipple. The pulsed ion beam packet passes through two 8" CF nipples (FN-0800) and two beam profile monitors (National Electrostatics Corporation, BPM83). A 4.5" CF nipple (FN-0450), gate valve (SA0250MCCF), and 4-way cross (C-0450) are used to connect the mass spectrometer, seal the electron multiplier off from the rest of the instrument, and house the electron multiplier and turbo.

The Leybold Mag W 2200 pump provides $2000 \mathrm{~L} /$ s of pumping speed (for $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ ) but is conductance limited by the ISO 250 flange connecting it to the chamber down to $1475 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$. The two Varian Navigator 551 pumps provide $550 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ of pumping speed (for $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ ) but are conductance limited by the 8 " CF flanges to 432 L/s beneath the 8 " 6 -way crosses which house the cylindrical benders. The Varian Navigator 250 pump provides $250 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ of pumping speed (for $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ ) but is conductance limited by the 4.5 " CF flange of the detector cross to $143 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$. Each turbo pump requires a mechanical rough pump. The $250 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ pump is backed by a dedicated $1.65 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ scroll pump (Edwards A72401906 with A50597000 exhaust silencer) located in the lab. The pressure of the forevacuum of the electron multiplier chamber turbo was measured with another thermocouple gauge (KJL 912162). The $2000 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ and two $550 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ pumps are backed by a $13 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ mechanical pump (1374 Welch) located in the pump room. A 6" PVC vacuum line allows connection of the forevacuums of the three turbos to the mechanical pump through micro-maze oil traps (MMA-152-2QF). The pressure of this forevacuum lines was measured with a thermocouple gauge (KJL6000) connected to a digital readout (KJL610). The mechanical pump exhaust was modified to be vented with the exhaust fumes to remove hydrogen and hydrocarbons from the pump room. Drawings for the adapter required are found in Section D.1.

Four ion gauges can measure the pressure of the high vacuum system and determine how well the differential pumping is performing. A schematic of the vacuum measurement connections is shown in Figure 5.4. A
self contained ion gauge (IMG401 Hornet - Instrutech.com IGM401YFD with power supply cord (PS501A)) is connected directly to the source chamber. Two ion gauges (Kurt J. Lesker G100F) are connected directly above each $8 " 6$-way cross housing the cylindrical deflectors. Another ion gauge is connected to the 4 -way cross housing the MS electron multiplier detector. The controller (Kurt J. Lesker KJL6600C) for the ion gauges only controls two ion gauges at a time, in addition to the forevacuum thermocouple gauge of the mass spectrometer forevacuum line.

Electrical connections to the source, Faraday cups, and various ion optics were made with various BNC, SHV-5 and SHV-20 feedthroughs as illustrated in Figure 5.5. The DC bias voltages for the source beam and discharge voltage were accomplished with a 2-3/4" CF 2 connector feedthrough (IFTXE021153)). The DC bias voltages for the Einzel lens and steerer assembly were accomplished with SHV-5 feedthroughs connected via a 2-3/4" CF cross, (2 4-feedthrough connectors (IFTSE041033) and a 1-feedthrough connector (IFTSE011033) feedthrough) connected to the source chamber. The Faraday cup electrode is connected to a 2-3/4" CF SHV-5 feedthrough (IFTSE011033). The DC bias voltage for the benders was supplied with two welded SHV-5 connectors welded into the sides of each $8 "$ cross (IFTSE011031). The modulation voltage was applied to the linear manipulator iris plates and drift tube with three separate connections with 2-3/4" CF BNC feedthroughs (IFTBG012033). The DC bias for the second Einzel lens and the electrode reading of the retractable faraday cup were supplied through weldable SHV-5 feedthroughs connected into the side of the 8 " tee (IFTSE011031). The pulsing bias for the mass spectrometer pulser plates was supplied through 2 of 5 feedthroughs (IFTSE011031) welded into the custom nipple.

The planned supersonic expansion source ion beam system involves adding a third electrostatic cylindrical bender, and placing the $2000 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ pump onto another 8 " Conflat cross with an adapter. The source chamber will then house the supersonic discharge source, and will connect via a bellows and reducer to the 20" stainless steel pipe that connects to a roots pump located outside of the building. The roots pump with 20" pipe provides $3200 \mathrm{~L} /$ s of pumping speed (for $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ ) but is conductance limited by the ISO 250 flange to 2043 L/s.

With the cold cathode source in operation, the pressure in the source chamber is on the order of $1 \times 10^{-5}$ Torr. The 6 -way crosses with cylindrical benders normally have pressures of $1 \times 10^{-6}$ Torr at deflector 1 and $1 \times 10^{-7}$ Torr at cylindrical bender 2 . The vacuum cross that houses the detector in the mass spectroscopy leg can be separated from the main system by a gate valve and typically has pressures on the order of $2 \times 10^{-8}$ Torr.

It was determined that the turbo pumps would have a longer lifetime if water cooling was supplied. The Oerlikon MagLev pump was already set up for water-cooling, but the Varian pumps did not have adapters


Figure 5.3: Schematic of turbos and forevacuum pumps in the uncooled ion beam system. Four turbo pumps provide ultrahigh vacuum: one on the source chamber, one below each $8 "$ cross, and one after the electron multiplier in the mass spectrometer.


Figure 5.4: Schematic of ion gauge location in the non-supersonically cooled ion beam system. Three ion gauges from Kurt J Lesker, are located above each 8 " 6 -way cross, and beneath the 4.5 " 4 -way MS detector cross. One Hornet ion gauge is connected to the source chamber. The same $2-3 / 4$ " connection that allows for the Hornet to be connected to the ion beam chamber also has a butterfly valve that is used to vent the system after the turbos have been spun down. Sample gas is feed into the ion source from a nitrogen tank through a needle valve. The pressure after the needle valve is read through a convection gauge.


Figure 5.5: Schematic of electrical feedthroughs which bring instrumentation voltage into the ion beam system. Source voltages go through the SHV-20 connections, Einzel lens 1 and steerer voltages go through the source chamber. SHV-5 feedthroughs are welded directly into the 8 " 6 -way crosses, the 8 " 3 -way tee, and the 8 " nipple. 3 SHV- 5 connectors and 1 BNC were welded directly into the 4.5 " CF flange that mounts the electron multiplier.


Figure 5.6: Schematic of vacuum pumps in the planned supersonic expansion cooled ion beam system. An additional high throughput pump is added to the source chamber. Two thermocouple gauges are used to measure the forevacuum pressure, one connected to the MS scroll pump, and one connected to the mechanical pump.
to the British pipe thread. Therefore, adapters for all three Varian pumps were designed and constructed out of brass. The drawings for these adapters are found in Figure D.2.

### 5.3 Instrument Base

Previous experiments with cavity ring-down spectroscopy with the ion beam instrument on the laser table showed that the turbo pumps induced vibrations which adversely affected the laser cavity. Therefore the instrument was removed from the laser table and constructed on a movable instrument base. That base was constructed of 80-20 aluminum extrusions, connected to each other with corner brackets and joining plates, and rests on the floor with heavy duty leveling casters (part \# 2715). The leveling casters allowed the instrument to be rolled in and out of position, and raised to any reasonable height. The turbo pumps should not be spinning when the instrument is translated. A listing of the parts purchased for constructing the instrument are listed in Section D.3. An illustration of the instrument base is shown in Figure 5.7.


Figure 5.7: Schematic of top view of the ion beam system base. The top and bottom are essentially equivalent, with a 22.5 " extrusion between them. The red circles indicate the approximate caster positions.

### 5.3.1 Steel Pipe Holders

As most of the ion beam instrument was constructed from round conflat parts, steel pipe holders were constructed to connect the ion beam directly to the instrument base. The drawing in Figure 5.8 illustrates how this was done. Metric grub screws (jwwinco.com 16WG30) with thrust pads (jwwinco.com 8N60G29) were used to push against the CF pipes and center them. Twenty three holders are used to support the ion beam instrument and 4 spare holders are available for future expansion of the ion beam. Construction schematics for the holders are found in Section D.4.


Figure 5.8: Schematic of steel pipe holders that connect the 8" CF parts (red-dashed) to the instrument base. Grub screws are used to flexibly connect the holder to the CF pipes (blue), and center the pipe.

### 5.4 Cold Cathode Source

Before implementation of a supersonic expansion source can be done, the initial testing and characterization of the ion beam instrument involved using an uncooled discharge ion source. An ideal ion source would produce high ion current with low beam divergence, and low gas throughput. Several ion sources are possible including corona discharge, cold cathodes and filament sources. Because of the reliability of cold cathodes, and their use in a previous fast ion beam instrument, [79] a cold cathode source was chosen.

### 5.4.1 Source Construction and Operation

A source with modular capabilities was designed for ease of part replacement. Our cold cathode source was made with a fused silica tube held by two electrodes, with an opening at one end for the sample gas, and another opening for the ions to leave. The electrodes were constructed using stock parts for Ultra-Torr fittings from Swagelok. A $3 / 8$ " to $3 / 8$ " Ultra-Torr straight union was used for the front electrode (SS-6-UT6 ), and a $3 / 8 "$ to $1 / 4$ " reducing union (SS-6-UT-6-4) was used for the back electrode and was connected to the gas line. The stock parts were modified, as illustrated in Figure 5.9. The front electrode was drilled through so that the fused silica tube could fit completely through it and approximately $\frac{1}{3}$ of the union


Figure 5.9: Schematic illustrating how stock Ultra-Torr fittings were modified to form the cold cathode source.
was removed. Additionally, three 4-40 blind taps were added to the central hexagonal portion of the front electrode so that the a bias voltage could be connected to the front electrode. The central hexagonal portion of the back electrode was turned down into a cylinder, so that it could fit inside of the source holder.

One of the Ultra-Torr ferrules was replaced with a custom designed ferrule to form the ion exit from the source. This ferrule has a 1 mm diameter aperture to allow the ions to escape. The front of the ferrule has a $22^{\circ}$ angle in it to reduce the beam expansion caused by the space-charge interaction. [151, 152] A schematic of the ferrule is shown in Figure D.4.

High temperature silicone O-rings (012S70 McMaster-Carr) replaced the stock Viton O-rings. A 9 mm OD $\times 7 \mathrm{~mm}$ ID fused silica tube was cut to 3.25 " lengths and the ends were ground polished. A small circle of stainless steel mesh was inserted into the back electrode to enlarge the discharge surface. An expanded view of the source parts and of the source in operation are shown in Figure 5.10. An aluminum cap holds the back electrode of the source and provides mechanical stability, allows for the connection to high voltage, and also allows for water cooling to this back electrode. The ions are extracted to a stainless steel plate with a 0.25 " diameter hole in it. As the extraction plate is held at earth ground, the ions fall in potential when they traverse from the high voltage at the front electrode to the ground potential at the extraction plate. The electric potential energy $(q V)$ is converted to kinetic energy $\left(1 / 2 M v^{2}\right)$, and the ions travel with increased velocity producing the fast ion beam (where $q$ is the charge of an electron, $V$ is the beam energy in Volts, $M$ is the mass in kg and $v$ is the velocity in $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ). When the source is assembled the front ferrule and end-cap make a smooth surface as shown in Figure 5.10(b).

The source is held in place using a homemade movable $x-y$ stage built on an ISO-250F flange. Detailed schematics for the full assembly are not available. However schematics for the parts that actually hold the back electrode are available and shown in Figure D.5.

In order to form a fast ion beam, high voltage was applied to both electrodes, as illustrated in Figure

(a) A schematic of the cold cathode. The top part shows the exploded view of the electrodes, with a fused silica tube inbetween them. The front electrode, or ion exit, is on the left side of the figure, and the gas inlet ( $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ tubing) is on the right side of the figure. The bottom part shows the assembled view of the cathode.

(b) A photo of the front of the assembled cathode.

(c) A photo of the cold cathode in operation. The positive column is visible between the two electrodes, and the distance from the front electrode to the extraction plate is $\sim 1 / 4$ ".

Figure 5.10: Schematic of cold cathode source.
5.11. The front electrode was connected to the "Float Power Supply" which determines the beam energy. The float power supply is also connected to the electrical common of the reversible polarity "Discharge Power Supply." The polarity of the discharge power supply can be changed from positive to negative, but this should only be done when the float power supply is off and discharged, and the discharge power supply is disconnected.

When the discharge power supply provides a positive voltage, ions are extracted from the front electrode serving as the cathode (the least positive electrode), which generally provides ions formed by electron impact and experience few collisions. [79] When the discharge power supply provides a negative voltage, the source extracts ions from the front electrode serving as the anode (the more positive electrode), which generally provides ions formed by secondary collisions with other ions formed by electron impact, and the ions experience many collisions. This result is consistent with that reported by Keim [79]. However, for a pure nitrogenic plasma, more $\mathrm{N}_{2}^{+}$was extracted from the cathode, which is inconsistent with the effect observed by Keim [79].

Other ions, such as $\mathrm{H}_{3}^{+}$, would be better suited to anodic extraction. Whichever electrode serves as the cathode experiences heating. When the source is run from an anodic extraction, the back electrode serves as the cathode, and experiences the heating. As the back electrode is held in place by a PEEK and aluminum part, if the cathode becomes too hot, the PEEK will be damaged. Therefore, a water-cooling source was developed to cool the cathode under anodic extraction. Schematics for the water cooling are shown in Figure D.7. When the source is run extracting from the anode, normally a larger hole in the ferrule ( 2 mm or 3 mm ) was necessary to extract the ions.

### 5.4.2 Source Power Supplies

The float power supply (Glassman FC120W 15 kV 8 mA ) accelerates the fast ion beam and is connected to the front electrode and the ground of the discharge power supply. The discharge power supply (Glassman EQ1200W 6 kV 0.2 A reversible polarity) is used to produce ions in the positive column plasma in the source. Because the discharge power supply is floated by connecting the output of the float power supply to the ground of the discharge power supply, the discharge power supply must be electrically isolated by placing it inside a polycarbonate box. A large polycarbonate box was constructed with 4 x 0.5 " holes for large gauge insulated wire (for input/output) to pass through, four 3.5 " holes for air circulation fans, ceramic rods to push the on/off buttons for the power supply and high voltage enable, and teflon rods that can turn the voltage and current control potentiometers. The discharge power supply is then powered through an isolation transformer.

A schematic diagram of the isolation box, source power supply layout, and source configuration is shown in Figure 5.11. Single phase 208 V AC is brought into the isolator box and connected to a custom isolation transformer providing up to 15 kV isolation from core to ground (Quality Transformers-1.2 KVA, 1 phase, $60 \mathrm{~Hz}, 208 \mathrm{VAC} \rightarrow 120 \mathrm{VAC}$ ). The isolated 120 V AC output (live and neutral) is input into the discharge power supply's AC input. The output of the 15 kV power supply is brought into the isolation box and directly connected to the ground of the discharge power supply, and to a SHV-20 terminated output cable which runs to the source front plate. The output of the discharge power supply is passed through a ballast resistor (100 $\mathrm{k} \Omega)$ to keep the net circuit resistance positive through the plasma, [147] and limit the current drawn from the power supply. After passing through the ballast resistor, the discharge output exits the isolation box through a SHV-20 terminated cable. At the source chamber, the discharge and float voltage enters via two SHV-20 feedthroughs illustrated in Figure 5.5.

On the inside of the vacuum chamber, the source bias voltages are brought to the source with 20 kV insulated wire. An electrical lug connects the 20 kV wire to the front electrode with a $4-40$ screw into the tapped hole described in Section 5.4.1. The discharge voltage connects with a slightly more complicated setup. The 20 kV wire is inserted through a homemade PEEK adapter screw, illustrated in Figure D.6, and a plastic ferrule (Cole-Parmer C-01939-32). Solder was then applied at the end of the wire, and a rounded/pointed tip of solder was formed. When the PEEK $1 / 4-28$ screw was screwed into the aluminum cap holder, the solder was eventually brought into contact with the back electrode, and the discharge power supply was connected to the back electrode. The connections were initially tested with a multimeter. If no discharge is formed, or no fast ion beam is seen, ensuring these electrical connections are connected is a good place to start.


Figure 5.11: Electronics layout for power supplies driving source discharge. A schematic of the cold cathode with attached power supplies. The front electrode serves as either the cathode or the anode depending on the polarity of the discharge power supply. If the discharge power supply sources a positive voltage with respect to its common supply, ions are extracted from the cathode and undergo few collisions. If the discharge power supply sources a negative voltage with respect to its common supply, ions are extracted from the anode and undergo many collisions.

The input sample gas flow is controlled with a manual leak valve (Kurt J. Lesker - 2-3/4" CF VZLVM263R) as illustrated in Figure 5.4. The flow is not linear with respect to the knob position, and therefore a high backing pressure with the valve mostly closed provides the finest control of the pressure. The backing pressure was normally 40-45 PSI. On the output side of the leak valve, a convection gauge (KJL-912161) connected to a readout (KJL 902171) was used to measure the pressure before a $\sim 4 \mathrm{~m}$ section of $\frac{1}{4}$ " plastic tubing.

### 5.5 Ion Optical System

The ion optics configuration was designed to optimize the ion density in the laser/ion overlap region between the two electrostatic deflectors. Figure 5.12 shows a Simion 3D $[153,154]$ simulation of typical ion trajectories throughout the setup. It is apparent that the ion trajectories are relatively collimated through the drift region. All ion optical elements are electrostatic, which means that all particles with the same kinetic energy and charge state are guided on the same trajectory without discrimination against particle mass. Figure 5.13 shows a simulation of the potential energy surface. The deceleration mode of the Einzel lenses is apparent as the ions approach the biased electrodes.


Figure 5.12: SimION 8.0 simulation of typical ion trajectories through two Einzel lenses and 2 cylindrical benders.


Figure 5.13: SimION 8.0 simulation of the potential energy surface of ion optical components in the fast ion beam system. The ions are birthed at 4 kV of beam energy and are accelerated to ground, collimated by the Einzel lens, and then turned $90^{\circ}$ by the electrostatic benders into the drift region.

### 5.5.1 Ion Optics Power Supplies

Eight channels of a power supply provide bias voltage for the Einzel lenses, positive and negative benders. (Gamma High Voltage, RMCR $5 \mathrm{P} \times 2 / 3 \mathrm{P} \times 3 / 3 \mathrm{~N} / 3 / \mathrm{M}^{*} ; 2$ modules $0 \rightarrow+5 \mathrm{kV} ; 3$ modules $0 \rightarrow+3 \mathrm{kV}, 3$ modules $0 \rightarrow-3 \mathrm{kv}$; all modules with 1 mA current). Another 8 channel Gamma power supply is used to control the horizontal and vertical steerers. (Gamma High Voltage $\mathrm{BPl} / \times 8 / \mathrm{DM} \pm 1 \mathrm{kV}$; All modules with $500 \mu A$ current). Both sets of ion optics power supplies can be controlled locally with a potentiometer knob or by computer control in the LabVIEW program, whose graphical user interface is shown in figure 5.14. The LabVIEW program is set to output a ( $\pm 10$ for the 1 kV power supplies; $0 \rightarrow 10$ for the $5, \pm 3 \mathrm{kV}$ supplies) signal voltage which is proportional to output of the power supply. Using the LabVIEW program had the added advantage of keeping track of the ion optic voltages used for each scan. This segment of the program requires that the top "Adjust Ion Optic Voltages?" boolean control be on while the voltage is changed. The values are automatically saved during a scan, but can also be saved outside of a scan by pressing the "Save?" button. The ion optics from a previous scan (or day) can be read in by pressing the "Read?" button.


Figure 5.14: Screen shot of LabVIEW program ion optics control

### 5.5.2 Extraction and First Einzel Lens

The positive ions that emerge from the ion source are extracted by a stainless steel plate and focused by the first Einzel lens. Drawings for the parts that comprise the extractor plate are shown in Figure D.8a. The aperture of the extractor plate diameter can be determined by connecting a stainless steel plate with the desired aperture diameter to the extractor plate. The extractor plate typically had 0.25 " diameter aperture attached (Kimball Physics, B Series, $5 \times 5,0.25$ " Round Diameter).

The ions are then collimated by an electrostatic lens. The DLASFIB instrument used traditional plate apertures for the ion optics lens. Three element lenses are usually chosen because this geometry decouples the focal properties of the lens from the ratio of the plate potentials. [155] An Einzel lens is any three-plate lens where the potential on the first plate equals the potential on the third plate. Traditionally, Einzel lenses have $\left(V_{2} \sim V_{\text {Cathode }}\right)>V_{1}, V_{3}$ (also called the decelerating mode), which has greater aberrations than the accelerating mode.

Instead of following the DLASFIB design, a cylindrical lens geometry was chosen. Cylinder lenses are stronger than plate apertures for a given voltage and diameter, [155] have smaller aberration coefficients, and are easier to make and mount. Cylindrical lens are designed such that the length (A) is greater than half the diameter (D), and has gaps of less than $\mathrm{D} / 10$ to minimize stray electric fields. In our case $\mathrm{D}=0.94$ ", $\mathrm{A}=0.71 "$, and the electrode gap is $0.15 "$. While the gap is larger than $\mathrm{D} / 10$, plates $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{3}$ have an extra ground shield to reduce the interference of stray electric fields. Details of the construction of the Einzel lens are found in Section D.6.

### 5.5.3 X-Y Steerers

A pair of two parallel plate steerers is used to deflect the ion beam in both horizontal and vertical direction, allowing for an adjustment of the beam angle as well as for parallel offsets to the ion beam position. Each steerer plate is supplied by an independent bipolar power supply (Gamma High Voltage, BP1/x8/DM 500 $\mu \mathrm{A}$ S/N 38-1108) with voltages up to $\pm 1000 \mathrm{~V}$. By applying voltages of the same sign to opposing steerer plates, it is also possible to induce additional horizontal or vertical focusing in the steerer section.

### 5.5.4 Cylindrical Deflectors

The cylindrical $90^{\circ}$ deflectors follow a new design that provides control of the ion beam focusing in both dimensions. [156] Standard cylindrical benders focus an incoming ion beam only in the plane of deflection. Therefore, typically an asymmetric lens or focusing element is required to restore the circular ion beam
profile after deflection. The same principle applies to electrostatic quadrupole deflectors unless more sophisticated shim electrodes are used. Spherical deflectors focus the beam in both directions, hence an incoming parallel beam into an ideal spherical deflector is focused into a single spot after $90^{\circ}$ deflection. However, spherical deflectors require larger vacuum chambers and are more complicated and costly to manufacture when compared to cylindrical deflectors. Our cylindrical deflectors use plates of differing plate heights to mimic the field of a spherical deflector at the ideal ion beam orbit. [156]

By equating the centripetal and electrostatic forces of the ion beam, the expected voltage required to deflect an ion beam is given by [156]

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\frac{2 q V_{\text {Beam }} D}{R} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D$ is the separation between the plates, and $R$ is the radius of curvature for the ion beam in-between the bender plates. For the experimental parameters of $R=0.158, D=0.036 \mathrm{~m}$, and $V_{\text {Beam }}=4 \mathrm{kV}$, the expected voltage is $\sim 1850 \mathrm{~V}$. However, this approximation does not take into account the fact that the radius of curvature starts at infinity, changes to $R$, and then returns to infinity, thus making the potential values needed slightly higher than expected. It should be noted, that the plate voltages should be as close to symmetric as possible to avoid the re-referencing that can occur if the voltages of the ion beam as they enter the deflector. [156] Details of the construction of the cylindrical deflectors are found in Section D. 8 of Appendix D.

### 5.6 First Faraday Cup

When neither plate of the first bender is biased, the beam passes un-deflected through the hole in the outer plate seen in Figure D. 10 onto the Faraday cup. The Faraday cup current is read by a picoammeter (Keithley 6485). The ammeter produces an analog signal which can be recorded by the LabVIEW data acquisition program. Faraday cups are fairly easy to design, and the only design considerations are to make sure the length of the cup will ensure that no secondary electrons emitted escape the cup. This is accomplished by making the length of the cup at least $5 \times$ the diameter of the cup, and by not having a perpendicular collector face. [157] Failure to reach this condition can make the ion current reading high by at least $30 \%$. The initial DLASFIB instrumental papers used simple stainless steel plates instead of a properly designed Faraday cup. [79] Details of the construction of the Faraday cups are found in Section D.9. For the first Faraday cup, the electrode was six times longer in length than diameter.


Figure 5.15: Schematic drawing of drift tube with overlap irises and linear motion manipulators.


Figure 5.16: Schematic drawing of drift tube.

### 5.7 Achieving Ion Beam and Laser Beam Overlap

In order to ensure that the ion beam and laser beam were spatially overlapped within in the drift region, iris apertures controlled by linear motion manipulators (MDC 660010) are centered around the laser beam. The setup is shown in Figure 5.15 . The 27 cm drift tube is shown in red from the vertical view with slots that allow the aperture plates to enter the ion/laser beam path. The linear manipulators are shown in blue, and the stainless steel aperture plates are shown in green. The view of the aperture plates is actually a front view, so that the reader can see the holes in the aperture plates. In reality, the plates fit into the slots indicated in the drift tube.

Details of the construction of the linear apertures are found in Section D.10. A drift tube was constructed from a 1.25 " diameter 10.72 " long stainless steel tube. Aperture plates were constructed and mechanically connected to the linear motion manipulators, but electrically isolated from the manipulators with polycarbonate washers. The modulation voltage is attached to the aperture plate. Each aperture plate has three holes horizontally offset from each other by 1 mm . The horizontal hole that let the laser power through over the widest vertical range was chosen. In this way, the correct horizontal spacing of the aperture could
be found to within 1 mm . Then the vertical position of the laser beam could be found by centering the manipulator hole on the laser beam. The detector signal was observed while raising and lowering the linear manipulator. The center of travel was set to the center of the laser beam. Using this method, the apertures were centered to the laser beam, and later the ion current was optimized by passing through these apertures. A simple function generator is used to drive the drift tube modulation, and the modulation voltage was measured with an oscilloscope.

### 5.8 Second Cylindrical Deflector

After traversing the overlap region, the ion beam is bent out of the overlap region by the second cylindrical bender and directed into the TOF mass spectrometer leg. The second cylindrical deflector is similar to the one diagrammed in Figure D. 10 with the exception of the outer plate. In Figure D.10(c), two relief holes are illustrated. In the second cylindrical bender, only the 0.6 " hole is retained, and the 1 " hole is not included.

To collimate the ion beam, and optimize the size for mass spectrometer pulsing, another Einzel lens is used. The design of this Einzel lens is identical to the one shown in Figure D. 8 with two exceptions. Four blind tap 4-40 holes were added to the exit of the Einzel lens. Additionally, the mount of the Einzel lens to the instrument follows a slightly different design. These two changes are illustrated in Figure D.14. The second Einzel lens is located directly after the second cylindrical bender and bellows, prior to the retractable Faraday cup.

A Faraday cup was designed and attached to a pneumatically controlled linear feedthrough (MDC 662006). By supplying between 60 and 80 psi of pressurized air and applying 120 VAC to the solenoid valve, the unit will insert the Faraday cup into the ion beam path and will measure the current of the ion beam passing through the apertures in the drift region. A cartoon overview of the setup of the retractable Faraday cup is shown in Figure 5.17. Design schematics for the second Faraday cup are found in Section D.12.


Figure 5.17: Schematic drawing of assembled pneumatic retractable Faraday cup.

### 5.9 Mass Spectrometer

Previous ion beam spectroscopists used a Wien velocity filter as a mass analyzer to identify the ion species and to optimize the ion current at a lower beam energy than that at which the spectroscopy was performed, $[12,78,79]$ mainly because of the limited resolving power of a Wien filter. An example mass spectrum given by the DLASFIB Wien filter is shown in Figure 5.18. Due to the bulkiness required for suitable mass resolution, a Wien filter is seldom used as a mass analyzer by itself, but is often used in conjunction with other mass analyzers which require narrow velocity selection. [158] A linear time-of-flight mass spectrometer (TOFMS), however, has the resolving power to characterize the ion beam simultaneously with the spectroscopy.

Our linear TOFMS uses the well characterized beam modulation technique $[149,150,159]$ to create an ion packet from a continuous ion beam. Initial attempts to theoretically describe the beam-modulation were only partially successful, because the theory [160] involved treating beams of homogeneous mass only. A first order theory [149] was eventually successfully created which describes the motion of ions, and explains the modulation technique, and allows for the description of the time-of-flight method. Essentially the cw beam passes through two deflector plates which initially deflect the beam away from the iris and detector. By quickly reversing the polarity of two plates held at equal but opposite polarity, the ion beam is swept across a $1 / 8$ " diameter aperture located near the detector. Two beam profilers aid in aligning the ion beam


Figure 5.18: Example Wien filter mass spectrum from the DLASFIB instrument. [12]
through the 1.53 m drift tube and onto the electron multiplier detector. Some interesting aspects of the beam modulated time of flight method are that the mass resolution is independent of the mass are proportional to the square of the flight length, and that there is no mass-dependent intensity discrimination. [149].

A schematic drawing of the pulsing plates in our mass spectrometer is shown in Figure 5.19. Five SHV-5 weldable feedthroughs were welded into a custom 8 " CF nipple that was 5.75 " long. An assembly of ion optics was inserted into the end closest to the cylindrical bender and 8 " tee housing the second Faraday cup. The ion optics assembly consists of two pairs of plates, one vertical, and another horizontal with respect to the ion beam instrument. The horizontal assembly is closest to the cylindrical bender and the vertical plates were closest to the electron multiplier. Each ion optic plate was 1.075 " long (along ion beam propagation) and 1 " wide (transverse to ion beam propagation). The faces of each plate were separated by 0.5 ". Two orthogonal pairs of plates were designed to allow for steering, however the electrical connections to the horizontal plates (and/or) feedthroughs are grounded and cannot currently be used. Design schematics for the pulser assembly are found in Section D.13.

The pulse train is controlled by a digital delay generator (Quantum Composers QC-9518). The high voltage switching is accomplished with two pulse generators (Directed Energy PVX-4150). The pulse generators are powered by a bipolar 1 kV power supply (Gamma RMCRI -2PN/M. 2 mA ). The delay generator creates a 500 Hz square wave with 0.001 s pulse widths between 0 and 5 volts. Channel A of the digital delay generator swings high at the start of the pulse and Channel B swings low. After 1 ms , the generator switches polarity, and Channel A swings low when Channel B swings high. One pulse generator is controlled


Figure 5.19: Schematic drawing of pulsing plates used to switch the cw ion beam into a pulse of ions, housed in an 8" CF nipple.


Figure 5.20: Schematic drawing of pulse trains.
by Channel A and the other is controlled by Channel B. Both pulse generators have $\pm$ high voltage (HV) inputs, a trigger input, and a HV output. The outputs of the bipolar power supplies are split using SHV-5 splitters (Pasternack Enterprizes PE9249) and input to the positive and negative inputs of the pulse generators. The outputs of the pulse generators are connected to the SHV feedthroughs and connected to the vertical plates of the MS pulser ion optic assembly.

The specifications for the delay generator indicate that the TTL rise time would be 3 ns , and that it should be able to drive a $50 \Omega$ impedance. However, it was determined that the delay generator could not drive the pulse generator gate with the delay generator TTL pulse. Instead, the output was set to a variable value of 5 V . The specifications for the delay generator indicate that the rise time would be 25 ns (for 10 V into $50 \Omega$ ). The specifications for the pulse generators indicate the gate rise time to be less than 20 ns . The rise and fall time of the output are specified to be less than 25 ns each.

For beam modulated time of flight mass spectrometry, the theoretical resolution for a pulsed time of


Figure 5.21: Photo of detector and plate assembly, with negative and positive high voltage leads, and signal lead.
flight instrument is given by [149]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{M}{\Delta M}=\frac{L^{2} V_{0}}{2 D U(B+S)} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L(1.44 \mathrm{~m})$ is the drift length from the edge of the pulse plate to the iris over which the ions are swept, $V_{0}$ is the voltage between the plates $(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~V}), D$ is the separation of the plates $(12.8 \mathrm{~mm}), U$ is the energy of the ion beam $(4000 \mathrm{kV}), S$ is the slit width $(3 \mathrm{~mm})$, and $B$ is the size of the beam $(5 \mathrm{~mm})$. For the experimental parameters listed, the expected ion resolution would be 249. Design schematics for the MS iris are found in Section D.14. The iris was positioned approximately 3" before the electron multiplier. The electron multiplier (SGE Analytical Science $-14820 H$ S/N 54886) was housed inside of a 4.5 " 4 -way cross. The detector is connected to the flange with two home-built plates designed to center the ion beam onto the area of the detector, and to place the detector face in the center of the $4.5 "$ cross with respect to the direction of ion beam travel. Design schematics for the detector assembly are found in Section D.15.

The detector and plate were assembled and electrical connections were made as pictured in Figure 5.21. The un-insulated detector leads were connected to Kapton (a vacuum safe insulation) coated wire, which connected to the feedthroughs on the CF flange. The negative high voltage (HV) lead is connected to a 1 mA power supply and biases the cathode. A positive HV lead is also available, but this would float the signal so normally this lead is grounded. The signal lead is connected to the BNC feedthrough. A fast noninverting preamplifier with a gain of 200 is used on the output of the electron multiplier (VT120A Advanced Measurement Technology Inc/Ortec/Ametek).

Mass spectra were collected by using an externally $50 \Omega$ terminated 100 MHz digital oscilloscope (Tektronix DPO2014), where the time traces could be saved to a flash drive or computer. Figure 5.22 (a) illustrates the original data taken from the oscilloscope in the time trace. A 166 ns time offset was subtracted from the measured time ( $t_{\text {measured }}$ ) to account for the delay time of cables and the rise time of the pulsers $\left(t^{\prime}=t_{\text {measured }}-166 \mathrm{~ns}\right)$. The approximate beam velocity $(v)$ was calculated using $v=d / t^{\prime}$ and a distance ( $d$ )


Figure 5.22: Example mass spectrum of a cathodic extraction of $\mathrm{N}_{2}^{+}$at 6 kV .


Figure 5.23: Example mass spectrum of a cathodic extraction of $\mathrm{N}_{2}^{+}$at 4 kV .
of 1.53 meters. The mass was then calculated using Equation 5.3 where $q$ is the charge of an electron, $V_{B e a m}$ is the approximate beam voltage ( 5950 V for Figure 5.22 and 3840 for Figure 5.23 ), and $N_{A}$ is Avogadro's number.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{m(\mathrm{amu})}{z}\right)=\frac{2 q V_{B e a m} N_{A} 1000}{v^{2}} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The time trace can be fit to a Gaussian line shape in Igor

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{0}+A e^{-\left(\frac{x-x_{0}}{w i d t h}\right)^{2}} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{0}$ is the center of the Gaussian, $A$ is the amplitude prefactor for the Gaussian, $y_{0}$ is a vertical offset, and the width $=2 \sigma^{2}$. Therefore, the FWHM $=2 \sqrt{\ln (2)}$ width. By using Gaussian fits to each of the time arrival peaks, a central arrival time $\left(x_{0}\right)$ and amplitude could be measured. The amplitudes could then be compared to determine the relative composition of the ion beam, as shown in Table 5.1.

Once the mass spectrometer was calibrated, it was possible to take single shots of mass spectra by detuning the Einzel lens and reducing the number of ions reaching the detector to the single ion regime. By collecting 200 separate mass spectra, and fitting a Gaussian to each time wave, the central time for 200

| Species | Percentage of Beam |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}^{+}$ | 28.7 |
| $\mathrm{O}^{+}$ | 0.8 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}^{+}$ | 59.2 |
| $\mathrm{NO}^{+}$ | 2.4 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}^{+}$ | 1.1 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{3}^{+}$ | 0.3 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{+}$ | 0.4 |
| $\mathrm{NCCN}^{+}$ | 2.0 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{4}^{+}$ | 5.1 |

Table 5.1: Percentage species within $\mathrm{N}_{2}^{+}$ion beam.


Figure 5.24: Single shot measurements of many single ion events were used to create a histogram to measure the beam energy spread of the ion beam.
single-ion events were recorded, illustrated in Figure 5.24. Given the mass of the species, Equation 5.3 can be solved for the beam energy ( $V_{\text {Beam }}$ ) from the central arrival time. A histogram of the beam energies could then be produced, and a FWHM of the histogram measures the beam energy spread of the ion beam. In this case, the FWHM was 4.77 V . The effect of the beam voltage on the observed ion transition is described in Section 5.12. The effect of the beam energy spread on the linewidth can be obtained by differentiating the value of the Doppler shift (Equation 5.9) with respect to voltage and solving for $\delta \nu$. Calculating the expected linewidth from the approximation of the Doppler shift (Equation 5.9) is accurate to within 60 KHz compared to if the actual value of the Doppler shift (Equation 5.10).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \nu \approx \frac{\nu_{0} \delta V}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2 q}{V M c^{2}}} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Mass spectroscopists generally compare the resolving power $(m / \Delta m)$ as the figure of merit for mass spectrometers. The resolution of time of flight measurements for species shown in Figure 5.23 are shown in Table 5.2. Some values of the resolving power of spectra taken with the Wien filter (see Figure 5.18) of the Saykally DLASFIB instrument are also included. One will note that the resolution of the time of flight spectrometer is an order of magnitude higher, despite the fact that the TOFMS was operated at full beam

| Species | Mass (amu) | Width (amu) | $\mathrm{m} / \Delta \mathrm{m}$ | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}^{+}$ | 14 | 0.0423 | 331 | Illinois |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}^{+}$ | 28 | 0.0714 | 392 | Illinois |
| $\mathrm{N}_{4}^{+}$ | 56 | 0.1881 | 298 | Illinois |
| $\mathrm{F}^{+}$ | 19 | - | 30 | Berkeley |
| $\mathrm{HF}^{+}$ | 20 | - | 36 | Berkeley |

Table 5.2: Resolving power of beam modulated time of flight mass spectrometer (Illinois) compared to a Wien filter (Berkeley).


Figure 5.25: Hydrogen plasma reveals different source behavior for anodic and cathodic extraction.
voltage.
With a fully characterized time of flight mass spectrometer, investigation of source behavior was investigated. As a performance check, hydrogen gas was discharged and an ion beam from a hydrogenic plasma was extracted from the cathode. A time-of-flight analysis revealed that only $\mathrm{H}_{2}^{+}$was being formed. This was highly interesting because the $\mathrm{H}_{2}^{+}$protonation reaction

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{2}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{3}^{+}+\mathrm{H} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a fast reaction, known to occur with almost every collision to produce $\mathrm{H}_{3}^{+}$. [161]
The charge of the species contributes to the reactivity. For instance the recombination rate of $\mathrm{H}_{2}^{+}$with an electron is $7 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{~cm}^{3} / \mathrm{s},[162,163]$ while the protonation reaction has a reaction rate of $2 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{~cm}^{3} / \mathrm{s},[164]$ which is a factor of 3.5 smaller. The fast reaction rate for the protonation (compared to neutral-neutral reactions) is due to the charge-induced dipole attraction which has no activation barrier. Therefore, a mass spectrum of pure $\mathrm{H}_{2}^{+}$indicated that no collisions were occurring with the cathodic extraction. The fact that a cathodic extraction would produce mostly electron impact ions is consistent with results obtained earlier. [79] However, for a $3: 1 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ to $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ gas mixture, the resulting $\mathrm{HN}_{2}^{+}$beam was found to be strongest under an anodic extraction. [79] The strongest ion beam for a pure $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ discharge results from a cathodic extraction. Example spectra of the hydrogenic plasmas are found in Figure 5.25.


Figure 5.26: Beam profile monitor traces for ion beam at $\sim 4 \mathrm{kV}$ beam voltage on the bottom, fiducial traces on the top. The extra noise without the aperture is a function of a different BPM being used, rather than the state of the aperture. The width of the ion beam, however, is much larger in graph $b$.

### 5.10 Beam Profile Monitors

Commercially available beam profile monitors (BPM) work by scanning a molybdenum wire through the path of an ion beam and collecting the subsequent secondary electron emission. Magnets located on the rotating spindle are used to produce a reference signal (fiducial) when the wire passes through the vertical and horizontal parts of the beam. By comparing the secondary electron signal as a function of time with the fiducial time, the position of the ion beam with respect to the center of the CF tube can be determined.

As the center of the mass spectrometer electron multiplier was radially centered in the CF tubes, in order for the electron multiplier to see a signal, the un-deflected ion beam should pass through the radial center near the detector. In order to aid in alignment, the beam profile monitors were used to adjust the cylindrical deflectors and center the ion signal on the fiducial (reference) produced by the beam profile monitors.

Figure 5.26 demonstrates several traces of the BPM output on the oscilloscope. The center of the signal in the vertical and horizontal positions is shown by the fiducial trace. The ion beam is centered in those directions when the center of the BPM trace is near the center of the fiducial trace. There will be a large vertical offset on the BPM signals if the ion beam is hitting the side of BPM collector, or if the ion beam is over/defocused.

### 5.11 Brewster Windows

From previous, unpublished ion beam experiments attempting cavity ring-down spectroscopy, it was determined that fast neutrals produced by interactions with the residual background ground gas were formed. [84] The neutrals are unaffected by the ion optics, and can smash into and damage the ring-down mirrors. It was decided that for the cavity enhanced and NICE-OHMS spectroscopy, only a medium Finesse cavity would be formed by spoiling the cavity with either Brewster windows, or anti-reflection (AR) coated windows, as


Figure 5.27: Schematic illustrating vertical offset due to Brewster windows.
these would be less likely than ring-down mirrors to be damaged, and are not as costly to replace. However, it was determined that placing planar AR coated windows within the cavity caused an etalon effect which interfered with locking to the cavity, so Brewster windows were used instead. While several groups separate back-reflections from cavities from the incident beams using circularly polarized light with a quarter wave plate (QWP) and polarizing beam splitter (PBS) pair, the use of a Brewster window requires p-polarized light. Therefore, no QWP/PBS pair could be used, and instead a simple beam splitter catches a portion of the back-reflection and reflects it to a detector.

Eventually, mid-IR light will be used, therefore Brewster windows made from IR transmissive $\mathrm{CaF}_{2}$ were designed. The index of refraction of $\mathrm{CaF}_{2}$ at mid-IR wavelengths is nominally 1.43. Brewster's angle $\left(\theta_{B}\right)$ is given by $\theta_{B}=\arctan \left(n_{2} / n_{1}\right)$ where $n_{2}$ and $n_{1}$ are indices of refraction for $\mathrm{CaF}_{2}$ and air/vacuum respectively. For $\mathrm{CaF}_{2}$, Brewster's angle was $54.8^{\circ}$ and the complimentary angle was $35.2^{\circ}$. The SCS machine shop was able to machine the angle to within 0.1 of a degree. A schematic for the Brewster window holder is shown in Figure D.19. Un-coated $\mathrm{CaF}_{2}$ windows were obtained (Thorlabs WG51050 1" diameter - 5 mm thick) and glued onto the window holders using 5 minute epoxy. The optical thickness of the windows offsets the laser beam from its incoming trajectory. As the light that approaches the cavity is vertically polarized, the correct geometry of the windows for p-polarized light is either reflecting up or down, inducing a vertical offset. Usually the best configuration for the ion beam is to have the windows pointing up, which lowers the laser beam inside of the cavity. This means that the instrument does not have to be lifted up as far. However, some amount of light is still lost, which is reflected upwards. The user, therefore, must be careful to block this reflection for eye safety.

### 5.12 Spectroscopic Applications

The ion beam is accelerated to the energy of the float voltage $(V)$, and the potential energy of the ions is converted to kinetic energy $q V=1 / 2 M v^{2}$ (where $q$ is the charge of an electron, $M$ is the mass of an ion and and $v$ is the velocity of the accelerated ion) such that $v=\sqrt{2 q V / M}$. When the ions are created at a high potential, there is still a thermal spread of velocities produced within the plasma, which can be


Figure 5.28: Graph illustrating the fast ion beam kinematic compression.
approximated by the Boltzmann spread, $\frac{\nu_{0}}{c} \sqrt{\frac{2 k T}{M}}$. When the ions are accelerated, the absolute energy of the ions is increased, but the spread remains constant. [165] By adding the energy of the ion beam to the average velocity, the observed spectroscopic linewidth is decreased by the factor $\sqrt{\frac{k T}{4 q T}}$ shown in Equation 5.7, [51] where $\nu_{0}$ is the original frequency, $k$ is Boltzmann's constant and $T$ is the temperature of ions in the plasma. Inspection of Equation 5.7 shows that for a given $T$ and $V$, the line width will decrease with increasing mass of ions. Further, for a given $M$ and $T$, the line width will decrease most dramatically with beam voltage ( $V$ ) for the first 1000 V of beam energy, and only minimally after that. A graph of the simulated kinematically compressed linewidth for $\mathrm{H}_{3}^{+}, \mathrm{N}_{2}^{+}$and $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3}^{+}$at $T=1000,600$, and 1000 K , respectively, are shown in Figure 5.28. For the test molecule $\mathrm{N}_{2}^{+}$, the minimum linewidth due to kinematic compression would be 1, 1.2 or 1.7 MHz for a 6,4 or 2 kV beam respectively. These values are all safely above the minimum transit time limited linewidth values of 100,80 , and 70 kHz for a 27 cm overlap, where the transform limited linewidth is given by Equation 5.8. The kinematic compression linewidth is also smaller than the observed spectroscopic line width as discussed in Section 6.2.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\delta \nu_{\text {Kinematic }}(\mathrm{Hz})=\frac{\nu_{0}}{c} \sqrt{\frac{k T}{4 q V}} \sqrt{\frac{2 k T}{M}}  \tag{5.7}\\
\delta \nu_{\text {Transform }}(\mathrm{Hz})=\frac{1}{2 \pi L} \sqrt{\frac{2 q V}{M}} \tag{5.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

Once the laser was made collinear with the ion beam, spectroscopy could be performed. Due to the increased velocity of an ion beam, the observed frequency transitions will occur with a Doppler shift dependent
on the velocity. Saykally's group used the approximation [6]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\nu^{\prime}}{\nu_{0}} \approx 1 \pm \frac{v}{c} \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is only accurate to 50 MHz at this frequency to the true values. The sub- MHz accuracy of frequency determination using the frequency comb requires that Equation 5.10 be used instead, [6]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\nu^{\prime}}{\nu_{0}}=\sqrt{\frac{1 \mp v / c}{1 \pm v / c}} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nu^{\prime}$ is the Doppler shifted frequency, $\nu_{0}$ is the rest frequency, and $v$ is the velocity of the ions, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\sqrt{\frac{2 q V^{\prime}}{M}} \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Chapter 6

## $\mathbf{N}_{2}^{+}$in the Ion Beam

The initial proof of concept system studied with this instrument was the fundamental band of the $A^{2} \Pi_{u}-$ $X^{2} \Sigma_{g}^{+}$system of $\mathrm{N}_{2}^{+} . \mathrm{N}_{2}^{+}$is an important species in atmospheric aurorae and electrical nitrogen discharges. [82] Several bands were observed in auroral storms by Meinel in 1950 [166], [167], and the 1-0 band was recorded in the laboratory by Benesh et al. in 1979 with a resolution of 1800 MHz . [168] The frequencies of many of the transitions in the fundamental band of this system have been measured at the Doppler-limit to 60 MHz precision and 150 MHz accuracy. [82] These studies all relied on unmodulated sources, either DC positive column discharges or hollow cathodes. Other workers investigated higher vibrational levels by using velocity modulation [169], [170], [171]. Additionally, $\mathrm{N}_{2}^{+}$is a fairly simple molecule to produce in a plasma discharge and the mass spectrum of our ion beam has been previously shown to contain mostly $\mathrm{N}_{2}^{+}$ and $\mathrm{N}^{+}$(see Section 5.9). Thus $\mathrm{N}_{2}^{+}$served as an easily understood benchmark of the capabilities of our fast ion beam spectrometer.

As discussed in the introduction, recent results with cavity enhanced (cavity enhanced absorption or NICE-OHMS spectroscopy) velocity modulation show some ion/neutral discrimination when the VMS is demodulated at twice the modulation frequency (2f). This is done by choosing a plasma-frequency demodulation phase that minimizes the neutral signal. An example of the ion neutral discrimination is shown in Figure 6.1, where a five-fold enhancement in the strength of the neutral signal is still apparent. [20] The lock-in phase necessary to minimize neutral absorption is dependent on the modulation frequency and the pressure of the cell. It is also possible that multiple neutral absorbing species will have different plasma phase separations. While there is definitely an ion/neutral discrimination, it is not a rigorous discrimination. Additionally, as the introduction pointed out, plasma discharge asymmetries and charge exchange reactions remove the rigorous ion/neutral discrimination in traditional (1f) demodulation VMS.

These initial results with of $\mathrm{N}_{2}^{+}$in an ion beam showcase the ability of an ion beam to have rigorous ion/neutral discrimination. This is because, instead of merely modulating a plasma, the fast ion beam of ions is velocity modulated. As the fast ion beam is spatially separated from the background neutrals, there is a rigorous ion/neutral discrimination. As continuous wave and pulsed supersonic expansion discharge


Figure 6.1: Spectroscopic signal of $\mathrm{N}_{2}^{+}$(blue) and $\mathrm{N}_{2}^{*}$ (red) of a positive column using cavity enhanced velocity modulation. [13]
experiments do not provide any ion/neutral discrimination, often absorption spectra are complicated by interfering neutrals, excited radicals and Rydberg neutrals. Using a spatial separation with a fast ion beam, and velocity modulation, gives rigorous ion/neutral discrimination against neutral absorbing species. These results also highlight the mass identifying capability of every line in the spectroscopy, which will remove the ambiguity of the contributing species discussed in Chapter 1.

### 6.1 Daily Experimental Procedure

The instrumental setup has been described in Chapters 2, 3, 4, and 5. Daily experimental preparation consisted of: warming up the wavemeter, maximizing the laser power, aligning the ion beam apertures to the laser cavity, having the ion beam instrument under vacuum, turning on the source and maximizing ion current through the laser apertures. The laser was then scanned across the expected line positions, and the dispersion signal was recorded. The remainder of this section represents a step-by-step guide to daily operation.

Step 1. Turn on the wavemeter, and allow for a 30 minute warm-up period before spectroscopic wavelength measurements are made.

Step 2. Peak the Ti:Sapphire power daily by adjusting M1,M3, M4, flashing and peaking the thick etalon, and weekly by checking the reference cell alignment.

Step 3. Lock the Ti :Sapphire to the correct wavelength. Begin by scanning the BiFi and reading the wavelength on the wavemeter. Position the BiFi in the center of a mode closest to the wavelength of interest.

Perform a thin etalon scan and use the wavemeter to position the thin etalon motor position closest to the wavelength of interest. "Set" the parabola position near the bottom of the parabola of the thin etalon reflux; the piezo-etalon control (PZETL) should now be active. Engage the reference thin etalon control. Set the slow piezo lockpoint at 0.35 and engage the lock control. Slowly decrease the slow piezo lock-point (while monitoring the lock) to 0.2 in 0.01 increments.

Step 4. Once the laser is locked to the reference cell at the wavelength of interest, the apertures inside the ion beam can be centered to the laser beam. The apertures are horizontally aligned with the laser by choosing the hole that allows the longest vertical travel of the linear manipulators without spoiling the cavity finesse. The apertures are aligned vertically by centering the transmission of the aperture on the laser beam. The height was approximately 39 mm for manipulator 1 and 41 mm for manipulator 2 .

Step 5. Ensure the ion source is in good operating condition. Once the ion current extracted from the source begins falling off, or once the source begins arcing during operation, the source will need to be regenerated. If the source has been open to atmosphere for some time, the source will also need to be replaced. Often source maintenance will involve vapor-blasting the source ferrule, cap, and extraction plate in the SCS machine shop, while replacing the fused silica tube and silicone O-rings. Sometimes the metal mesh needs to be replaced. The source is reassembled by connecting the back electrode to the plastic gas inlet tube on the $1 / 4$ " side, and to the fused silica tube on the $3 / 8$ " side. The aluminum cap is then screwed onto the PEEK source holder and holds the back electrode in place. The front electrode, with vapor-blasted ferrule and cap, is then connected to the end of the glass tube. The vapor-blasted extraction plate is replaced. The electrical connection to the back electrode is made by screwing the connection into the aluminum cap. If the source is to be used in the anodic extraction, the water cooling adapter is also screwed into the aluminum cap and the water cooling cold sink is connected to the adapter. The source is positioned between $1 / 4$ " to $1 / 2$ " away from the extraction plate and the PMMA window of the source chamber is replaced.

Step 6. Starting the turbo pumps: Once all vacuum connections are closed (including checking the vents on the two $550 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ pumps, source chamber vent butterfly valve, source window, and gas-inlet Ultra-Torr connection), the butterfly valves connecting the forevacuum to the $2000 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ turbo, and two turbo pumps can be opened. Once the chamber pressure reads less than one Torr on the digital thermocouple gauge (KJL610, Figure 5.3), the turbo pumps may be started, but it is safest to wait until the pressure is less than 300 mTorr to make sure no connection is open or loose (the biggest culprit is often the Ultratorr where the nitrogen gas is supplied on the outside of the instrument). The cooling water to all four turbo pumps should be running before the turbo pumps are started. Once the vacuum chamber pressure is below 300 mTorr with the rough pumps, the $2000 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ pump can be turned on. Normally the pump is left in the "on" position,
but the pump is stopped. The pump is engaged by pressing the green start button. The start-up time for the $550 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ pumps is about $1 / 3$ of that of the $2000 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ pump, so waiting 2-3 minutes before turning them on is recommended. The $550 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ pumps can be turned on by pressing their respective "start" buttons. It takes 7-8 minutes before all turbos have spun up. If the system has been open for longer than 30 minutes, it is generally helpful to leave the system under turbo vacuum for several hours to remove the residual water adsorbed to the chamber walls. It is helpful during this "cleaning" stage to degas the ion gauges. The Hornet can be degassed independently, but only one of the ion gauges controlled by the KJL6600C ion gauge controller can be degassed at a time. Degassing involves heating the element to remove the water from the element, leading to a more accurate pressure reading. By monitoring the base pressure of the chamber over time, one can see when the rate of pressure decrease starts to level out, indicating either a leak or that base pressure is attained, dependent on the absolute levels attained. (For comparison, see the example pressures indicated in Section 5.2).

Step 7. Ion beam optimization: Once the system has reached vacuum base pressure, the source can be ignited. The leak valve is opened until the convection gauge reads about 500 mTorr of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The float power supply is turned on and the high voltage is enabled. (Usually the float voltage is only turned down when necessary). The discharge power supply is turned on and the high voltage is enabled at 3.5 kV . The pressure is slowly increased. Usually between 1 and 3 Torr, depending on the size (and age) of the source aperture, the source will ignite. The discharge power supply can be turned down to 2 kV , but the effect of the discharge voltage on the spectroscopic results has not been investigated, and most spectra were obtained at 3.5 kV . Most of the previous cold cathode experiments with an ion beam used a 3.5 kV discharge voltage. [79]

For $\mathrm{N}_{2}^{+}$, the highest ion current was observed with a cathodic extraction. In this configuration, the plasma was a dim purple, and the ions are mostly created by electron impact. Usually the lowest pressure extended the lifetime of the source. With the first cylindrical bender unbiased, the picoammeter could be physically connected to the first Faraday cup. It is essential that the picoammeter be off when connections to the ammeter are changed, otherwise the high voltage possibly built up on Faraday cups will damage the ammeter, requiring costly re-calibration. The ion optics for the steerers should then be set to 0 V , so that minimal deflection is occurring. Then the source, mounted on the ISO-F-250 flange at the back of the source chamber, can be translated horizontally and vertically to maximum the ion current. The picoammeter (after being turned off) can be connected to the second, retractable Faraday cup. The current on the Faraday cup was maximized by changing the voltages of bender 1 and 2 , the vertical and horizontal steerers, and Einzel lens 1 iteratively until the current was maximized. Ideally, the current should be larger than $1 \mu \mathrm{~A}$ passing through the apertures at 4 kV beam energy, greater than $0.7 \mu \mathrm{~A}$ at 2 kV beam energy, and greater than 2
$\mu \mathrm{A}$ at 6 kV beam energy.
Once the ions are collinear to the laser, the laser is locked near an absorption/dispersion feature, a voltage modulation signal is provided to the drift tube, and the detectors and lock-in amplifiers are set up as described in Chapter 4, the program can be scanned over the expected absorption features.

### 6.2 Data Analysis

Data analysis was performed using an Igor procedure file included and annotated in Appendix E. The program reads in all the columns of data, names the columns with a description of the wave and a usersupplied suffix (often the same as the scan number). Figure 6.2(a) displays the raw data as recorded by the LabVIEW program. The Igor program then multiplies the data by a user defined scaling value dependent on the sensitivity of the lock-in amplifier. The scaling factor is equal to the sensitivity (often $50 \mu \mathrm{~V}$ ) setting divided by 10. Figure $6.2(\mathrm{~b})$ shows the spectrum multiplied by the scaling value.


Figure 6.2: Example spectroscopic signal of $\mathrm{N}_{2}^{+}$with 2 kV beam voltage.

The DC level of the detector is plotted in Figure 6.3(a) with a quartic polynomial fit to smooth out the noise. The DC level of the detector can be converted to the maximum AC level using Equation 6.1 and is shown in Figure 6.3(b).

$$
\begin{equation*}
A C_{V \text { oltage }, M A X}=\frac{D C G_{R F} G_{A C}}{G_{D C}} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the measured RF gain $\left(G_{R F}\right)$ was 7.798 , the measured DC gain $\left(G_{D C}\right)$ was $8077 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{A}$, and the measured AC gain ( $G_{A C}$ ) was $20668 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{A}$ (see Section 4.7 ). ( $G_{R F}$ ) can be estimated using Equation 6.2 where $L_{\text {Mixer }}$ is the insertion loss of the mixer (2.317), $L_{P S}$ is the insertion loss of the power splitter (1.496), $\mathrm{RF}_{A m p}$ is the gain of the RF amplifier (25.704), and $\mathrm{L}_{A t t}$ is the 10 dB attenuator used before the RF amplifier which was not always used. The RF gain (without the 10 dB attenuator) was measured to be 7.798 , fairly
close to the estimated gain value of 7.413 . The 10 dB attenuator is expected to reduce the measured gain by a factor of 3.162 to 2.466 .

$$
\begin{equation*}
R F_{G a i n}=\frac{R F_{A m p}}{L_{P S} L_{\text {Mixer }}\left(L_{A t t}\right)} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 6.3: Example spectroscopic signal detector DC baseline and corresponding AC signal.
The fractional signal can be calculated by dividing the actual voltage observed (Figure 6.2)(b) by the maximum AC signal (Figure 6.3(b)). Figure 6.4a shows the observed fractional signal. Figure 6.4b shows the observed fractional signal with the location of the velocity modulated signal splittings.


Figure 6.4: Example spectroscopic fractional signal of $\mathrm{N}_{2}^{+}$with 2 kV beam voltage.

The current of the ion beam passing through the linear apertures is plotted in Figure 6.5 with a quartic polynomial fit to smooth out the noise. The ion density probed by the laser beam was calculated using Equation 6.3, where $I_{\text {Avg }}$ is the average current during the scan in $\mu \mathrm{A}, q$ is the charge of an electron, $r$ is the radius of the apertures $(0.0015 \mathrm{~m})$, and $V_{\text {Beam }}$ is the energy of the ion beam. For the graph shown in Figure 6.5 , the average ion current was $0.7 \mu \mathrm{~A}$ with a beam energy of 1985 V , which produces an ion density of $1 \times 10^{7}$ ions $/ \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$. In order to compare the current we receive with other experiments, it is helpful to compare the same source type, the same molecule, and the same instrumental layout. Unfortunately there is little overlap between the two instruments. The first DLASFIB instrument used two bending elements
before the current was measured, while the source was a hot filament source with $\mathrm{HF}^{+}$being produced. The second DLASFIB instrument had the source collinear to the laser, and only one bending element was used after the drift region, with a cold cathode producing $\mathrm{HN}_{2}^{+}, \mathrm{HCO}^{+}$and $\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}$. Additionally, for these iterations, the Faraday cup design was incorrect, and the currents measured were at least $30 \%$ too high. However they quote their ion density to be $1 \times 10^{7}$ ion $\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ for $\mathrm{HN}_{2}^{+}$. With the use of cavity enhanced spectroscopies, and the future use of supersonic expansions, it is not possible to place the source directly inline with the laser. Ultimately, the emittance of the ion source will limit the ion density of ions probed.


Figure 6.5: Example picoammeter readings $\mathrm{N}_{2}^{+}$at 2 kV .

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { IonDensity }=\frac{I_{A v g}}{1 \times 10^{6} q\left(\pi r^{2}\right) v} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For several float voltages, the average ion current passing through the apertures during a scan was calculated. The results of the ion current are shown in Figure 6.6(a). Using Equation 6.3, the ion density was calculated and is plotted in Figure 6.6(b).

The maximum space charge limited ion current which can be extracted from a diode system (parallel plates, formed between the extraction plate and the source) can be estimated by the Child's/Langmuir Equation (6.4), where $J$ is the current density $\left(\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}\right), M$ is the mass of the ion $(\mathrm{kg})$ and $d$ is the separation between the plates $(\mathrm{mm})$. $[172,173]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=\frac{4 \epsilon_{0}}{9} \sqrt{\frac{2 q}{M}} \frac{V^{1.5}}{d^{2}} \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applied to the ion beam, the Child's equation estimates the maximum current that can be extracted out of the source and through the extractor plate. When the ion beam is vignetted through the irises used to make the laser beam and ion beam collinear, only a fraction of the the extracted current will be retained, and the extracted current is now proportional to the extraction voltage.

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=k V^{1.5} \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For Figure 6.6, a generic fit following Equation 6.5 is used to illustrate that the current increases with float voltage as $V^{1.5}$ (Figure $6.6\left(\right.$ a) ). Because the velocity decreases as $V^{0.5}$, the overall density should increase linearly with $V$, which is shown experimentally in Figure 6.6(b).


Figure 6.6: Example ion current and ion density of $\mathrm{N}_{2}^{+}$as a function of beam voltage.

For three different float voltages, the data were fit to the expected line shape function (See Equation 4.13 in Section 4.6), and the full width at half maximum (FWHM) was determined. The results for the line width are shown in Figure 6.7(a). The equation for the linewidth as a function of the energy spread of the ion beam is found in Equation 6.6, where $\nu_{0}$ is the rest frequency, $\delta \nu$ is the line width, and $\Delta V$ is the voltage spread. This equation can be rearranged for $\Delta V$ as shown in Equation 6.7 and used to calculate $\Delta V$ from the $\delta \nu$ as plotted in Figure 6.7(b). While neither Equations 6.6 nor 6.7 are linear functions, over the voltage range of $2-6 \mathrm{kV}$ they are fairly linear. A linear regression of $\sqrt{1 / V}$ (Equation 6.6 ) over the $2-6 \mathrm{kV}$ range has a correlation coefficient of 0.94 , and a linear regression of $\sqrt{V}$ (Equation 6.7 ) over the $2-6 \mathrm{kV}$ range has a correlation coefficient of 0.99. The slope of the linear regression of the points in Figure Figure 6.7(b) gives the float voltage uncertainty as a percentage of the beam voltage. The measured slope is $0.133 \%$. This value is much higher than the static voltage regulation specified for the power supply $(0.005 \%+0.5 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{mA})$. The specified voltage uncertainty corresponds to less than 1 V for the 15 kV power supply at 2 mA . The specified voltage ripple for the power supply was less than $0.02 \%$ of the rated voltage +0.3 V , which amounted to 3 V at maximum output. As the observed beam energy spread was larger than the power supplies rated ripple and stability, the increase in beam energy spread and linewidth cannot be attributed to the power supply.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\delta \nu}{\nu_{0}}=\frac{\Delta V}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2 q}{V M c^{2}}}  \tag{6.6}\\
& \Delta V=\frac{2 \delta \nu}{\nu_{0}} \sqrt{\frac{V M c^{2}}{2 q}} \tag{6.7}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 6.7: Linewidth and $\Delta \mathrm{V}$ as a function of float voltage.

Further support of this hypothesis is shown in Figure 6.8. A voltage divider was used to measure the output beam voltage with a Keithley source meter. Although the ultimate accuracy of this method is not very high, the relative precision of the measurements should be quite good. Figure 6.8(a) contains the raw data multiplied by 1000. Once the average beam voltage is subtracted out (Figure $6.8(\mathrm{~b})$ ), a measure of the ripple of the power supply could be measured. The peak to peak variation of the residual was 0.9 Volts with a standard deviation of 0.11 V . Therefore, it is quite unlikely that power supply variations are leading to the beam energy spread. Instead it is possible that the penetration of the ground voltage from the extraction plate into the cathode aperture is a complex function of the beam voltage, as illustrated in Figure 1.4. The width of the extraction sheath depends on the float voltage, a result consistent with the work of other ion beam experiments. [12, 174]

(a) Voltage read by Keithley source meter of a 1000:1 voltage divider of the beam voltage. This represents a very precise, albeit inaccurate measure of the beam energy.

(b) Residuals of Keithley voltage readings once the average voltage is subtracted.

Figure 6.8: Beam voltage monitor read by Keithley source meter.

### 6.3 Doppler Split Examples

As described in Section 4.6, both absorption and dispersion can be present and can be observed by using two RF mixers referenced $90^{\circ}$ out of phase to one another. Figure 6.9 illustrates the fractional signal coming
from the two lock-in simplifiers demodulating the different phases. The lock-in time-constants are the same, however the digital lock-in has a higher filter slope, which removes more of the noise. The graph shows that the signal is mostly confined to the first (digital) lock-in amplifier.


Figure 6.9: Spectroscopic data of both lock-in amplifiers. Top trace (red) digital lock-in amplifier, bottom trace (blue) analog lock-in amplifier.

The experimental line shapes are in line with the expected line shape function discussed in Chapter 4 as Equation 4.13. In order to verify that only dispersion is observed, attempts to fit the data to the full NICE-OHMS signal (velocity modulated dispersion and absorption) were made with Equation 6.8 with the line center, $\nu_{f m}$, and $\nu_{v m}$ fixed. Figure 6.10 (a) shows the raw data. Only Gaussian type line shapes were used, as previous ion beam experiments indicate the line shapes are primarily Gaussian in nature. [78] Figure 6.10b shows a fit with $\theta_{f m}$ floating. Figures 6.10 (c) and (d) show fits with $\theta_{f m}$ set to the dispersion and absorption phase, respectively. The FWHM of the fits in graphs band c were allowed to float, however when an absorption fit was attempted the FWHM ballooned to 160 MHz . The fit was still bad. Therefore, the absorption has been considered fully saturated and unobserved, and the observed spectrum is believed to be dispersion.

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\chi^{d i s p}\left(\nu_{d}-\nu_{f m}-\nu_{v m}\right)-2 \chi^{d i s p}\left(\nu_{d}-\nu_{v m}\right)+\chi^{d i s p}\left(\nu_{d}+\nu_{f m}-\nu_{v m}\right)\right.}  \tag{6.8}\\
& \left.-\chi^{d i s p}\left(\nu_{d}-\nu_{f m}+\nu_{v m}\right)+2 \chi^{d i s p}\left(\nu_{d}+\nu_{v m}\right)-\chi^{d i s p}\left(\nu_{d}+\nu_{f m}+\nu_{v m}\right)\right] \cos \theta_{f m} \\
& {\left[\chi^{a b s}\left(\nu_{d}-\nu_{f m}-\nu_{v m}\right)-\chi^{a b s}\left(\nu_{d}-\nu_{f m}+\nu_{v m}\right)-\chi^{a b s}\left(\nu_{d}-\nu_{f m}-\nu_{v m}\right)+\chi^{a b s}\left(\nu_{d}-\nu_{f m}+\nu_{v m}\right)\right] \sin \theta_{f m}}
\end{align*}
$$

Examples of the red and blue Doppler shifted components of the $\mathrm{Q}_{22}(14.5)$ line of $\mathrm{N}_{2}^{+}$are shown in Figure 6.11.

A comparison of the $\mathrm{Q}_{22}(14.5)$ line of $\mathrm{N}_{2}^{+}$taken from the positive column and the ion beam are shown in Figure 6.12.


Figure 6.10: Fractional data (a); fit with $\theta_{f m}$ : (b) floating; (c) set to $0^{\circ}$; (d) set to $90^{\circ}$. For clarity, graphs b-d have only 1 in every 15 data points is plotted.

| Parameter | $\theta_{f m}$ Floating | $\theta_{f m}=$ Dispersion | $\theta_{f m}=$ Absorption | Units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{x}_{0}$ | 10863.4786 | 10863.5786 | 10873.5786 | $\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ |
| Amplitude | $1.24 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $1.24 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $1.35 \mathrm{E}-7$ | Fractional |
| FWHM | 0.00289 | 0.00294 | 0.00635 | $\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ |
| FWHM | 87 | 88 | 190 | $\mathrm{MHz}^{2}$ |
| Het_Split | 0.003787 | 0.003787 | 0.003787 | $\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ |
| VM_Split | 0.00107 | 0.00107 | 0.00107 | $\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ |
| Theta | 3 | 0 | 90 | $\circ$ |

Table 6.1: Line fit data for determining if the signal is dispersion or absorption.


Figure 6.11: Red and blue split components of the $\mathrm{Q}_{22}(14.5)$ line of $\mathrm{N}_{2}^{+}$. Experimental data is shown by black dots, and fits to the general lineshape are shown in red and blue. For clarity, only 1 in every 15 data points is included in the graph.


Figure 6.12: Comparison of $\mathrm{N}_{2}^{+}$line taken in a positive column with 1 s time constant, and of the ion beam with a 10 s time constant.

### 6.4 Comb Calibrated Spectra

Examples of the red and blue Doppler shifted components of the $\mathrm{Q}_{22}(14.5)$ line of $\mathrm{N}_{2}^{+}$, calibrated with the frequency comb, are shown in Figure 6.13. A "dragging" effect, an artifact that the effective time constant of the lock-in amplifiers ( 100 s ) was larger than the delay time of the measurement ( 1 s ) is apparent. Therefore, scans were recorded both increasing and decreasing the laser frequency. Fits to Equation 4.13 were used, and the line centers for the scan up and scan down were averaged to determine the line center of the red and blue Doppler shifted lines. The geometric mean of the line centers of the red and blue Doppler shifted lines was then calculated as the absolute line center of the transition.

(a) Red Doppler shifted spectra of $\mathrm{N}_{2}^{+}$at 4 kV beam voltage calibrated with the optical frequency comb. The left graph is scanned towards $\nu_{0}$ and the right graph is scanned away from $\nu_{0}$

(b) Blue Doppler shifted spectra of $\mathrm{N}_{2}^{+}$at 4 kV beam voltage calibrated with the optical frequency comb. The left graph is scanned towards $\nu_{0}$ and the right graph is scanned away from $\nu_{0}$

Figure 6.13: Red and blue split components of the $\mathrm{Q}_{22}(14.5)$ line of $\mathrm{N}_{2}^{+}$calibrated with the frequency comb.

The frequencies for Doppler shifted transitions can be calculated from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\nu^{\prime}}{\nu_{0}}=\sqrt{\frac{1 \mp v / c}{1 \pm v / c}} \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nu^{\prime}$ is the Doppler shifted frequency, $\nu_{0}$ is the rest frequency, and $v$ is the velocity of the ions, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\sqrt{\frac{2 q V^{\prime}}{M}} \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Equation 6.9, the frequency of the red shifted component $\left(\nu_{-}\right)=\left(\nu_{0}\right) \sqrt{(1-v / c) /(1+v / c)}$, and the frequency of the blue shifted component $\left(\nu_{+}\right)=\left(\nu_{0}\right) \sqrt{(1+v / c) /(1-v / c)}$ can be calculated. The geometric mean of the red and blue shifted components, $\sqrt{\left(\nu_{-}\right)\left(\nu_{+}\right)}$can be shown to be equal to $\nu_{0}$ if the energy of the ion beam does not change.

If the inexact approximation is used $\frac{\nu^{\prime}}{\nu_{0}} \approx 1 \pm \frac{v}{c}$, and the line centers are calculated by taking the arithmetic mean $\left(\nu_{+}+\nu_{-}\right) / 2$, the line calculated line centers will be off by several tens of MHz . For $\mathrm{N}_{2}^{+}$
over a range of beam energies, the difference between the exact analysis with the geometric mean and the approximate arithmetic mean is 25,50 and 75 MHz for 2 , 4 , and 6 kV respectively. Previous ion beam experiments accuracy were limited by their frequency calibration at 100 MHz , [12] so this wasn't much of an issue for them. $[12,78,79]$

In this measurement, the geometric mean of the blue-shifted transitions was $10865.19163 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and the geometric mean of the red-shifted transitions was found to be $10853.49936 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The geometric mean of these two mean values gives a rest transition frequency of $10859.34392 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, which is within 8 MHz of the positive column measured rest frequency of $10859.34418 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. [86]

### 6.5 Boltzmann Analysis

A Boltzmann analysis can be performed when the intensity of a transition, the degeneracy of the state, the transition probability and energy of the lower state is known. [175] The intensity of the transition is scaled by the degeneracy and transition probability, then plotted against the lower energy states of the line. The slope of that line is proportional to $-1 / k T$. In order to obtain a rotational temperature, spectra of the ${ }^{q} \mathrm{Q}_{22}(\mathrm{~J})$ lines (with $\mathrm{J}=4.5,7.5,10.5,14.5$ and 21.5) with lower energies ranging from 60 to $970 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ were collected, and the fractional dispersion signal was calculated from the DC level of the detector. The spectra were fit to Equation 4.13 to obtain the intensities. The intensities were normalized to the strength of the transition (comprising the degeneracy and the transition probability), which were obtained along with the lower state energies from PGopher [176] using constants from Reference 82.

The values for the fit frequency, fit amplitude, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{lower}}$, line strength, and normalized intensity are shown in Table 6.2, and the resulting Boltzmann distribution plot is shown in Figure 6.14. A linear regression of the points determines the slope to be 0.00191 cm . The reciprocal of the slope divided by Boltzmann's constant $\left(0.695 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} / \mathrm{K}\right)$ yields the rotational temperature of $753 \pm 45 \mathrm{~K}$.

| J" | PGopher Frequency | Fit Frequency | Fit Amplitude | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {lower }}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | Strength | $\ln (\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.5 | 10912.9236 | 10918.8680 | $-5.601 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 57.6 | 0.88 | -14.27 |
| 4.5 | 10912.9236 | 10918.8690 | $-5.810 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 57.6 | 0.88 | -14.23 |
| 7.5 | 10900.5453 | 10906.4829 | $-1.724 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 138.3 | 3.08 | -14.39 |
| 7.5 | 10900.5453 | 10906.4818 | $-1.660 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 138.3 | 3.08 | -14.43 |
| 10.5 | 10885.0316 | 10890.9616 | $-1.324 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 253.6 | 2.28 | -14.36 |
| 10.5 | 10885.0316 | 10890.9625 | $-1.232 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 253.6 | 2.28 | -14.43 |
| 14.5 | 10859.3419 | 10865.2589 | $-1.191 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 461.0 | 3.36 | -14.85 |
| 14.5 | 10859.3419 | 10865.2576 | $-1.305 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 461.0 | 3.36 | -14.76 |
| 21.5 | 10800.1377 | 10806.0206 | $-1.212 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 971.1 | 10.77 | -16.00 |
| 21.5 | 10800.1377 | 10806.0216 | $-1.255 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 971.1 | 10.77 | -16.00 |

Table 6.2: Data for calculating the rotational temperature of $\mathrm{N}_{2}^{+}$in the ion beam.


Figure 6.14: Boltzmann plot for temperature analysis.

Initially, Owrutsky reported rotational temperatures for $\mathrm{HN}_{2}^{+}$and $\mathrm{HCO}^{+}$to be 420 K with an uncooled cathode source extracted from the anode. [78]. Further, a vibrational temperature of 500 K was reported. Later, Keim reported the rotational temperatures of $\mathrm{HN}_{2}^{+}$as 600 K , and attribute that to the higher source pressure used in the later work [79] compared to the earlier [78]. Keim reports the rotational temperature of $\mathrm{HCO}^{+}$as 560 K and $\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}$as 400 K . The rotational temperatures observed in this work are higher and may be attributed to the higher pressure applied to the source. Additionally, the ions observed in this work were extracted from the cathode, are formed by electron impact and lack collisions with other ions. The collisions found in the anodic source help to thermalize the rotational populations.

### 6.6 Sensitivity

Based off of the NICE-OHMS sensitivity from Equation 4.6, the expected ion beam absorption equivalent signal is given by [142]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Voltage }=\frac{F}{\pi} \frac{1}{2} \rho S l \frac{D C G_{R F} G_{A C}}{G_{D C}} J_{0}(\beta) J_{1}(\beta) \frac{2 c}{\mathrm{FWHM}} \sqrt{\frac{\ln (2)}{\pi}} \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the cavity enhancement factor $\frac{2 F}{\pi}$ is reduced to the number of round trip passes in the cavity $\frac{F}{\pi}$ due to the large Doppler shift associated with the fast ion beam. Due to the velocity modulation, a factor of $\frac{1}{2}$ is added. The ion density ( $\rho$, ions $\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ ), line strength $\left(S \mathrm{~cm}^{\text {ion }}{ }^{-1}\right.$ ), the interaction length ( lcm ) describe the Beer's law absorption. The DC detector voltage level (DC), along with the RF gain (7.798 V/V), AC gain ( $20668 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{A}$ ) and DC gain ( $8077 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{A}$ ) form the power on the detector. The heterodyne modulation depth is calculated to be $\left(J_{0}(\beta) J_{1}(\beta) \sim 0.317\right)$. The final term is the peak value of an area normalized Gaussian function.

The area of a peak normalized Gaussian is given by Equation 6.12.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} a e^{-\left(\frac{x^{2}}{2 c^{2}}\right)} \mathrm{d} x=a c \sqrt{2 \pi} \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, for the peak normalized Gaussian lineshape [142]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{G}^{a b s}(\nu)=e^{-4 \ln 2(\Delta \nu / \mathrm{FWHM})^{2}} \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

the area would be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Area }=F W H M \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{4 \ln (2)}} \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

which has units of Hz. Inversion of the area and multiplication by the speed of light (c) gives the conversion to have a dimensional unit (cm), as is needed for the Beer's law unit analysis shown in Equation 6.15, and is consistent with the value given in

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\Delta I}{I_{0}}\right)=\rho\left(\frac{i o n}{c m^{3}}\right) L(c m) S\left(\frac{c m}{i o n}\right) L W F(c m) \tag{6.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the linewidth factor is given in Equation 6.16 and the derivation is consistent with the value given by [177].

$$
\begin{equation*}
L W F=\frac{2 c}{\text { FWHM }} \sqrt{\frac{\ln (2)}{\pi}} \tag{6.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expected absorption equivalent fractional signal is given by dividing the expected signal in voltage (see Equation 6.11) by the maximum AC voltage possible $\left(D C \times G_{R F} \times G_{A C} / G_{D C}\right.$ yielding Equation 6.17.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Delta I}{I_{0}}=\frac{F}{\pi} \frac{1}{2} \rho S L J_{0}(\beta) J_{1}(\beta) \frac{2 c}{\mathrm{FWHM}} \sqrt{\frac{\ln (2)}{\pi}} \tag{6.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the equations presented above, the following constants, and the experimental parameters found in Table 6.3, the expected signal strength can be calculated and compared to the observed signal strength. For $\mathrm{N}_{2}^{+}$, the molecular mass is 28 . The rotational temperature was measured to be 750 K .3 mm diameter apertures were used to produce ion overlap leading to $0.071 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ area apertures. Finesse was 450 , the overlap length is 27.23 cm , and the line strength is assumed to be $3.87 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~cm} /$ ion for the $\mathrm{Q}_{22}(14.5)$ line at this temperature.

There is a large difference between the scans that did and that did not have the 10 dB attenuator before the amplifier. While performing scans with the ion beam, a large RAM peak was observed at the detector.
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}\begin{array}{c}\text { Data } \\
\text { Point }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Time } \\
\text { Constant } \\
(\mathrm{s})\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}10 \mathrm{~dB} \\
\text { Attenuation }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { RF Gain } \\
\text { and } \\
\text { Sidebands }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Beam } \\
\text { Voltage } \\
(\mathrm{V})\end{array} & \text { Velocity } & \text { Current } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Ion } \\
(\mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{s})\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Detector } \\
(\mu \mathrm{A})\end{array}
$$ <br>
\hline 1 \& 3 \& \mathrm{~N} \& 6.33 \& 5987 \& 20311511 \& 2.31 \& 1.00 \mathrm{E} 7 \& 4.11 <br>
Density <br>
DC Voltage <br>

(\mathrm{V})\end{array}\right]\)| DCm |
| :---: |

| Data <br> Point | Max AC <br> Voltage <br> $(\mathrm{V})$ | Line <br> Width <br> $(\mathrm{MHz})$ | Line <br> Width <br> Factor | Expected <br> Signal <br> Voltage | Observed <br> Signal <br> Voltage | Expected <br> Fractional <br> Signal | Observed <br> Fractional <br> Signal | Factor <br> Off |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 82 | 150 | 188 | $3.71 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $9.80 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $4.52 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.19 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 38 |
| 2 | 82 | 150 | 188 | $3.68 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $-9.78 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $4.47 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.19 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 37 |
| 3 | 91 | 90 | 313 | $3.57 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.13 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.94 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.25 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 32 |
| 4 | 94 | 90 | 313 | $3.70 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $-1.05 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.95 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.12 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 35 |
| 5 | 19 | 120 | 235 | $4.27 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $-3.48 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.32 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $-1.86 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 12 |
| 6 | 18 | 120 | 235 | $3.88 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $-3.10 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.18 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $-1.73 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 13 |
| 7 | 22 | 120 | 235 | $3.94 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.86 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.82 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.32 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 14 |
| 8 | 17 | 120 | 235 | $4.40 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.58 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.56 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.49 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 17 |

Table 6.3: Expected and observed signal strengths for several representative scans of the ion beam.

The RAM peak was believed to be saturating the amplifier. This was tested by adding a 6 and 12 dB attenuators before the amplifier and observing the loss in signal strength. The results of the attenuation insertion is shown in Figure 6.15. The conversion factor for voltage to dB is shown in Equation 6.18, and for 6 dB of attenuation would decrease the voltage by a factor of $\sim 2(0.501)$ (see the transfer function equation to convert dB into a power level in Equation 6.18).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{V_{\text {out }}}{V_{\text {in }}}=10^{-\frac{d B}{20}} \tag{6.18}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 6.15: Testing amplifier saturation by adding RF attenuation.
As shown in Figure 6.15 , the first 6 dB of attenuation only decreases the voltage by 1.25. Adding the
second 6 dB of attenuation, the signal voltage decreased by almost a factor of 2 . Therefore, it was determined that the RAM peak is saturating the amplifier, and more representative values can be obtained with a 10 dB attenuator in place. Another factor of 2.3 can be explained as being due to the high saturation parameter, as explained in Section 4.9. As a weaker transition will be probed with less laser power in the mid-IR, it is expected that the saturation losses will be smaller in the mid-IR.

### 6.7 Noise

The average thermal noise of a detector is given by [141]

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\text {Thermal,Average }}=\sqrt{\frac{4 k T \Delta f}{R}} \tag{6.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ is Boltzmann's constant, $T$ is the temperature, $\Delta f$ is the bandwidth of the detector, and $R$ is the input impedance.

For frequency modulation spectroscopy, the shot noise is given by [141]

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\text {Shot }, \text { Average }}=\sqrt{2 g^{2} q^{2} \eta \frac{P_{0}}{h \nu} \Delta f} \tag{6.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g$ is the gain of the detector, $q$ is the charge of an electron, $\eta$ is the quantum efficiency of the detector, $P_{0}$ is the power incident on the detector, $\Delta f$ is the bandwidth of detection, $h$ is Planck's constant, and $\nu$ is the frequency of the light.

For frequency modulation spectroscopy, the signal to noise ratio is given by [141]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{S}{N}=\frac{\frac{1}{2} g^{2} q^{2} \eta^{2}\left(\frac{P_{0}}{h \nu}\right)^{2} \Delta \delta^{2} \beta^{2}}{2 g^{2} q^{2} \eta \frac{P_{0}}{h \nu} \Delta f+\frac{4 k T \Delta f}{R}} \tag{6.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta \delta$ is the change in absorption, and $\beta$ is the sideband modulation index. It is therefore, always advantageous to increase the laser power, the modulation index, to have a high $\eta$, and to work with small bandwidth detectors.

The shot noise for NICE-OHMS is given by [81]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\text {shot noise }}=\frac{\pi}{F \times l} \sqrt{\frac{q B}{\eta P_{0}}} \frac{1}{J_{0}(\beta) J_{1}(\beta)} \tag{6.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l$ is the interaction length $(27 \mathrm{~cm}), F$ is the finesse (460), $\eta$ is the responsivity of the detector, $P_{0}$ is the power on the detector, and $J_{0}(\beta) J_{1}(\beta)$ is the modulation depth of the sidebands. For the NIR detector
( $\eta=0.51 \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{W}$ ) and 1.10 mW power coming out of the cavity, the fractional shot noise limit is $2 \times 10^{-12}$. For a mid-IR detector ( $1 \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{W}$ ) and $50 \mu \mathrm{~W}$ power coming out of the cavity, the fractional shot noise limit is one order of magnitude higher, at $1 \times 10^{-11}$. The noise of a scan shown in Figure 6.11(a) was measured by calculating the standard deviation of the baseline. This value was $3 \times 10^{-12}$ which shows that the technique is near the shot noise limit.

## Chapter 7

## Future Work and Conclusions

In Chapter 6, our sensitive spectroscopy coupled with our fast ion beam spectrometer allowed us to demonstrate complete and rigorous ion/neutral discrimination, sub-Doppler (kinematically compressed) line widths (see Figure 6.7), of a high rotational-temperature ion source (see Section 6.5). The use of the fast ion beam allowed for a mass spectrometer to monitor the optimization of plasma conditions to maximize the ion of interest (see Section 5.9). Additionally, the ability to determine the mass identification for every spectral line has been demonstrated (see Sections 6.4 and 4.8). This dissertation represents the return of ion spectroscopy to using ion beams for sample formation. Finally, sensitive enough spectroscopic methods allow for the low ion density of an ion beam to be probed directly via frequency modulation spectroscopy. This dissertation also details the first direct spectroscopic measurement of an electronic transition in an ion beam.

### 7.1 Mid-IR NICE-OHMS DFG Laser

By demonstrating these advantages with this newly developed spectroscopy in the NIR on $\mathrm{N}_{2}^{+}$, it is now possible to employ this same technique in the mid-IR by constructing a DFG laser capable of performing NICE-OHMS. A schematic of the DFG laser capable of performing NICE-OHMS in the mid-IR is shown in Figure 7.1.

Using the NIR NICE-OHMS spectrometer, it was determined that the some residual amplitude modulation (RAM) was present and adversely affected the laser/cavity lock. This RAM is frequency dependent and would change as the Ti:Sapphire laser was scanned. Because of the additional level of modulation, this RAM doesn't adversely affect the spectroscopic signal, but it does adversely affect the laser/cavity lock. It was suggested, that placing the locking sidebands on a fixed frequency laser would at least make the RAM constant. For this iteration of the NICE-OHMS spectroscopy, both EOMs were placed on the YAG laser. As the output of the YAG is still elliptically polarized, the quarter waveplate (QWP) is placed directly after the Faraday isolator. It was determined that a Faraday isolator was needed before the periodically poled lithium niobate (PPLN) crystal. The sum harmonic generation (SHG) PPLN crystal was used to double


Figure 7.1: Optical layout for NICE-OHMS compatible DFG layout.
the frequency of the YAG laser, which could then be used to lock the laser to an iodine line, as discussed in Section 2.2. Heterodyne sidebands at the free spectral range of the cavity ( $\sim 113 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) were added using a second EOM. The YAG was then mode matched to be the same mode size as the Ti:Sapphire laser and was overlapped spatially. DFG light was produced as described in Section 2.3. The output DFG light was mode-matched to the optical cavity. Once the DFG light is successfully locked to the cavity, several molecules can be studied in the mid-IR.

### 7.2 Indirect Terahertz Spectroscopy of $\mathrm{HN}_{2}^{+}$

By observing spectra in the mid-IR it is expected that the observed linewidth will be reduced, that the absorption and dispersion signals will be less saturated, and the full sensitivity of the mid-IR instrument can be characterized. One molecule which is fairly simple to produce with our cold-cathode source is $\mathrm{HN}_{2}^{+}$. The first assignment to $\mathrm{HN}_{2}^{+}$was the rotational $\mathrm{J}=1 \rightarrow 0$ transition of 93.1 GHz by Thaddeus in 1974 using astronomical data. [178] Thaddeus showed that $\mathrm{HN}_{2}^{+}$had a predicted rotational constant of 92.2 GHz , and assigned the transition at 93.1 GHz to $\mathrm{HN}_{2}^{+}\left(B_{0}=1.55\right)$. The first laboratory measurement of $\mathrm{HN}_{2}^{+}$was in 1976 when the $\mathrm{J}=1 \leftarrow 0 \mathrm{HN}_{2}^{+}$transition was split into a hyperfine multiplet and the line
centers for the multiplet were measured in the microwave. [3] The deuterium isotopologue was measured the next year. [179] In 1981, the $\mathrm{J}=1 \rightarrow 2,2 \rightarrow 3,3 \rightarrow 4$ and $4 \rightarrow 5$ transitions were measured, and the spectral constants $\mathrm{B}_{000}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{000}$ were measured for $\mathrm{HN}_{2}^{+}$to be $1.5539705 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $2.919 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, respectively. [4] These rotational constants provided accuracy to within 1 kHz of the measured $\mathrm{J}=0$ line. In 1982, the $\mathrm{J}=10 \rightarrow 11$ transition of $\mathrm{HN}_{2}^{+}$was measured and used to increase the accuracy of the spectral constants. [5] In 1983, using velocity modulation spectroscopy, 43 IR transitions of the $\nu_{1}$ band of $\mathrm{HN}_{2}^{+}$were collected under Doppler broadened conditions to $\pm 100 \mathrm{MHz}$ accuracy by Gudeman [1], giving $\nu_{0}=3233.9538$, $B_{000}=1.554049, B_{100}=1.541429, D_{000}=3.11 \times 10^{-6}$, and $D_{100}=3.07 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. In 1985, 41 additional transitions were used, and in conjunction with the microwave data, new "higher accuracy" constants were obtained: [2] $\nu_{0}=3233.9530, B_{100}=1.533971, B_{000}=1.541357, D_{100}=2.928 \times 10^{-6}$, and $D_{000}=2.901 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. In addition, the equilibrium distance of the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}$ bond were determined to be $1.03359 \AA$ and $1.092766 \AA$, respectively. Figure 7.2 (a) contains a simulation using the most accurate rotational constants determined by Owrutsky [2] at 30, 600, and 1000 K normalized to 30 K . The fraction of band for 30,300 , 600 , and 1000 K is shown in Figure 7.3. Figure $7.2(\mathrm{~b})$ shows the simulation at 600 K with units of $\mathrm{cm} \mathrm{ion}^{-1}$.


Figure 7.2: Spectra of $\mathrm{HN}_{2}^{+}$.


Figure 7.3: Fraction of band for $\mathrm{HN}_{2}^{+}$as a function of rotational temperature.

Table 7.1 shows the frequencies for the constants obtained by Gudeman [1] and Owrutsky [2]. Because the Owrustky constants include the weighted microwave values, the are believed to be more accurate. However,
the Gudeman constants have been observed at transitions between $\mathrm{P}(20)$ and $\mathrm{R}(19)$. Two transitions listed in Gudeman include the $R(3)$ and the $P(20)$ transitions. The $R(3)$ transition is correctly predicted by both sets of rotational constants. However, only the Gudeman constants correctly predict the frequency of observed $\mathrm{P}(20)$ transition. Additionally the $\mathrm{R}(9)$ line was observed by Owrutsky in a fast ion beam [78], and was observed closer to the Gudeman values [1] than the Owrustky values [2]. For the purposes of finding more lines, it is expected that the Gudeman values should be used first.

Once these IR transitions are observed, combination differences can be taken. Observations of two transitions with a common upper or lower level can be used to obtain the energy difference between the lower or upper states, respectively. For example, subtracting the two transitions frequencies that have the same upper state (say the $R(0)$ and the $P(2)$ states), will yield the energy difference between the lower level energies of the $J=0$ and $J=2$ states, as illustrated on the left side of Figure 7.4. Combination differences can then be used to build up the energy differences between the even or the odd states. However, as the $\mathrm{J}=1 \leftarrow 0$ transition has been measured to high accuracy, it can be used to convert between the even and odd differences. By subtracting the $1 \leftarrow 0$ transition from the $2 \leftarrow 0$ combination difference will yield the $2 \leftarrow 1$ transition. Subtracting the $2 \leftarrow 1$ transition from the $3 \leftarrow 1$ combination difference will yield the $3 \leftarrow 2$ transition. This process can be continued until all of the rotational transitions have been measured. Table 7.2 illustrates this reconstruction process with the data taken from a positive column $( \pm 100 \mathrm{MHz}$ accuracy and Doppler broadened linewidth) [1] and compares that to the data taken from the pure rotational spectrum. [3-5]

Inspection of the table, indicates a difference between the reconstruction and the rotational spectrum. It is apparent that for low values of $\mathrm{J}(<20)$, that the accuracy of the reconstruction is within the accuracy of the mid-IR measurements. By increasing the accuracy of the measurements by calibrating the frequencies with a frequency comb, the accuracy of the reconstruction should improve as well. This process should be tested. The $\mathrm{HN}_{2}^{+}$lines should be observed and calibrated with the frequency comb. Then the rotational spectrum can be reconstructed. Once the performance of the system has been measured, the same technique can be performed on other linear polyatomic molecules.

|  | Owrutsky | Gudeman | Difference |  | Owrutsky | Gudeman | Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}(50)$ | 3063.01 | 3049.425 | 13.585 | R(0) | 3237.0209 | 3237.0367 | -0.0158 |
| $\mathrm{P}(49)$ | 3066.744 | 3053.6596 | 13.0844 | R(1) | 3240.074 | 3240.0942 | -0.0202 |
| P(48) | 3070.4658 | 3057.8738 | 12.592 | R(2) | 3243.1122 | 3243.1264 | -0.0142 |
| $\mathrm{P}(47)$ | 3074.1755 | 3062.0673 | 12.1082 | R(3) | 3246.1354 | 3246.133 | 0.0024 |
| $\mathrm{P}(46)$ | 3077.8729 | 3066.2402 | 11.6327 | R(4) | 3249.1435 | 3249.1142 | 0.0293 |
| $\mathrm{P}(45)$ | 3081.5582 | 3070.3922 | 11.166 | R(5) | 3252.1365 | 3252.0698 | 0.0667 |
| $\mathrm{P}(44)$ | 3085.2311 | 3074.5234 | 10.7077 | R(6) | 3255.1143 | 3254.9997 | 0.1146 |
| $\mathrm{P}(43)$ | 3088.8917 | 3078.6334 | 10.2583 | R(7) | 3258.0768 | 3257.9038 | 0.173 |
| $\mathrm{P}(42)$ | 3092.54 | 3082.7223 | 9.8177 | R (8) | 3261.024 | 3260.7822 | 0.2418 |
| $\mathrm{P}(41)$ | 3096.1758 | 3086.79 | 9.3858 | R(9) | 3263.9557 | 3263.6347 | 0.321 |
| $\mathrm{P}(40)$ | 3099.7992 | 3090.8362 | 8.963 | R (10) | 3266.872 | 3266.4612 | 0.4108 |
| $\mathrm{P}(39)$ | 3103.4101 | 3094.861 | 8.5491 | R(11) | 3269.7726 | 3269.2618 | 0.5108 |
| $\mathrm{P}(38)$ | 3107.0085 | 3098.8641 | 8.1444 | $\mathrm{R}(12)$ | 3272.6576 | 3272.0363 | 0.6213 |
| $\mathrm{P}(37)$ | 3110.5943 | 3102.8455 | 7.7488 | R(13) | 3275.5269 | 3274.7846 | 0.7423 |
| $\mathrm{P}(36)$ | 3114.1675 | 3106.805 | 7.3625 | R(14) | 3278.3804 | 3277.5068 | 0.8736 |
| $\mathrm{P}(35)$ | 3117.728 | 3110.7426 | 6.9854 | R (15) | 3281.2179 | 3280.2028 | 1.0151 |
| $\mathrm{P}(34)$ | 3121.2757 | 3114.6582 | 6.6175 | R(16) | 3284.0395 | 3282.8724 | 1.1671 |
| P(33) | 3124.8107 | 3118.5515 | 6.2592 | R(17) | 3286.845 | 3285.5157 | 1.3293 |
| $\mathrm{P}(32)$ | 3128.3329 | 3122.4227 | 5.9102 | R (18) | 3289.6344 | 3288.1325 | 1.5019 |
| $\mathrm{P}(31)$ | 3131.8422 | 3126.2714 | 5.5708 | R (19) | 3292.4076 | 3290.7229 | 1.6847 |
| $\mathrm{P}(30)$ | 3135.3386 | 3130.0976 | 5.241 | R (20) | 3295.1644 | 3293.2868 | 1.8776 |
| $\mathrm{P}(29)$ | 3138.8221 | 3133.9013 | 4.9208 | R (21) | 3297.9049 | 3295.824 | 2.0809 |
| $\mathrm{P}(28)$ | 3142.2925 | 3137.6823 | 4.6102 | R (22) | 3300.6289 | 3298.3347 | 2.2942 |
| $\mathrm{P}(27)$ | 3145.7498 | 3141.4405 | 4.3093 | R(23) | 3303.3364 | 3300.8186 | 2.5178 |
| $\mathrm{P}(26)$ | 3149.1941 | 3145.1758 | 4.0183 | R(24) | 3306.0272 | 3303.2758 | 2.7514 |
| $\mathrm{P}(25)$ | 3152.6251 | 3148.8882 | 3.7369 | R (25) | 3308.7013 | 3305.7062 | 2.9951 |
| $\mathrm{P}(24)$ | 3156.043 | 3152.5774 | 3.4656 | R (26) | 3311.3586 | 3308.1098 | 3.2488 |
| $\mathrm{P}(23)$ | 3159.4475 | 3156.2435 | 3.204 | R (27) | 3313.999 | 3310.4864 | 3.5126 |
| $\mathrm{P}(22)$ | 3162.8388 | 3159.8862 | 2.9526 | R (28) | 3316.6224 | 3312.8362 | 3.7862 |
| $\mathrm{P}(21)$ | 3166.2166 | 3163.5056 | 2.711 | R (29) | 3319.2288 | 3315.1589 | 4.0699 |
| $\mathrm{P}(20)$ | 3169.581 | 3167.1016 | 2.4794 | R(30) | 3321.818 | 3317.4546 | 4.3634 |
| $\mathrm{P}(19)$ | 3172.9319 | 3170.6739 | 2.258 | R(31) | 3324.3899 | 3319.7232 | 4.6667 |
| $\mathrm{P}(18)$ | 3176.2692 | 3174.2226 | 2.0466 | R(32) | 3326.9445 | 3321.9647 | 4.9798 |
| $\mathrm{P}(17)$ | 3179.5929 | 3177.7476 | 1.8453 | R(33) | 3329.4816 | 3324.1791 | 5.3025 |
| $\mathrm{P}(16)$ | 3182.9029 | 3181.2487 | 1.6542 | R(34) | 3332.0012 | 3326.3662 | 5.635 |
| $\mathrm{P}(15)$ | 3186.1992 | 3184.7259 | 1.4733 | R(35) | 3334.5033 | 3328.5261 | 5.9772 |
| $\mathrm{P}(14)$ | 3189.4817 | 3188.1791 | 1.3026 | R(36) | 3336.9876 | 3330.6587 | 6.3289 |
| $\mathrm{P}(13)$ | 3192.7503 | 3191.6081 | 1.1422 | R(37) | 3339.454 | 3332.764 | 6.69 |
| $\mathrm{P}(12)$ | 3196.0051 | 3195.013 | 0.9921 | R(38) | 3341.9026 | 3334.8419 | 7.0607 |
| $\mathrm{P}(11)$ | 3199.2458 | 3198.3936 | 0.8522 | R(39) | 3344.3332 | 3336.8924 | 7.4408 |
| $\mathrm{P}(10)$ | 3202.4725 | 3201.7498 | 0.7227 | R(40) | 3346.7458 | 3338.9154 | 7.8304 |
| $\mathrm{P}(9)$ | 3205.6851 | 3205.0816 | 0.6035 | R (41) | 3349.1401 | 3340.911 | 8.2291 |
| $\mathrm{P}(8)$ | 3208.8835 | 3208.3888 | 0.4947 | R (42) | 3351.5161 | 3342.8791 | 8.637 |
| $\mathrm{P}(7)$ | 3212.0677 | 3211.6714 | 0.3963 | R (43) | 3353.8738 | 3344.8197 | 9.0541 |
| $\mathrm{P}(6)$ | 3215.2376 | 3214.9294 | 0.3082 | $\mathrm{R}(44)$ | 3356.213 | 3346.7326 | 9.4804 |
| $\mathrm{P}(5)$ | 3218.3931 | 3218.1625 | 0.2306 | R(45) | 3358.5336 | 3348.618 | 9.9156 |
| $\mathrm{P}(4)$ | 3221.5343 | 3221.3708 | 0.1635 | R(46) | 3360.8356 | 3350.4757 | 10.3599 |
| $\mathrm{P}(3)$ | 3224.6609 | 3224.5542 | 0.1067 | $\mathrm{R}(47)$ | 3363.1187 | 3352.3058 | 10.8129 |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)$ | 3227.7729 | 3227.7125 | 0.0604 | R (48) | 3365.383 | 3354.1082 | 11.2748 |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)$ | 3230.8703 | 3230.8457 | 0.0246 | R (49) | 3367.6283 | 3355.8828 | 11.7455 |

Table 7.1: Transitions of $\mathrm{HN}_{2}^{+}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ calculated with constants from Gudeman [1] and Owrutsky [2]. Listed (observed) transitions are in bold.

| J Lower | Reconstruction | Terahertz | Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | GHz | GHz | MHz |
| 1 | 186.36 | 186.34 | 14 |
| 2 | 279.52 | 279.51 | 7 |
| 3 | 372.70 | 372.67 | 25 |
| 4 | 465.84 | 465.82 | 12 |
| 5 | 559.00 | 558.97 | 31 |
| 6 | 652.12 | 652.10 | 20 |
| 7 | 745.24 | 745.21 | 34 |
| 8 | 838 | 838 | 18 |
| 9 | 931 | 931 | 35 |
| 10 | 1024 | 1024 | 14 |
| 11 | 1118 | 1117 | 27 |
| 12 | 1210 | 1210 | 8 |
| 13 | 1303 | 1303 | 13 |
| 14 | 1396 | 1396 | 9 |
| 15 | 1489 | 1489 | 5 |
| 16 | 1582 | 1582 | 32 |
| 17 | 1675 | 1675 | 33 |
| 18 | 1768 | 1768 | 64 |
| 19 | 1861 | 1861 | 74 |
| 20 | 1953 | 1953 | 106 |
| 21 | 2046 | 2046 | 120 |
| 22 | 2139 | 2139 | 160 |
| 23 | 2231 | 2231 | 177 |
| 24 | 2324 | 2324 | 224 |
| 25 | 2416 | 2416 | 249 |
| 26 | 2508 | 2509 | 301 |
| 27 | 2601 | 2601 | 334 |
| 28 | 2693 | 2693 | 394 |
| 29 | 2785 | 2786 | 433 |
| 30 | 2877 | 2878 | 499 |
| 31 | 2969 | 2970 | 547 |
| 32 | 3061 | 3062 | 622 |
| 33 | 3153 | 3154 | 677 |
| 34 | 3245 | 3246 | 763 |
| 35 | 3337 | 3338 | 825 |
| 36 | 3429 | 3430 | 921 |
| 37 | 3520 | 3521 | 991 |
| 38 | 3612 | 3613 | 1099 |
| 39 | 3703 | 3704 | 1177 |
| 40 | 3795 | 3796 | 1295 |
| 41 | 3886 | 3887 | 1386 |
| 42 | 3977 | 3979 | 1512 |
| 43 | 4068 | 4070 | 1618 |
| 44 | 4159 | 4161 | 1749 |
| 45 | 4250 | 4252 | 1871 |
| 46 | 4341 | 4343 | 2011 |
| 47 | 4431 | 4433 | 2147 |

Table 7.2: Reconstructed rotational spectrum of $\mathrm{HN}_{2}^{+}$as calculated with the rotational constants from Gudeman [1] compared with the measured rotational spectrum. The first rotational point is from [3]. The next four rotational points are from [4], and the remaining rotational transitions until $\mathrm{J}=21$ are from [5]. The remaining rotational transitions are calculated using the rotational constants $\left(\mathrm{B}=1.553971411 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right.$ and $\mathrm{D}=0.0000029324 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ).


Figure 7.4: $\mathrm{HN}_{2}^{+}$energy level diagram for the lower and upper vibrational states. IR transitions are shown in black, and combination differences are shown by the red arrows. The $\mathrm{J}=1 \leftarrow 0$ transition is indicated by the pink line. And the reconstructed rotational spectrum is shown by the blue arrows.

### 7.3 Indirect Terahertz Spectroscopy of Molecular Ions

Because the rotational spectrum of $\mathrm{HN}_{2}^{+}$has been measured up to $\mathrm{J}=21$, we can benchmark the fast ion beam indirect terahertz spectroscopy with the actual rotational spectrum with this molecule. Once the limits of accuracy have been determined using this benchmark molecule, we can use this technique for molecules whose rotational spectrum has not been measured. Based on the sensitivity of the mid-IR system, it is expected that the rotational spectrum of $\mathrm{HCS}^{+}, \mathrm{HOC}^{+}, \mathrm{HCO}^{+}, \mathrm{CO}^{+}, \mathrm{CH}^{+}$, and $\mathrm{HCNH}^{+}$can be measured.

### 7.3.1 Indirect Terahertz Spectroscopy of $\mathrm{CH}^{+}$

$\mathrm{CH}^{+}$was one of the first molecular species observed in the interstellar medium, though most spectroscopic information comes from electronic bands. The $J=1 \leftarrow 0$ rotational transition of $\mathrm{CH}^{+}$was reported at 835.07895 GHz. [180]. $\mathrm{CH}^{+}$is extremely reactive especially with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ which form complex hydrocarbons. As the 835 GHz frequency is difficult to synthesize, alternate routes of obtaining rotational spectral information would be quite helpful.

While the rotational dipole of $\mathrm{CH}^{+}$is quite large 1.62 D . [181], the vibrational transition dipole moment is much smaller. The Einstein A coefficient of for the vibrational mode of $\mathrm{CH}^{+}$is calculated to be 1.63 $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$, [182]. The Einstein A coefficient can be converted into the transition dipole moment using Equation
7.1

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu^{2}=\frac{3 h c^{3} A_{i j}}{64 \pi^{4} \nu^{3}} \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu$ is the dipole moment in electrostatic units (esu), $h$ is Planck's constant in erg s, $c$ is the speed of light in $\mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{s}, \nu$ is the frequency of the transition in Hz , and $A_{i j}$ is the Einstein A coefficient in Hz . Recognizing that 1 esu $=1 \times 10^{18}$ Debye, can convert esu to Debye. Using the Einstein A coefficient of $\mathrm{CH}^{+}$, the transition dipole for vibrational spectroscopy of $\mathrm{CH}^{+}$can be calculated as 0.016 Debye. In addition to the weak transition dipole moment, $\mathrm{CH}^{+}$may prove difficult to study because it reactions to form hydrocarbons.

From the electronic work which has been performed previously, [183] the band origin was measured to be $2739.65 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and the rotational constants have been determined to be $B_{0}=13.9302, D_{0}=0.001365$, and $H_{0}=4 \times 10^{-8}, B_{1}=13.4407, D_{1}=0.001342$, and $H_{1}=4 \times 10^{-8}$.

Based on the electronic transitions previously measured, a simulated spectrum is shown in Figure 7.5, and the fraction of band is shown as a function of rotational temperature between 30 and 1000 K in Figure 7.6. A list of the transition frequencies is shown in Table 7.3.

(a) $\mathrm{CH}^{+}$at 30 K (red), 600 K (blue) and 1000 K (black).

(b) $\mathrm{CH}^{+}$at 600 K (blue).

Figure 7.5: Spectra of $\mathrm{CH}^{+}$.


Figure 7.6: Fraction of band for $\mathrm{CH}^{+}$as a function of rotational temperature.

Table 7.3: Rovibrational spectrum of $\mathrm{CH}^{+}$calculated with PGopher.

| $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { Frequency } \\ \mathrm{cm}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | Intensity cm ion $^{-1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { E upper } \\ \mathrm{cm}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { E lower } \\ \mathrm{cm}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | Strength | Transition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 892.9025 | 5.81E-44 | 28202.255 | 27309.3525 | 43.74 | $\mathrm{P}(50)$ |
| 924.9803 | $3.88 \mathrm{E}-43$ | 27448.8028 | 26523.8225 | 42.8652 | $\mathrm{P}(49)$ |
| 957.7686 | $2.62 \mathrm{E}-42$ | 26690.9916 | 25733.223 | 41.9904 | $\mathrm{P}(48)$ |
| 991.2423 | $1.78 \mathrm{E}-41$ | 25929.8366 | 24938.5943 | 41.1156 | $\mathrm{P}(47)$ |
| 1025.375 | $1.22 \mathrm{E}-40$ | 25166.3529 | 24140.978 | 40.2408 | $\mathrm{P}(46)$ |
| 1060.1397 | $8.42 \mathrm{E}-40$ | 24401.5549 | 23341.4152 | 39.366 | $\mathrm{P}(45)$ |
| 1095.5086 | $5.8 \mathrm{E}-39$ | 23636.4543 | 22540.9457 | 38.4912 | $\mathrm{P}(44)$ |
| 1131.4533 | $3.99 \mathrm{E}-38$ | 22872.0593 | 21740.606 | 37.6164 | $\mathrm{P}(43)$ |
| 1167.9444 | $2.73 \mathrm{E}-37$ | 22109.373 | 20941.4286 | 36.7416 | $\mathrm{P}(42)$ |
| 1204.9521 | $1.86 \mathrm{E}-36$ | 21349.3926 | 20144.4405 | 35.8668 | $\mathrm{P}(41)$ |
| 1242.4457 | $1.26 \mathrm{E}-35$ | 20593.1074 | 19350.6618 | 34.992 | $\mathrm{P}(40)$ |
| 1280.394 | $8.38 \mathrm{E}-35$ | 19841.4987 | 18561.1046 | 34.1172 | $\mathrm{P}(39)$ |
| 1318.7653 | $5.52 \mathrm{E}-34$ | 19095.5375 | 17776.7722 | 33.2424 | $\mathrm{P}(38)$ |
| 1357.5271 | $3.57 \mathrm{E}-33$ | 18356.1844 | 16998.6573 | 32.3676 | $\mathrm{P}(37)$ |
| 1396.6465 | $2.27 \mathrm{E}-32$ | 17624.3878 | 16227.7413 | 31.4928 | $\mathrm{P}(36)$ |
| 1436.0901 | $1.41 \mathrm{E}-31$ | 16901.0832 | 15464.993 | 30.618 | $\mathrm{P}(35)$ |
| 1475.8239 | $8.6 \mathrm{E}-31$ | 16187.1918 | 14711.3679 | 29.7432 | $\mathrm{P}(34)$ |
| 1515.8136 | $5.1 \mathrm{E}-30$ | 15483.62 | 13967.8065 | 28.8684 | $\mathrm{P}(33)$ |
| 1556.0243 | $2.94 \mathrm{E}-29$ | 14791.2582 | 13235.2339 | 27.9936 | $\mathrm{P}(32)$ |
| 1596.4208 | $1.65 \mathrm{E}-28$ | 14110.9795 | 12514.5587 | 27.1188 | $\mathrm{P}(31)$ |
| 1636.9675 | 8.92E-28 | 13443.6393 | 11806.6718 | 26.244 | $\mathrm{P}(30)$ |
| 1677.6286 | $4.67 \mathrm{E}-27$ | 12790.0742 | 11112.4456 | 25.3692 | $\mathrm{P}(29)$ |
| 1718.3678 | $2.36 \mathrm{E}-26$ | 12151.1011 | 10432.7333 | 24.4944 | $\mathrm{P}(28)$ |
| 1759.1486 | $1.14 \mathrm{E}-25$ | 11527.5164 | 9768.3678 | 23.6196 | $\mathrm{P}(27)$ |
| 1799.9341 | 5.33E-25 | 10920.095 | 9120.1609 | 22.7448 | $\mathrm{P}(26)$ |
| 1840.6875 | $2.38 \mathrm{E}-24$ | 10329.59 | 8488.9025 | 21.87 | $\mathrm{P}(25)$ |
| 1881.3715 | $1.02 \mathrm{E}-23$ | 9756.7315 | 7875.36 | 20.9952 | $\mathrm{P}(24)$ |
| 1921.9488 | $4.15 \mathrm{E}-23$ | 9202.2261 | 7280.2773 | 20.1204 | $\mathrm{P}(23)$ |
| 1962.3818 | $1.61 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 8666.756 | 6704.3742 | 19.2456 | $\mathrm{P}(22)$ |
| 2002.6329 | $5.96 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 8150.9787 | 6148.3458 | 18.3708 | $\mathrm{P}(21)$ |
| 2042.6646 | $2.09 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 7655.5261 | 5612.8615 | 17.496 | $\mathrm{P}(20)$ |
| 2082.4389 | $6.96 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 7181.0038 | 5098.5649 | 16.6212 | $\mathrm{P}(19)$ |
| 2121.9182 | $2.19 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 6727.9908 | 4606.0726 | 15.7464 | $\mathrm{P}(18)$ |
| 2161.0647 | $6.5 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 6297.0388 | 4135.9742 | 14.8716 | $\mathrm{P}(17)$ |
| 2199.8406 | $1.82 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 5888.6718 | 3688.8312 | 13.9968 | $\mathrm{P}(16)$ |
| 2238.2083 | $4.79 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 5503.3852 | 3265.177 | 13.122 | $\mathrm{P}(15)$ |
| 2276.1302 | 1.19E-18 | 5141.6461 | 2865.5159 | 12.2472 | $\mathrm{P}(14)$ |
| 2313.5689 | $2.75 \mathrm{E}-18$ | 4803.8921 | 2490.3233 | 11.3724 | $\mathrm{P}(13)$ |
| 2350.487 | $5.98 \mathrm{E}-18$ | 4490.5314 | 2140.0444 | 10.4976 | $\mathrm{P}(12)$ |
| 2386.8474 | $1.21 \mathrm{E}-17$ | 4201.942 | 1815.0946 | 9.6228 | $\mathrm{P}(11)$ |
| 2422.6132 | $2.29 \mathrm{E}-17$ | 3938.472 | 1515.8587 | 8.748 | $\mathrm{P}(10)$ |
| 2426.5125 | $1.04 \mathrm{E}-42$ | 28950.335 | 26523.8225 | 43.74 | $\mathrm{R}(49)$ |
| 2457.7477 | $4.03 \mathrm{E}-17$ | 3700.4384 | 1242.6907 | 7.8732 | $\mathrm{P}(9)$ |
| 2469.032 | $6.89 \mathrm{E}-42$ | 28202.255 | 25733.223 | 42.8652 | R(48) |
| 2492.2145 | $6.57 \mathrm{E}-17$ | 3488.1277 | 995.9132 | 6.9984 | P(8) |
| 2510.2085 | $4.62 \mathrm{E}-41$ | 27448.8028 | 24938.5943 | 41.9904 | R (47) |
| Continued on next page... |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7.3 continued.

| Frequency $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | Intensity cm ion $^{-1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E upper } \\ & \mathrm{cm}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{E} \text { lower } \\ \mathrm{cm}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | Strength | Transition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2525.9775 | $9.87 \mathrm{E}-17$ | 3301.7951 | 775.8176 | 6.1236 | $\mathrm{P}(7)$ |
| 2550.0137 | $3.11 \mathrm{E}-40$ | 26690.9916 | 24140.978 | 41.1156 | $\mathrm{R}(46)$ |
| 2559.0008 | $1.36 \mathrm{E}-16$ | 3141.6643 | 582.6635 | 5.2488 | $\mathrm{P}(6)$ |
| 2588.4214 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-39$ | 25929.8366 | 23341.4152 | 40.2408 | $\mathrm{R}(45)$ |
| 2591.2489 | $1.71 \mathrm{E}-16$ | 3007.9275 | 416.6786 | 4.374 | $\mathrm{P}(5)$ |
| 2622.6869 | $1.93 \mathrm{E}-16$ | 2900.7452 | 278.0583 | 3.4992 | $\mathrm{P}(4)$ |
| 2625.4072 | $1.42 \mathrm{E}-38$ | 25166.3529 | 22540.9457 | 39.366 | $\mathrm{R}(44)$ |
| 2653.28 | $1.91 \mathrm{E}-16$ | 2820.2459 | 166.9659 | 2.6244 | $\mathrm{P}(3)$ |
| 2660.9489 | $9.6 \mathrm{E}-38$ | 24401.5549 | 21740.606 | 38.4912 | R(43) |
| 2682.994 | $1.58 \mathrm{E}-16$ | 2766.526 | 83.5321 | 1.7496 | $\mathrm{P}(2)$ |
| 2695.0256 | $6.46 \mathrm{E}-37$ | 23636.4543 | 20941.4286 | 37.6164 | R(42) |
| 2711.7951 | $9.1 \mathrm{E}-17$ | 2739.65 | 27.8549 | 0.8748 | $\mathrm{P}(1)$ |
| 2727.6188 | 4.32E-36 | 22872.0593 | 20144.4405 | 36.7416 | R (41) |
| 2758.7113 | $2.86 \mathrm{E}-35$ | 22109.373 | 19350.6618 | 35.8668 | R (40) |
| 2766.526 | $9.92 \mathrm{E}-17$ | 2766.526 | 0 | 0.8748 | R (0) |
| 2788.2879 | $1.87 \mathrm{E}-34$ | 21349.3926 | 18561.1046 | 34.992 | $\mathrm{R}(39)$ |
| 2792.391 | $1.87 \mathrm{E}-16$ | 2820.2459 | 27.8549 | 1.7496 | R(1) |
| 2816.3352 | $1.21 \mathrm{E}-33$ | 20593.1074 | 17776.7722 | 34.1172 | $\mathrm{R}(38)$ |
| 2817.2132 | $2.48 \mathrm{E}-16$ | 2900.7452 | 83.5321 | 2.6244 | $\mathrm{R}(2)$ |
| 2840.9616 | $2.73 \mathrm{E}-16$ | 3007.9275 | 166.9659 | 3.4992 | $\mathrm{R}(3)$ |
| 2842.8414 | $7.68 \mathrm{E}-33$ | 19841.4987 | 16998.6573 | 33.2424 | $\mathrm{R}(37)$ |
| 2863.606 | $2.64 \mathrm{E}-16$ | 3141.6643 | 278.0583 | 4.374 | $\mathrm{R}(4)$ |
| 2867.7962 | $4.79 \mathrm{E}-32$ | 19095.5375 | 16227.7413 | 32.3676 | R (36) |
| 2885.1165 | $2.29 \mathrm{E}-16$ | 3301.7951 | 416.6786 | 5.2488 | $\mathrm{R}(5)$ |
| 2891.1914 | $2.93 \mathrm{E}-31$ | 18356.1844 | 15464.993 | 31.4928 | R (35) |
| 2905.4642 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-16$ | 3488.1277 | 582.6635 | 6.1236 | R (6) |
| 2913.02 | $1.75 \mathrm{E}-30$ | 17624.3878 | 14711.3679 | 30.618 | $\mathrm{R}(34)$ |
| 2924.6208 | $1.31 \mathrm{E}-16$ | 3700.4384 | 775.8176 | 6.9984 | $\mathrm{R}(7)$ |
| 2933.2767 | $1.02 \mathrm{E}-29$ | 16901.0832 | 13967.8065 | 29.7432 | $\mathrm{R}(33)$ |
| 2942.5588 | $8.72 \mathrm{E}-17$ | 3938.472 | 995.9132 | 7.8732 | R(8) |
| 2951.9579 | $5.75 \mathrm{E}-29$ | 16187.1918 | 13235.2339 | 28.8684 | R(32) |
| 2959.2514 | $5.39 \mathrm{E}-17$ | 4201.942 | 1242.6907 | 8.748 | $\mathrm{R}(9)$ |
| 2969.0613 | $3.16 \mathrm{E}-28$ | 15483.62 | 12514.5587 | 27.9936 | R(31) |
| 2974.6727 | $3.1 \mathrm{E}-17$ | 4490.5314 | 1515.8587 | 9.6228 | R(10) |
| 2984.5864 | $1.68 \mathrm{E}-27$ | 14791.2582 | 11806.6718 | 27.1188 | R(30) |
| 2988.7975 | $1.66 \mathrm{E}-17$ | 4803.8921 | 1815.0946 | 10.4976 | R(11) |
| 2998.5339 | $8.63 \mathrm{E}-27$ | 14110.9795 | 11112.4456 | 26.244 | R (29) |
| 3001.6017 | $8.27 \mathrm{E}-18$ | 5141.6461 | 2140.0444 | 11.3724 | R(12) |
| 3010.906 | $4.28 \mathrm{E}-26$ | 13443.6393 | 10432.7333 | 25.3692 | R(28) |
| 3013.062 | $3.86 \mathrm{E}-18$ | 5503.3852 | 2490.3233 | 12.2472 | R(13) |
| 3021.7064 | $2.04 \mathrm{E}-25$ | 12790.0742 | 9768.3678 | 24.4944 | R(27) |
| 3023.1558 | $1.69 \mathrm{E}-18$ | 5888.6718 | 2865.5159 | 13.122 | $\mathrm{R}(14)$ |
| 3030.9403 | $9.33 \mathrm{E}-25$ | 12151.1011 | 9120.1609 | 23.6196 | R (26) |
| 3031.8619 | $6.92 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 6297.0388 | 3265.177 | 13.9968 | R (15) |
| 3038.6139 | $4.09 \mathrm{E}-24$ | 11527.5164 | 8488.9025 | 22.7448 | R(25) |
| 3039.1596 | $2.67 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 6727.9908 | 3688.8312 | 14.8716 | $\mathrm{R}(16)$ |
| 3044.735 | $1.72 \mathrm{E}-23$ | 10920.095 | 7875.36 | 21.87 | $\mathrm{R}(24)$ |
| 3045.0296 | $9.69 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 7181.0038 | 4135.9742 | 15.7464 | $\mathrm{R}(17)$ |
| 3049.3127 | $6.88 \mathrm{E}-23$ | 10329.59 | 7280.2773 | 20.9952 | R(23) |
| 3049.4535 | $3.32 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 7655.5261 | 4606.0726 | 16.6212 | $\mathrm{R}(18)$ |

Table 7.3 continued.

| Frequency <br> $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | Intensity <br> cm ion $^{-1}$ | E upper <br> $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | E lower <br> $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | Strength | Transition |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3052.3573 | $2.62 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 9756.7315 | 6704.3742 | 20.1204 | $\mathrm{R}(22)$ |
| 3052.4138 | $1.07 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 8150.9787 | 5098.5649 | 17.496 | $\mathrm{R}(19)$ |
| 3053.8803 | $9.53 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 9202.2261 | 6148.3458 | 19.2456 | $\mathrm{R}(21)$ |
| 3053.8945 | $3.28 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 8666.756 | 5612.8615 | 18.3708 | $\mathrm{R}(20)$ |

### 7.3.2 Indirect Terahertz Spectroscopy of $\mathrm{CO}^{+}$

$\mathrm{CO}^{+}$has been an important ion used to study the interstellar medium, and to further advance ion spectroscopy. It has quite a large permanent dipole in the microwave ( $\sim 2.5 \mathrm{D}[22], 2.771 \mathrm{D}[184]$ ), but the infrared transition dipole moment is about a factor of 100 times weaker than $\mathrm{HCO}^{+}$. The pure rotational transition of $\mathrm{CO}^{+}$was reported at 117.69255 GHz and 118.10199 GHz for the $J=1 / 2 \rightarrow 1 / 2$ and $J=1 / 2 \rightarrow 3 / 2$ transitions, respectively. [22]. Additionally, the $\mathrm{J}=15 / 2$ line was measured to be 1061.0059 GHz [185] and shows that the rotational constants determined by Dixon [22] are quite good for $\mathrm{CO}^{+}$.

The infrared transition strength of $\mathrm{CO}^{+}$was calculated to be $33 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{mol}$. [186] Using Equation 7.2,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=2.5066 \nu \mu^{2} \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S$ is the band strength in $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{mol}, \nu$ is the transition frequency in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$, and $\mu$ is the transition dipole moment in Debye, the band strength can be converted to a transition dipole moment. The factor 2.5066 is a constant, given by Equation 7.3

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Constant }=\frac{8 \pi^{3} 1 \times 10^{-36}}{3 h N_{A} c 10000} \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h$ is Planck's constant in ergs, $N_{A}$ is Avogadro's number, and $c$ is the speed of light in $\mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{s}$. Using Equation 7.2, the calculated band strength is 0.074 Debye.

From the rovibrational work which has been performed previously at 30 MHz accuracy, [187] the band origin was measured to be $2183.9193 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and the rotational constants have been determined to be $B_{0}=1.9674624, D_{0}=6.324 \times 10^{-6}$, and $\gamma=9.107 \times 10^{-3}, B_{1}=1.948438, D_{1}=6.324 \times 10^{-6}$, and $\gamma=9.049 \times 10^{-3}$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

Based on the rovibrational transitions previously measured, a simulated spectrum is shown in Figure 7.7, and the fraction of band is shown as a function of rotational temperature between 30 and 1000 K in Figure 7.8. A list of the transition frequencies is shown in Table 7.4.


Figure 7.7: Spectra of $\mathrm{CO}^{+}$.


Figure 7.8: Fraction of band for $\mathrm{CO}^{+}$as a function of rotational temperature.

Table 7.4: Rovibrational spectrum of $\mathrm{CO}^{+}$calculated with PGopher.

| Frequency $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | Intensity cm ion $^{-1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { E upper } \\ & \mathrm{cm}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ | E lower | Strength | Transition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1943.7313 | $2.41 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 6919.4064 | 4975.6751 | 0.0903448 | pP2(49.5) |
| 1944.1792 | $4.86 \mathrm{E}-26$ | 6919.8543 | 4975.6751 | 0.00001826 | pQ12(49.5) |
| 1949.3327 | $3.19 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 6731.8789 | 4782.5462 | 0.0903448 | pP 1 (49.5) |
| 1949.3446 | $3.12 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 6731.44 | 4782.0954 | 0.0885193 | pP2(48.5) |
| 1949.7835 | $6.58 \mathrm{E}-26$ | 6731.8789 | 4782.0954 | 0.00001863 | pQ12(48.5) |
| 1954.9155 | $4.11 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 6547.6219 | 4592.7064 | 0.0885193 | pP1(48.5) |
| 1954.9273 | $4.03 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 6547.192 | 4592.2647 | 0.0866937 | pP2(47.5) |
| 1955.3572 | 8.85E-26 | 6547.6219 | 4592.2647 | 0.00001902 | pQ12(47.5) |
| 1960.4675 | $5.28 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 6367.0905 | 4406.623 | 0.0866937 | pP 1 (47.5) |
| 1960.4793 | $5.17 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 6366.6697 | 4406.1904 | 0.0848682 | pP2(46.5) |
| 1960.9001 | $1.18 \mathrm{E}-25$ | 6367.0905 | 4406.1904 | 0.00001942 | pQ12(46.5) |
| 1965.9886 | $6.74 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 6190.2919 | 4224.3033 | 0.0848682 | pP 1 (46.5) |
| 1966.0004 | $6.6 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 6189.8801 | 4223.8798 | 0.0830426 | pP2(45.5) |
| 1966.4121 | $1.58 \mathrm{E}-25$ | 6190.2919 | 4223.8798 | 0.00001984 | pQ12(45.5) |
| 1971.4786 | 8.55E-22 | 6017.233 | 4045.7544 | 0.0830426 | pP 1 (45.5) |
| 1971.4903 | 8.37E-22 | 6016.8303 | 4045.34 | 0.0812171 | pP2(44.5) |
| 1971.893 | $2.09 \mathrm{E}-25$ | 6017.233 | 4045.34 | 0.00002028 | pQ12(44.5) |
| 1976.9375 | $1.08 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 5847.9208 | 3870.9833 | 0.0812171 | pP1(44.5) |
| 1976.9491 | $1.05 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 5847.5271 | 3870.578 | 0.0793915 | pP2(43.5) |
| 1977.3427 | $2.76 \mathrm{E}-25$ | 5847.9208 | 3870.578 | 0.00002075 | pQ12(43.5) |
| 1982.3649 | $1.35 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 5682.3618 | 3699.9969 | 0.0793915 | pP1(43.5) |
| Continued on next page... |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7.4 continued.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Frequency } \\ \mathrm{cm}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | Intensity cm ion $^{-1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E upper } \\ \mathrm{cm}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { E lower } \\ \mathrm{cm}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | Strength | Transition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1982.3765 | $1.32 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 5681.9772 | 3699.6007 | 0.0775659 | pP2(42.5) |
| 1982.7611 | $3.62 \mathrm{E}-25$ | 5682.3618 | 3699.6007 | 0.00002123 | pQ12(42.5) |
| 1987.7608 | $1.68 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 5520.5626 | 3532.8018 | 0.0775659 | pP 1 (42.5) |
| 1987.7723 | $1.65 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 5520.1871 | 3532.4147 | 0.0757403 | pP2(41.5) |
| 1988.1479 | $4.72 \mathrm{E}-25$ | 5520.5626 | 3532.4147 | 0.00002173 | pQ12(41.5) |
| 1993.1251 | $2.09 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 5362.5296 | 3369.4045 | 0.0757403 | pP 1 (41.5) |
| 1993.1365 | $2.04 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 5362.1631 | 3369.0265 | 0.0739147 | pP2(40.5) |
| 1993.503 | $6.14 \mathrm{E}-25$ | 5362.5296 | 3369.0265 | 0.00002226 | pQ12(40.5) |
| 1998.4575 | $2.57 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 5208.2689 | 3209.8114 | 0.0739147 | pP 1 (40.5) |
| 1998.4689 | $2.51 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 5207.9115 | 3209.4426 | 0.0720891 | pP2(39.5) |
| 1998.8263 | $7.94 \mathrm{E}-25$ | 5208.2689 | 3209.4426 | 0.00002282 | pQ12(39.5) |
| 2003.7579 | $3.14 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 5057.7868 | 3054.0288 | 0.0720891 | $\mathrm{pP} 1(39.5)$ |
| 2003.7693 | $3.06 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 5057.4384 | 3053.6691 | 0.0702635 | pP2(38.5) |
| 2004.1177 | $1.02 \mathrm{E}-24$ | 5057.7868 | 3053.6691 | 0.00002341 | pQ12(38.5) |
| 2009.0263 | $3.82 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 4911.089 | 2902.0628 | 0.0702635 | $\mathrm{pP} 1(38.5)$ |
| 2009.0375 | $3.72 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 4910.7497 | 2901.7121 | 0.0684378 | pP2(37.5) |
| 2009.3769 | $1.31 \mathrm{E}-24$ | 4911.089 | 2901.7121 | 0.00002402 | pQ12(37.5) |
| 2014.2623 | $4.62 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 4768.1814 | 2753.9191 | 0.0684378 | $\mathrm{pP1} 137.5)$ |
| 2014.2735 | $4.5 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 4767.8511 | 2753.5776 | 0.0666122 | pP2(36.5) |
| 2014.6038 | $1.67 \mathrm{E}-24$ | 4768.1814 | 2753.5776 | 0.00002467 | pQ12(36.5) |
| 2019.4659 | $5.55 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 4629.0696 | 2609.6037 | 0.0666122 | $\mathrm{pP} 1(36.5)$ |
| 2019.4771 | $5.4 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 4628.7483 | 2609.2712 | 0.0647865 | pP2(35.5) |
| 2019.7983 | $2.11 \mathrm{E}-24$ | 4629.0696 | 2609.2712 | 0.00002536 | pQ12(35.5) |
| 2024.6369 | $6.62 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 4493.7589 | 2469.122 | 0.0647865 | $\mathrm{pP} 1(35.5)$ |
| 2024.648 | $6.44 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 4493.4467 | 2468.7987 | 0.0629608 | pP2(34.5) |
| 2024.9602 | $2.67 \mathrm{E}-24$ | 4493.7589 | 2468.7987 | 0.00002608 | pQ12(34.5) |
| 2029.7752 | $7.85 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 4362.2549 | 2332.4797 | 0.0629608 | pP1(34.5) |
| 2029.7863 | $7.63 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 4361.9517 | 2332.1655 | 0.061135 | pP2(33.5) |
| 2030.0894 | $3.35 \mathrm{E}-24$ | 4362.2549 | 2332.1655 | 0.00002685 | pQ12(33.5) |
| 2034.8806 | $9.25 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 4234.5625 | 2199.6819 | 0.061135 | $\mathrm{pP} 1(33.5)$ |
| 2034.8916 | $8.98 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 4234.2684 | 2199.3768 | 0.0593093 | pP2(32.5) |
| 2035.1857 | $4.19 \mathrm{E}-24$ | 4234.5625 | 2199.3768 | 0.00002766 | pQ12(32.5) |
| 2039.9529 | $1.08 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 4110.6868 | 2070.7339 | 0.0593093 | $\mathrm{pP} 1(32.5)$ |
| 2039.9639 | $1.05 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 4110.4018 | 2070.4379 | 0.0574835 | pP2(31.5) |
| 2040.2489 | $5.21 \mathrm{E}-24$ | 4110.6868 | 2070.4379 | 0.00002853 | pQ12(31.5) |
| 2044.9921 | $1.26 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 3990.6327 | 1945.6406 | 0.0574835 | pP 1 (31.5) |
| 2045.003 | $1.22 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 3990.3568 | 1945.3538 | 0.0556577 | pP2(30.5) |
| 2045.279 | $6.46 \mathrm{E}-24$ | 3990.6327 | 1945.3538 | 0.00002945 | pQ12(30.5) |
| 2049.9979 | $1.46 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 3874.4049 | 1824.407 | 0.0556577 | pP 1 (30.5) |
| 2050.0087 | $1.41 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 3874.138 | 1824.1292 | 0.0538319 | pP2(29.5) |
| 2050.2757 | $7.97 \mathrm{E}-24$ | 3874.4049 | 1824.1292 | 0.00003043 | pQ12(29.5) |
| 2054.9702 | $1.67 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 3762.008 | 1707.0377 | 0.0538319 | pP 1 (29.5) |
| 2054.981 | $1.62 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 3761.7501 | 1706.769 | 0.052006 | pP2(28.5) |
| 2055.2389 | $9.78 \mathrm{E}-24$ | 3762.008 | 1706.769 | 0.00003148 | pQ12(28.5) |
| 2059.9089 | $1.91 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 3653.4462 | 1593.5373 | 0.052006 | $\mathrm{pP} 1(28.5)$ |
| 2059.9196 | $1.84 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 3653.1973 | 1593.2777 | 0.0501801 | pP2(27.5) |
| 2060.1685 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-23$ | 3653.4462 | 1593.2777 | 0.00003261 | pQ12(27.5) |
| 2064.8138 | $2.16 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 3548.7239 | 1483.9101 | 0.0501801 | $\mathrm{pP} 1(27.5)$ |
| 2064.8244 | $2.08 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 3548.4841 | 1483.6597 | 0.0483541 | pP2(26.5) |
| 2065.0642 | $1.46 \mathrm{E}-23$ | 3548.7239 | 1483.6597 | 0.00003381 | pQ12(26.5) |

Table 7.4 continued.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Frequency } \\ \mathrm{cm}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | Intensity cm ion $^{-1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E upper } \\ & \mathrm{cm}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E lower } \\ \mathrm{cm}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | Strength | Transition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2069.6847 | $2.43 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 3447.8452 | 1378.1605 | 0.0483541 | pP1(26.5) |
| 2069.6953 | $2.34 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 3447.6145 | 1377.9192 | 0.0465281 | pP2(25.5) |
| 2069.9261 | $1.76 \mathrm{E}-23$ | 3447.8452 | 1377.9192 | 0.00003512 | pQ12(25.5) |
| 2074.5215 | $2.71 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 3350.814 | 1276.2925 | 0.0465281 | pP 1 (25.5) |
| 2074.5321 | $2.61 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 3350.5923 | 1276.0603 | 0.044702 | pP2(24.5) |
| 2074.7538 | $2.13 \mathrm{E}-23$ | 3350.814 | 1276.0603 | 0.00003652 | pQ12(24.5) |
| 2079.3241 | $3.01 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 3257.6342 | 1178.3101 | 0.044702 | pP 1 (24.5) |
| 2079.3346 | $2.88 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 3257.4215 | 1178.087 | 0.0428759 | pP2(23.5) |
| 2079.5472 | $2.56 \mathrm{E}-23$ | 3257.6342 | 1178.087 | 0.00003804 | pQ12(23.5) |
| 2084.0923 | $3.31 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 3168.3093 | 1084.217 | 0.0428759 | $\mathrm{pP} 1(23.5)$ |
| 2084.1027 | $3.17 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 3168.1057 | 1084.003 | 0.0410497 | pP2(22.5) |
| 2084.3063 | $3.06 \mathrm{E}-23$ | 3168.3093 | 1084.003 | 0.0000397 | pQ12(22.5) |
| 2088.8259 | $3.61 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 3082.8429 | 994.017 | 0.0410497 | $\mathrm{pP} 1(22.5)$ |
| 2088.8362 | $3.45 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 3082.6483 | 993.8121 | 0.0392234 | pP2(21.5) |
| 2089.0308 | $3.66 \mathrm{E}-23$ | 3082.8429 | 993.8121 | 0.00004151 | pQ12(21.5) |
| 2093.5248 | $3.92 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 3001.2382 | 907.7134 | 0.0392234 | pP 1 (21.5) |
| 2093.5351 | $3.74 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 3001.0527 | 907.5176 | 0.0373971 | pP2(20.5) |
| 2093.7206 | $4.35 \mathrm{E}-23$ | 3001.2382 | 907.5176 | 0.00004348 | pQ12(20.5) |
| 2098.1888 | $4.22 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2923.4985 | 825.3097 | 0.0373971 | pP 1 (20.5) |
| 2098.199 | $4.01 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2923.3221 | 825.123 | 0.0355706 | pP2(19.5) |
| 2098.3755 | $5.15 \mathrm{E}-23$ | 2923.4985 | 825.123 | 0.00004566 | pQ12(19.5) |
| 2102.8178 | $4.5 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2849.6269 | 746.809 | 0.0355706 | pP 1 (19.5) |
| 2102.828 | $4.27 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2849.4595 | 746.6315 | 0.033744 | pP2(18.5) |
| 2102.9954 | $6.08 \mathrm{E}-23$ | 2849.6269 | 746.6315 | 0.00004807 | pQ12(18.5) |
| 2107.4117 | $4.76 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2779.6261 | 672.2144 | 0.033744 | pP 1 (18.5) |
| 2107.4218 | $4.51 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2779.4677 | 672.0459 | 0.0319173 | pP2(17.5) |
| 2107.5801 | $7.16 \mathrm{E}-23$ | 2779.6261 | 672.0459 | 0.00005074 | pQ12(17.5) |
| 2111.9702 | $5 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2713.499 | 601.5287 | 0.0319173 | $\mathrm{pP} 1(17.5)$ |
| 2111.9803 | $4.71 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2713.3496 | 601.3694 | 0.0300903 | pP2(16.5) |
| 2112.1296 | $8.42 \mathrm{E}-23$ | 2713.499 | 601.3694 | 0.00005373 | pQ12(16.5) |
| 2116.4933 | $5.2 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2651.248 | 534.7548 | 0.0300903 | pP 1 (16.5) |
| 2116.5033 | $4.88 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2651.1078 | 534.6045 | 0.0282632 | pP2(15.5) |
| 2116.6435 | $9.87 \mathrm{E}-23$ | 2651.248 | 534.6045 | 0.0000571 | pQ12(15.5) |
| 2120.9807 | $5.36 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2592.8757 | 471.895 | 0.0282632 | pP 1 (15.5) |
| 2120.9907 | $5.01 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2592.7445 | 471.7539 | 0.0264359 | pP2(14.5) |
| 2121.1219 | $1.15 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 2592.8757 | 471.7539 | 0.00006091 | pQ12(14.5) |
| 2125.4324 | $5.46 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2538.3844 | 412.952 | 0.0264359 | pP 1 (14.5) |
| 2125.4423 | $5.09 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2538.2622 | 412.8199 | 0.0246082 | pP2(13.5) |
| 2125.5644 | $1.35 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 2538.3844 | 412.8199 | 0.00006527 | pQ12(13.5) |
| 2129.8481 | $5.52 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2487.776 | 357.9279 | 0.0246082 | pP 1 (13.5) |
| 2129.858 | $5.11 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2487.6629 | 357.8049 | 0.0227802 | pP2(12.5) |
| 2129.9711 | $1.58 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 2487.776 | 357.8049 | 0.00007031 | pQ12(12.5) |
| 2134.2278 | $5.51 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2441.0527 | 306.8249 | 0.0227802 | pP 1 (12.5) |
| 2134.2376 | $5.07 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2440.9486 | 306.711 | 0.0209517 | pP2(11.5) |
| 2134.3417 | $1.84 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 2441.0527 | 306.711 | 0.00007619 | pQ12(11.5) |
| 2138.5713 | $5.43 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2398.2162 | 259.6449 | 0.0209517 | pP 1 (11.5) |
| 2138.581 | $4.96 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2398.1212 | 259.5402 | 0.0191225 | pP2(10.5) |
| 2138.676 | $2.16 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 2398.2162 | 259.5402 | 0.00008314 | pQ12(10.5) |
| 2142.8783 | $5.29 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2359.2682 | 216.3899 | 0.0191225 | pP 1 (10.5) |
| 2142.888 | $4.78 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2359.1823 | 216.2943 | 0.0172926 | $\mathrm{pP} 2(9.5)$ |
| Continued on next page... |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7.4 continued.

| Frequency $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | Intensity <br> cm ion $^{-1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E upper } \\ \mathrm{cm}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { E lower } \\ \mathrm{cm}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | Strength | Transition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2142.974 | $2.53 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 2359.2682 | 216.2943 | 0.0000915 | pQ12(9.5) |
| 2147.1489 | $5.07 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2324.2102 | 177.0614 | 0.0172926 | $\mathrm{pP1} 19.5)$ |
| 2147.1585 | $4.53 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2324.1333 | 176.9749 | 0.0154616 | $\mathrm{pP} 2(8.5)$ |
| 2147.2354 | $2.98 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 2324.2102 | 176.9749 | 0.0001017 | pQ12(8.5) |
| 2151.3827 | $4.78 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2293.0437 | 141.6609 | 0.0154616 | $\mathrm{pP1} 18.5$ ) |
| 2151.3923 | $4.21 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2292.9758 | 141.5835 | 0.0136292 | $\mathrm{pP} 2(7.5)$ |
| 2151.4601 | $3.54 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 2293.0437 | 141.5835 | 0.0001145 | pQ12(7.5) |
| 2155.5798 | $4.42 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2265.7697 | 110.1899 | 0.0136292 | $\mathrm{pP1} 17.5)$ |
| 2155.5892 | $3.82 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2265.7109 | 110.1216 | 0.0117945 | $\mathrm{pP} 2(6.5)$ |
| 2155.6481 | $4.25 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 2265.7697 | 110.1216 | 0.000131 | pQ12(6.5) |
| 2159.7398 | $3.98 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2242.3894 | 82.6496 | 0.0117945 | $\mathrm{pP1} 16.5)$ |
| 2159.7492 | $3.36 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2242.3396 | 82.5904 | 0.0099564 | pP2(5.5) |
| 2159.799 | $5.18 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 2242.3894 | 82.5904 | 0.0001532 | pQ12(5.5) |
| 2163.8627 | $3.49 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2222.9036 | 59.0409 | 0.0099564 | pP 1 (5.5) |
| 2163.872 | $2.84 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2222.8629 | 58.9909 | 0.0081126 | $\mathrm{pP} 2(4.5)$ |
| 2163.9128 | $6.46 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 2222.9036 | 58.9909 | 0.0001844 | pQ12(4.5) |
| 2167.9483 | $2.93 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2207.3132 | 39.3649 | 0.0081126 | $\mathrm{pP1} 14.5)$ |
| 2167.9576 | $2.26 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2207.2815 | 39.324 | 0.0062583 | $\mathrm{pP} 2(3.5)$ |
| 2167.9893 | 8.37E-22 | 2207.3132 | 39.324 | 0.0002318 | pQ12(3.5) |
| 2171.9965 | $2.31 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2195.6187 | 23.6223 | 0.0062583 | $\mathrm{pP1} 13.5)$ |
| 2172.0057 | $1.62 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2195.5961 | 23.5904 | 0.0043808 | $\mathrm{pP} 2(2.5)$ |
| 2172.0283 | $1.16 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 2195.6187 | 23.5904 | 0.0003129 | pQ12(2.5) |
| 2176.007 | $1.65 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2187.8207 | 11.8137 | 0.0043808 | $\mathrm{pP1}$ (2.5) |
| 2176.0162 | $9.17 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 2187.8071 | 11.7909 | 0.0024338 | $\mathrm{pP} 2(1.5)$ |
| 2176.0298 | $1.83 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 2187.8207 | 11.7909 | 0.0004868 | pQ12(1.5) |
| 2179.9798 | $9.29 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 2183.9193 | 3.9395 | 0.0024338 | $\mathrm{pP1} 1.5)$ |
| 2179.9935 | $4.65 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 2183.9193 | 3.9258 | 0.0012169 | pQ12(0.5) |
| 2187.8071 | $4.69 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 2187.8071 | 0 | 0.0012169 | rQ21(0.5) |
| 2187.8207 | $9.38 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 2187.8207 | 0 | 0.0024338 | rR1(0.5) |
| 2191.6567 | $1.87 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 2195.5961 | 3.9395 | 0.0004868 | rQ21(1.5) |
| 2191.6703 | $9.34 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 2195.5961 | 3.9258 | 0.0024338 | rR2(0.5) |
| 2191.6793 | $1.68 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2195.6187 | 3.9395 | 0.0043808 | rR1(1.5) |
| 2195.4679 | $1.19 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 2207.2815 | 11.8137 | 0.0003129 | rQ21(2.5) |
| 2195.4907 | $1.67 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2207.2815 | 11.7909 | 0.0043808 | rR2(1.5) |
| 2195.4996 | $2.38 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2207.3132 | 11.8137 | 0.0062583 | rR1(2.5) |
| 2199.2406 | 8.68E-22 | 2222.8629 | 23.6223 | 0.0002318 | rQ21(3.5) |
| 2199.2725 | $2.34 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2222.8629 | 23.5904 | 0.0062583 | rR2(2.5) |
| 2199.2813 | $3.04 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2222.9036 | 23.6223 | 0.0081126 | rR1(3.5) |
| 2202.9747 | $6.76 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 2242.3396 | 39.3649 | 0.0001844 | rQ21(4.5) |
| 2203.0157 | $2.98 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2242.3396 | 39.324 | 0.0081126 | rR2(3.5) |
| 2203.0244 | $3.65 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2242.3894 | 39.3649 | 0.0099564 | rR1(4.5) |
| 2206.6699 | $5.47 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 2265.7109 | 59.0409 | 0.0001532 | rQ21(5.5) |
| 2206.72 | $3.56 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2265.7109 | 58.9909 | 0.0099564 | rR2(4.5) |
| 2206.7287 | $4.21 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2265.7697 | 59.0409 | 0.0117945 | rR1(5.5) |
| 2210.3262 | $4.53 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 2292.9758 | 82.6496 | 0.000131 | rQ21(6.5) |
| 2210.3854 | $4.08 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2292.9758 | 82.5904 | 0.0117945 | rR2(5.5) |
| 2210.3941 | $4.71 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2293.0437 | 82.6496 | 0.0136292 | rR1(6.5) |
| 2213.9434 | $3.81 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 2324.1333 | 110.1899 | 0.0001145 | rQ21(7.5) |
| 2214.0117 | $4.54 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2324.1333 | 110.1216 | 0.0136292 | rR2(6.5) |
| 2214.0203 | $5.15 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2324.2102 | 110.1899 | 0.0154616 | rR1(7.5) |

Table 7.4 continued.

| Frequency $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | Intensity <br> cm ion $^{-1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E upper } \\ \mathrm{cm}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { E lower } \\ \mathrm{cm}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | Strength | Transition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2217.5213 | $3.24 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 2359.1823 | 141.6609 | 0.0001017 | rQ21(8.5) |
| 2217.5987 | $4.93 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2359.1823 | 141.5835 | 0.0154616 | rR2(7.5) |
| 2217.6073 | $5.51 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2359.2682 | 141.6609 | 0.0172926 | rR1(8.5) |
| 2221.0598 | $2.77 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 2398.1212 | 177.0614 | 0.0000915 | rQ21(9.5) |
| 2221.1463 | $5.25 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2398.1212 | 176.9749 | 0.0172926 | rR2(8.5) |
| 2221.1548 | $5.8 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2398.2162 | 177.0614 | 0.0191225 | rR1(9.5) |
| 2224.5588 | $2.39 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 2440.9486 | 216.3899 | 0.00008314 | rQ21(10.5) |
| 2224.6544 | $5.49 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2440.9486 | 216.2943 | 0.0191225 | rR2(9.5) |
| 2224.6628 | $6.01 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2441.0527 | 216.3899 | 0.0209517 | rR1(10.5) |
| 2228.018 | $2.06 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 2487.6629 | 259.6449 | 0.00007619 | rQ21(11.5) |
| 2228.1227 | $5.66 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2487.6629 | 259.5402 | 0.0209517 | rR2(10.5) |
| 2228.1311 | $6.15 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2487.776 | 259.6449 | 0.0227802 | rR1(11.5) |
| 2231.4373 | $1.78 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 2538.2622 | 306.8249 | 0.00007031 | rQ21(12.5) |
| 2231.5512 | $5.76 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2538.2622 | 306.711 | 0.0227802 | rR2(11.5) |
| 2231.5595 | $6.22 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2538.3844 | 306.8249 | 0.0246082 | rR1(12.5) |
| 2234.8166 | $1.54 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 2592.7445 | 357.9279 | 0.00006527 | rQ21(13.5) |
| 2234.9396 | $5.79 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2592.7445 | 357.8049 | 0.0246082 | rR2(12.5) |
| 2234.9479 | $6.22 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2592.8757 | 357.9279 | 0.0264359 | rR1(13.5) |
| 2238.1558 | $1.33 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 2651.1078 | 412.952 | 0.00006091 | rQ21(14.5) |
| 2238.2879 | $5.76 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2651.1078 | 412.8199 | 0.0264359 | rR2(13.5) |
| 2238.2961 | $6.15 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2651.248 | 412.952 | 0.0282632 | rR1(14.5) |
| 2241.4546 | $1.14 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 2713.3496 | 471.895 | 0.0000571 | rQ21(15.5) |
| 2241.5958 | $5.66 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2713.3496 | 471.7539 | 0.0282632 | rR2(14.5) |
| 2241.6039 | $6.03 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2713.499 | 471.895 | 0.0300903 | rR1(15.5) |
| 2244.713 | $9.84 \mathrm{E}-23$ | 2779.4677 | 534.7548 | 0.00005373 | rQ21(16.5) |
| 2244.8632 | $5.51 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2779.4677 | 534.6045 | 0.0300903 | rR2(15.5) |
| 2244.8713 | $5.85 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2779.6261 | 534.7548 | 0.0319173 | rR1(16.5) |
| 2247.9307 | $8.46 \mathrm{E}-23$ | 2849.4595 | 601.5287 | 0.00005074 | rQ21(17.5) |
| 2248.0901 | $5.32 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2849.4595 | 601.3694 | 0.0319173 | rR2(16.5) |
| 2248.0981 | $5.62 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2849.6269 | 601.5287 | 0.033744 | rR1(17.5) |
| 2251.1076 | $7.25 \mathrm{E}-23$ | 2923.3221 | 672.2144 | 0.00004807 | rQ21(18.5) |
| 2251.2761 | $5.09 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2923.3221 | 672.0459 | 0.033744 | rR2(17.5) |
| 2251.2841 | $5.36 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2923.4985 | 672.2144 | 0.0355706 | rR1(18.5) |
| 2254.2436 | $6.19 \mathrm{E}-23$ | 3001.0527 | 746.809 | 0.00004566 | rQ21(19.5) |
| 2254.4212 | $4.83 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 3001.0527 | 746.6315 | 0.0355706 | rR2(18.5) |
| 2254.4292 | $5.07 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 3001.2382 | 746.809 | 0.0373971 | rR1(19.5) |
| 2257.3386 | $5.27 \mathrm{E}-23$ | 3082.6483 | 825.3097 | 0.00004348 | rQ21(20.5) |
| 2257.5253 | $4.54 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 3082.6483 | 825.123 | 0.0373971 | rR2(19.5) |
| 2257.5331 | $4.76 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 3082.8429 | 825.3097 | 0.0392234 | rR1(20.5) |
| 2260.3923 | $4.48 \mathrm{E}-23$ | 3168.1057 | 907.7134 | 0.00004151 | rQ21(21.5) |
| 2260.5881 | $4.23 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 3168.1057 | 907.5176 | 0.0392234 | rR2(20.5) |
| 2260.5959 | $4.43 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 3168.3093 | 907.7134 | 0.0410497 | rR1(21.5) |
| 2263.4046 | $3.79 \mathrm{E}-23$ | 3257.4215 | 994.017 | 0.0000397 | rQ21(22.5) |
| 2263.6095 | $3.92 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 3257.4215 | 993.8121 | 0.0410497 | rR2(21.5) |
| 2263.6172 | $4.09 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 3257.6342 | 994.017 | 0.0428759 | rR1(22.5) |
| 2266.3753 | $3.19 \mathrm{E}-23$ | 3350.5923 | 1084.217 | 0.00003804 | rQ21(23.5) |
| 2266.5893 | $3.6 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 3350.5923 | 1084.003 | 0.0428759 | rR2(22.5) |
| 2266.597 | $3.75 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 3350.814 | 1084.217 | 0.044702 | rR1(23.5) |
| 2269.3044 | $2.68 \mathrm{E}-23$ | 3447.6145 | 1178.3101 | 0.00003652 | rQ21(24.5) |
| 2269.5275 | $3.28 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 3447.6145 | 1178.087 | 0.044702 | rR2(23.5) |

Table 7.4 continued.

| Frequency $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | Intensity <br> cm ion $^{-1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E upper } \\ & \mathrm{cm}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ | E lower | Strength | Transition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2269.5351 | $3.41 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 3447.8452 | 1178.3101 | 0.0465281 | rR1(24.5) |
| 2272.1916 | $2.24 \mathrm{E}-23$ | 3548.4841 | 1276.2925 | 0.00003512 | rQ21(25.5) |
| 2272.4238 | $2.97 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 3548.4841 | 1276.0603 | 0.0465281 | rR2(24.5) |
| 2272.4314 | $3.09 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 3548.7239 | 1276.2925 | 0.0483541 | rR1(25.5) |
| 2275.0368 | $1.87 \mathrm{E}-23$ | 3653.1973 | 1378.1605 | 0.00003381 | rQ21(26.5) |
| 2275.2782 | $2.67 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 3653.1973 | 1377.9192 | 0.0483541 | rR2(25.5) |
| 2275.2857 | $2.77 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 3653.4462 | 1378.1605 | 0.0501801 | rR1(26.5) |
| 2277.8399 | $1.55 \mathrm{E}-23$ | 3761.7501 | 1483.9101 | 0.00003261 | rQ21(27.5) |
| 2278.0904 | $2.38 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 3761.7501 | 1483.6597 | 0.0501801 | rR2(26.5) |
| 2278.0978 | $2.47 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 3762.008 | 1483.9101 | 0.052006 | rR1(27.5) |
| 2280.6007 | $1.28 \mathrm{E}-23$ | 3874.138 | 1593.5373 | 0.00003148 | rQ21(28.5) |
| 2280.8603 | $2.11 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 3874.138 | 1593.2777 | 0.052006 | rR2(27.5) |
| 2280.8677 | $2.18 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 3874.4049 | 1593.5373 | 0.0538319 | rR1(28.5) |
| 2283.319 | $1.05 \mathrm{E}-23$ | 3990.3568 | 1707.0377 | 0.00003043 | rQ21(29.5) |
| 2283.5877 | $1.86 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 3990.3568 | 1706.769 | 0.0538319 | rR2(28.5) |
| 2283.595 | $1.92 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 3990.6327 | 1707.0377 | 0.0556577 | rR1(29.5) |
| 2285.9948 | $8.59 \mathrm{E}-24$ | 4110.4018 | 1824.407 | 0.00002945 | rQ21(30.5) |
| 2286.2725 | $1.62 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 4110.4018 | 1824.1292 | 0.0556577 | rR2(29.5) |
| 2286.2798 | $1.68 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 4110.6868 | 1824.407 | 0.0574835 | rR1(30.5) |
| 2288.6278 | $7 \mathrm{E}-24$ | 4234.2684 | 1945.6406 | 0.00002853 | rQ21(31.5) |
| 2288.9146 | $1.41 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 4234.2684 | 1945.3538 | 0.0574835 | rR2(30.5) |
| 2288.9219 | $1.46 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 4234.5625 | 1945.6406 | 0.0593093 | rR1(31.5) |
| 2291.2178 | $5.68 \mathrm{E}-24$ | 4361.9517 | 2070.7339 | 0.00002766 | rQ21(32.5) |
| 2291.5138 | $1.22 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 4361.9517 | 2070.4379 | 0.0593093 | rR2(31.5) |
| 2291.521 | $1.25 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 4362.2549 | 2070.7339 | 0.061135 | rR1(32.5) |
| 2293.7649 | $4.58 \mathrm{E}-24$ | 4493.4467 | 2199.6819 | 0.00002685 | rQ21(33.5) |
| 2294.0699 | $1.04 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 4493.4467 | 2199.3768 | 0.061135 | rR2(32.5) |
| 2294.077 | $1.07 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 4493.7589 | 2199.6819 | 0.0629608 | rR1(33.5) |
| 2296.2687 | $3.68 \mathrm{E}-24$ | 4628.7483 | 2332.4797 | 0.00002608 | rQ21(34.5) |
| 2296.5828 | 8.89E-21 | 4628.7483 | 2332.1655 | 0.0629608 | rR2(33.5) |
| 2296.5899 | $9.14 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 4629.0696 | 2332.4797 | 0.0647865 | rR1(34.5) |
| 2298.7291 | $2.94 \mathrm{E}-24$ | 4767.8511 | 2469.122 | 0.00002536 | rQ21(35.5) |
| 2299.0524 | $7.52 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 4767.8511 | 2468.7987 | 0.0647865 | rR2(34.5) |
| 2299.0594 | 7.73E-21 | 4768.1814 | 2469.122 | 0.0666122 | rR1(35.5) |
| 2301.146 | $2.34 \mathrm{E}-24$ | 4910.7497 | 2609.6037 | 0.00002467 | rQ21(36.5) |
| 2301.4784 | $6.33 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 4910.7497 | 2609.2712 | 0.0666122 | rR2(35.5) |
| 2301.4854 | $6.5 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 4911.089 | 2609.6037 | 0.0684378 | rR1(36.5) |
| 2303.5193 | $1.85 \mathrm{E}-24$ | 5057.4384 | 2753.9191 | 0.00002402 | rQ21(37.5) |
| 2303.8608 | $5.29 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 5057.4384 | 2753.5776 | 0.0684378 | rR2(36.5) |
| 2303.8677 | $5.42 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 5057.7868 | 2753.9191 | 0.0702635 | rR1(37.5) |
| 2305.8488 | $1.46 \mathrm{E}-24$ | 5207.9115 | 2902.0628 | 0.00002341 | rQ21(38.5) |
| 2306.1994 | $4.39 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 5207.9115 | 2901.7121 | 0.0702635 | rR2(37.5) |
| 2306.2062 | $4.5 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 5208.2689 | 2902.0628 | 0.0720891 | rR1(38.5) |
| 2308.1342 | $1.15 \mathrm{E}-24$ | 5362.1631 | 3054.0288 | 0.00002282 | rQ21(39.5) |
| 2308.494 | $3.62 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 5362.1631 | 3053.6691 | 0.0720891 | rR2(38.5) |
| 2308.5007 | $3.71 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 5362.5296 | 3054.0288 | 0.0739147 | rR1(39.5) |
| 2310.3756 | $8.94 \mathrm{E}-25$ | 5520.1871 | 3209.8114 | 0.00002226 | rQ21(40.5) |
| 2310.7444 | 2.97E-21 | 5520.1871 | 3209.4426 | 0.0739147 | rR2(39.5) |
| 2310.7511 | $3.04 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 5520.5626 | 3209.8114 | 0.0757403 | rR1(40.5) |
| 2312.5727 | $6.95 \mathrm{E}-25$ | 5681.9772 | 3369.4045 | 0.00002173 | rQ21(41.5) |

Continued on next page...

Table 7.4 continued.

| Frequency <br> $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | Intensity <br> cm ion $^{-1}$ | E upper <br> $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | E lower <br> $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | Strength | Transition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2312.9506 | $2.42 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 5681.9772 | 3369.0265 | 0.0757403 | rR2(40.5) |
| 2312.9573 | $2.48 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 5682.3618 | 3369.4045 | 0.0775659 | rR1(41.5) |
| 2314.7254 | $5.37 \mathrm{E}-25$ | 5847.5271 | 3532.8018 | 0.00002123 | rQ21(42.5) |
| 2315.1124 | $1.96 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 5847.5271 | 3532.4147 | 0.0775659 | rR2(41.5) |
| 2315.119 | $2.01 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 5847.9208 | 3532.8018 | 0.0793915 | rR1(42.5) |
| 2316.8335 | $4.13 \mathrm{E}-25$ | 6016.8303 | 3699.9969 | 0.00002075 | rQ21(43.5) |
| 2317.2296 | $1.58 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 6016.8303 | 3699.6007 | 0.0793915 | rR2(42.5) |
| 2317.2361 | $1.62 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 6017.233 | 3699.9969 | 0.0812171 | rR1(43.5) |
| 2318.8968 | $3.16 \mathrm{E}-25$ | 6189.8801 | 3870.9833 | 0.00002028 | rQ21(44.5) |
| 2319.3021 | $1.27 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 6189.8801 | 3870.578 | 0.0812171 | rR2(43.5) |
| 2319.3086 | $1.29 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 6190.2919 | 3870.9833 | 0.0830426 | rR1(44.5) |
| 2320.9153 | $2.41 \mathrm{E}-25$ | 6366.6697 | 4045.7544 | 0.00001984 | rQ21(45.5) |
| 2321.3297 | $1.01 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 6366.6697 | 4045.34 | 0.0830426 | rR2(44.5) |
| 2321.3361 | $1.03 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 6367.0905 | 4045.7544 | 0.0848682 | rR1(45.5) |
| 2322.8888 | $1.82 \mathrm{E}-25$ | 6547.192 | 4224.3033 | 0.00001942 | rQ21(46.5) |
| 2323.3123 | $7.97 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 6547.192 | 4223.8798 | 0.0848682 | rR2(45.5) |
| 2323.3186 | $8.14 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 6547.6219 | 4224.3033 | 0.0866937 | rR1(46.5) |
| 2324.8171 | $1.37 \mathrm{E}-25$ | 6731.44 | 4406.623 | 0.00001902 | rQ21(47.5) |
| 2325.2496 | $6.27 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 6731.44 | 4406.1904 | 0.0866937 | rR2(46.5) |
| 2325.2559 | $6.4 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 6731.8789 | 4406.623 | 0.0885193 | rR1(47.5) |
| 2326.7 | $1.03 \mathrm{E}-25$ | 6919.4064 | 4592.7064 | 0.00001863 | rQ21(48.5) |
| 2327.1417 | $4.9 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 6919.4064 | 4592.2647 | 0.0885193 | rR2(47.5) |
| 2327.1479 | $5 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 6919.8543 | 4592.7064 | 0.0903448 | rR1(48.5) |
| 2328.5374 | $7.69 \mathrm{E}-26$ | 7111.0836 | 4782.5462 | 0.00001826 | rQ21(49.5) |
| 2328.9882 | $3.81 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 7111.0836 | 4782.0954 | 0.0903448 | rR2(48.5) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 7.3.3 Indirect Terahertz Spectroscopy of $\mathbf{H C O}^{+}$

In the initial demonstration of velocity modulation spectroscopy, Gudeman measured the $\nu_{1}$ band origin to be $3088.727 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, [20] however, only R-branch transitions were measured. Later, Amano confirmed the assignments of the $J$ values made by Gudeman and determined that the band origin was $0.012 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ higher. Since then, $\mathrm{HCO}^{+}$has been studied by numerous investigators. [185, 188-196]

For our purposes, the $J=0 \rightarrow 1$ transition was originally measured to be near 89.188545 GHz . [23]. More accurate measurements of the rotational constant yield the $J=0 \rightarrow 1$ transition to be 89.188542 GHz. [195]. The infrared transition strength of $\mathrm{HCO}^{+}$was calculated to be between 0.168 Debye [197] and 0.215 Debye. [198]

From the rovibrational work which has been performed previously at 30 MHz accuracy, [192] the band origin was measured to be $3088.73951 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The rotational constants used for this analysis kept the ground state constants fixed to the microwave values of $B_{0}=1.487509736, D_{0}=2.74823 \times 10^{-6}$. [199] and the upper state constants were allowed to float, and were determined to be $B_{1}=1.475699$ and $D_{1}=2.68 \times 10^{-6}$. [192]

Based on the rovibrational transitions previously measured, a simulated spectrum is shown in Figure 7.9, and the fraction of band is shown as a function of rotational temperature between 30 and 1000 K in Figure 7.10. A list of the transition frequencies is shown in Table 7.5.

(a) $\mathrm{CO}^{+}$at 30 K (red), 600 K (blue) and 1000 K (black).

(b) $\mathrm{CO}^{+}$at 600 K (blue).

Figure 7.9: Spectra of $\mathrm{HCO}^{+}$.


Figure 7.10: Fraction of band for $\mathrm{HCO}^{+}$as a function of rotational temperature.

Table 7.5: Rovibrational spectrum of $\mathrm{HCO}^{+}$calculated with PGopher.

| Frequency <br> $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | Intensity <br> cm ion | E upper <br> $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | E lower <br> $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | Strength | Transition |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2912.8359 | $7.13 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 6688.1154 | 3775.2795 | 0.4704 | $\mathrm{P}(50)$ |
| 2916.8555 | $9.96 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 6544.7581 | 3627.9026 | 0.460992 | $\mathrm{P}(49)$ |
| 2920.8565 | $1.38 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 6404.2765 | 3483.42 | 0.451584 | $\mathrm{P}(48)$ |
| 2924.839 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 6266.6738 | 3341.8347 | 0.442176 | $\mathrm{P}(47)$ |
| 2928.8028 | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 6131.9529 | 3203.1502 | 0.432768 | $\mathrm{P}(46)$ |
| 2932.7476 | $3.53 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 6000.1169 | 3067.3693 | 0.42336 | $\mathrm{P}(45)$ |
| 2936.6734 | $4.75 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 5871.1685 | 2934.4951 | 0.413952 | $\mathrm{P}(44)$ |
| 2940.58 | $6.35 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 5745.1107 | 2804.5307 | 0.404544 | $\mathrm{P}(43)$ |
| 2944.4674 | $8.42 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 5621.9462 | 2677.4789 | 0.395136 | $\mathrm{P}(42)$ |
| 2948.3353 | $1.11 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 5501.6777 | 2553.3425 | 0.385728 | $\mathrm{P}(41)$ |
| 2952.1836 | $1.45 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 5384.3079 | 2432.1243 | 0.37632 | $\mathrm{P}(40)$ |
| 2956.0122 | $1.88 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 5269.8393 | 2313.8271 | 0.366912 | $\mathrm{P}(39)$ |
| 2959.821 | $2.41 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 5158.2744 | 2198.4534 | 0.357504 | $\mathrm{P}(38)$ |
| 2963.6098 | $3.08 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 5049.6157 | 2086.0059 | 0.348096 | $\mathrm{P}(37)$ |
| Continued on next page... |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7.5 continued.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Frequency } \\ \mathrm{cm}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | Intensity cm ion $^{-1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E upper } \\ \mathrm{cm}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { E lower } \\ \mathrm{cm}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | Strength | Transition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2967.3785 | $3.9 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 4943.8655 | 1976.487 | 0.338688 | $\mathrm{P}(36)$ |
| 2971.127 | $4.91 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 4841.0262 | 1869.8992 | 0.32928 | $\mathrm{P}(35)$ |
| 2974.8552 | $6.12 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 4741.1 | 1766.2448 | 0.319872 | $\mathrm{P}(34)$ |
| 2978.5629 | $7.57 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 4644.0891 | 1665.5262 | 0.310464 | $\mathrm{P}(33)$ |
| 2982.25 | $9.3 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 4549.9956 | 1567.7456 | 0.301056 | $\mathrm{P}(32)$ |
| 2985.9164 | $1.13 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 4458.8216 | 1472.9052 | 0.291648 | $\mathrm{P}(31)$ |
| 2989.562 | $1.37 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 4370.5691 | 1381.0071 | 0.28224 | $\mathrm{P}(30)$ |
| 2993.1867 | $1.64 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 4285.2401 | 1292.0533 | 0.272832 | $\mathrm{P}(29)$ |
| 2996.7904 | $1.95 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 4202.8362 | 1206.0459 | 0.263424 | $\mathrm{P}(28)$ |
| 3000.3728 | $2.29 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 4123.3595 | 1122.9866 | 0.254016 | $\mathrm{P}(27)$ |
| 3003.9341 | $2.68 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 4046.8116 | 1042.8775 | 0.244608 | $\mathrm{P}(26)$ |
| 3007.4739 | $3.1 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 3973.1941 | 965.7202 | 0.2352 | $\mathrm{P}(25)$ |
| 3010.9923 | $3.56 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 3902.5088 | 891.5165 | 0.225792 | $\mathrm{P}(24)$ |
| 3014.489 | $4.06 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 3834.757 | 820.268 | 0.216384 | $\mathrm{P}(23)$ |
| 3017.9641 | $4.57 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 3769.9404 | 751.9763 | 0.206976 | $\mathrm{P}(22)$ |
| 3021.4174 | $5.11 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 3708.0603 | 686.6429 | 0.197568 | $\mathrm{P}(21)$ |
| 3024.8488 | $5.66 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 3649.1181 | 624.2693 | 0.18816 | $\mathrm{P}(20)$ |
| 3028.2582 | $6.21 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 3593.1151 | 564.8569 | 0.178752 | $\mathrm{P}(19)$ |
| 3031.6456 | $6.74 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 3540.0525 | 508.4069 | 0.169344 | $\mathrm{P}(18)$ |
| 3035.0107 | $7.25 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 3489.9314 | 454.9206 | 0.159936 | $\mathrm{P}(17)$ |
| 3038.3536 | $7.71 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 3442.7529 | 404.3993 | 0.150528 | $\mathrm{P}(16)$ |
| 3041.6741 | $8.11 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 3398.5181 | 356.844 | 0.14112 | $\mathrm{P}(15)$ |
| 3044.9721 | $8.43 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 3357.228 | 312.2558 | 0.131712 | $\mathrm{P}(14)$ |
| 3048.2476 | $8.66 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 3318.8833 | 270.6357 | 0.122304 | $\mathrm{P}(13)$ |
| 3051.5004 | $8.78 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 3283.4851 | 231.9846 | 0.112896 | $\mathrm{P}(12)$ |
| 3054.7306 | $8.77 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 3251.034 | 196.3034 | 0.103488 | $\mathrm{P}(11)$ |
| 3057.9379 | $8.64 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 3221.5307 | 163.5928 | 0.09408 | $\mathrm{P}(10)$ |
| 3061.1223 | $8.36 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 3194.9759 | 133.8536 | 0.084672 | $\mathrm{P}(9)$ |
| 3064.2838 | $7.93 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 3171.3702 | 107.0865 | 0.075264 | $\mathrm{P}(8)$ |
| 3067.4222 | $7.35 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 3150.7141 | 83.2919 | 0.065856 | $\mathrm{P}(7)$ |
| 3070.5375 | $6.63 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 3133.0081 | 62.4706 | 0.056448 | $\mathrm{P}(6)$ |
| 3073.6296 | $5.77 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 3118.2524 | 44.6228 | 0.04704 | $\mathrm{P}(5)$ |
| 3076.6984 | $4.79 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 3106.4475 | 29.7491 | 0.037632 | $\mathrm{P}(4)$ |
| 3079.7439 | $3.7 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 3097.5936 | 17.8497 | 0.028224 | $\mathrm{P}(3)$ |
| 3082.7659 | $2.52 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 3091.6909 | 8.925 | 0.018816 | $\mathrm{P}(2)$ |
| 3085.7645 | $1.28 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 3088.7395 | 2.975 | 0.009408 | $\mathrm{P}(1)$ |
| 3091.6909 | $1.29 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 3091.6909 | 0 | 0.009408 | $\mathrm{R}(0)$ |
| 3094.6186 | $2.57 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 3097.5936 | 2.975 | 0.018816 | R(1) |
| 3097.5226 | $3.8 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 3106.4475 | 8.925 | 0.028224 | R(2) |
| 3100.4027 | $4.97 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 3118.2524 | 17.8497 | 0.037632 | $\mathrm{R}(3)$ |
| 3103.259 | $6.04 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 3133.0081 | 29.7491 | 0.04704 | $\mathrm{R}(4)$ |
| 3106.0913 | 7E-19 | 3150.7141 | 44.6228 | 0.056448 | $\mathrm{R}(5)$ |
| 3108.8997 | $7.83 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 3171.3702 | 62.4706 | 0.065856 | R (6) |
| 3111.684 | $8.52 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 3194.9759 | 83.2919 | 0.075264 | $\mathrm{R}(7)$ |
| 3114.4443 | $9.07 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 3221.5307 | 107.0865 | 0.084672 | $\mathrm{R}(8)$ |
| 3117.1804 | $9.45 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 3251.034 | 133.8536 | 0.09408 | $\mathrm{R}(9)$ |
| 3119.8923 | $9.69 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 3283.4851 | 163.5928 | 0.103488 | R (10) |
| 3122.5799 | $9.78 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 3318.8833 | 196.3034 | 0.112896 | R (11) |
| 3125.2433 | $9.74 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 3357.228 | 231.9846 | 0.122304 | R (12) |
| 3127.8824 | $9.57 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 3398.5181 | 270.6357 | 0.131712 | $\mathrm{R}(13)$ |
| Continued on next page... |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7.5 continued.

| Frequency <br> cm $^{-1}$ | Intensity <br> cm ion | E upper <br> $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | E lower <br> $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | Strength | Transition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3130.4971 | $9.29 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 3442.7529 | 312.2558 | 0.14112 | $\mathrm{R}(14)$ |
| 3133.0873 | $8.91 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 3489.9314 | 356.844 | 0.150528 | $\mathrm{R}(15)$ |
| 3135.6531 | $8.45 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 3540.0525 | 404.3993 | 0.159936 | $\mathrm{R}(16)$ |
| 3138.1945 | $7.93 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 3593.1151 | 454.9206 | 0.169344 | $\mathrm{R}(17)$ |
| 3140.7113 | $7.37 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 3649.1181 | 508.4069 | 0.178752 | $\mathrm{R}(18)$ |
| 3143.2035 | $6.78 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 3708.0603 | 564.8569 | 0.18816 | $\mathrm{R}(19)$ |
| 3145.6711 | $6.18 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 3769.9404 | 624.2693 | 0.197568 | $\mathrm{R}(20)$ |
| 3148.1141 | $5.58 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 3834.757 | 686.6429 | 0.206976 | $\mathrm{R}(21)$ |
| 3150.5325 | $4.99 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 3902.5088 | 751.9763 | 0.216384 | $\mathrm{R}(22)$ |
| 3152.9261 | $4.43 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 3973.1941 | 820.268 | 0.225792 | $\mathrm{R}(23)$ |
| 3155.2951 | $3.89 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 4046.8116 | 891.5165 | 0.2352 | $\mathrm{R}(24)$ |
| 3157.6393 | $3.39 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 4123.3595 | 965.7202 | 0.244608 | $\mathrm{R}(25)$ |
| 3159.9587 | $2.93 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 4202.8362 | 1042.8775 | 0.254016 | $\mathrm{R}(26)$ |
| 3162.2534 | $2.51 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 4285.2401 | 1122.9866 | 0.263424 | $\mathrm{R}(27)$ |
| 3164.5233 | $2.13 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 4370.5691 | 1206.0459 | 0.272832 | $\mathrm{R}(28)$ |
| 3166.7683 | $1.79 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 4458.8216 | 1292.0533 | 0.28224 | $\mathrm{R}(29)$ |
| 3168.9885 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 4549.9956 | 1381.0071 | 0.291648 | $\mathrm{R}(30)$ |
| 3171.1839 | $1.24 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 4644.0891 | 1472.9052 | 0.301056 | $\mathrm{R}(31)$ |
| 3173.3543 | $1.02 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 4741.1 | 1567.7456 | 0.310464 | $\mathrm{R}(32)$ |
| 3175.5 | $8.32 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 4841.0262 | 1665.5262 | 0.319872 | $\mathrm{R}(33)$ |
| 3177.6207 | $6.73 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 4943.8655 | 1766.2448 | 0.32928 | $\mathrm{R}(34)$ |
| 3179.7165 | $5.4 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 5049.6157 | 1869.8992 | 0.338688 | $\mathrm{R}(35)$ |
| 3181.7874 | $4.3 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 5158.2744 | 1976.487 | 0.348096 | $\mathrm{R}(36)$ |
| 3183.8334 | $3.4 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 5269.8393 | 2086.0059 | 0.357504 | $\mathrm{R}(37)$ |
| 3185.8545 | $2.67 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 5384.3079 | 2198.4534 | 0.366912 | $\mathrm{R}(38)$ |
| 3187.8506 | $2.08 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 5501.6777 | 2313.8271 | 0.37632 | $\mathrm{R}(39)$ |
| 3189.8219 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 5621.9462 | 2432.1243 | 0.385728 | $\mathrm{R}(40)$ |
| 3191.7682 | $1.23 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 5745.1107 | 2553.3425 | 0.395136 | $\mathrm{R}(41)$ |
| 3193.6897 | $9.35 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 5871.1685 | 2677.4789 | 0.404544 | $\mathrm{R}(42)$ |
| 3195.5862 | $7.06 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 6000.1169 | 2804.5307 | 0.413952 | $\mathrm{R}(43)$ |
| 3197.4578 | $5.29 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 6131.9529 | 2934.4951 | 0.42336 | $\mathrm{R}(44)$ |
| 3199.3045 | $3.93 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 6266.6738 | 3067.3693 | 0.432768 | $\mathrm{R}(45)$ |
| 3201.1263 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 6404.2765 | 3203.1502 | 0.442176 | $\mathrm{R}(46)$ |
| 3202.9233 | $2.13 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 6544.7581 | 3341.8347 | 0.451584 | $\mathrm{R}(47)$ |
| 3204.6954 | $1.55 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 6688.1154 | 3483.42 | 0.460992 | $\mathrm{R}(48)$ |
| 3206.4427 | $1.12 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 6834.3453 | 3627.9026 | 0.4704 | $\mathrm{R}(49)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 7.3.4 Indirect Terahertz Spectroscopy of $\mathbf{H C N H}^{+}$andHCS $^{+}$

Similar simulations and analysis can be performed for $\mathrm{HOC}^{+},[197,198,200,201] \mathrm{HCNH}^{+},[188]$ and $\mathrm{HCS}^{+}$ [202].

### 7.4 Infrared Spectrum of $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3}^{+1}$

After the mid-infrared linewidth and sensitivity has been fully characterized, supersonic cooling should be implemented. Supersonic cooling will involve using a supersonic expansion discharge source, the roots blower connected to the source chamber, a skimmer floated to the beam energy, and an additional cylindrical bender. Once the ion beam is in working order, it will probably be easiest to measure the rotational temperature with $\mathrm{HN}_{2}^{+}$. After a cold ion beam is produced, more complex molecules, like $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3}^{+}$can be studied.

The cyclopropenyl cation ( $\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3}^{+}$) is the smallest of Hückel's aromatics ( $4 n+2 \pi$ electrons, $n=0$ ), and therefore is of great interest to physical organic chemists. In combustion chemistry, it is the dominant cation in both acetylene and benzene flames, [204,205] representing about $80 \%$ of the ion signal. In the context of astrochemistry, the Giotto spacecraft mission to Halley's comet made a probable mass-spectrometric detection of $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3}^{+}$in 1986, [206] an emission line from Jupiter's aurora has been tentatively assigned to c- $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3}^{+}$, [207] and $\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3}^{+}$is widely believed to be the precursor to $\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2}$, a ubiquitous molecule in both dense [208] and diffuse [209, 210] interstellar clouds.

Because of the importance of this molecular ion, significant efforts have been undertaken to record its rovibrational spectrum. Low resolution Raman ( $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ solution) and IR scans (polycrystalline) were first recorded by Craig et al. [211] and this was followed by a Ne matrix spectrum by Maier et al. [212] Infrared photodissociation spectra of complexes between $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3}^{+}$and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ were obtained by Dopfer and colleagues; $[213,214]$ in their experiment the doubly generate $\nu_{4}$ band of $\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3}^{+}$was split into two components at 3094 and $3129 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ by the presence of the $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ ligand.

Calculations of the ground and excited vibrational states of the molecule yield the rotational constants. Anharmonic calculations give the band center, and new calculations yield the coriolis constant. The band center for the $\nu_{4}$ mode was calculated to be $3122.9 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The rotational constants were determined to be $B_{0}=1.02096 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $C_{0}=0.51048 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for the ground state, and $B_{1}=1.02182 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $C_{1}=0.51091$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ for the vibrationally excited state. The coriolis constant for this mode is $\zeta_{44}=-0.0078$. Using these values a spectrum could be simulated using Pgopher at $25 \mathrm{~K},[176]$ and is shown in Figure 7.11.

When different rotational temperatures were used, the number of lines above a minimum intensity increased from 780 to 2685 lines. Consequently, the fraction of band for the strongest line decreased from 0.0384 to 0.0023 , illustrating the loss of signal strength for spectroscopy resulting from rotationally excited ions. Figure 7.12 illustrates this loss in signal strength with resulting increase in complexity.

Figure 7.13 shows the spectrum in finer detail at the band origin. Different k stacks are vertically offset. The $\mathrm{k}=0$ state is missing the even levels, as is predicted by the nuclear spin for a $\mathrm{D}_{3 H}$ molecule. Comparing

[^1]

Figure 7.11: The simulated rovibrational spectra of $\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3}^{+}$at 25 K .


Figure 7.12: Spectra at 25 and 1000 K illustrate the need for thermal relaxation to enable spectroscopy.
the different K stacks, the $4: 2: 2$ intensity alternation between the $\mathrm{k}=0, \mathrm{k}=1$, and $\mathrm{k}=3$ stacks is seen.


Figure 7.13: Zoom in of band origin. Nuclear spin intensity alternations expected between the $\mathrm{k}=0, \mathrm{k}=1$, and $\mathrm{k}=2 \ldots$ lines for the same J . Missing even J states expected for $\mathrm{k}=0$.

## Appendix A

## Appendix for Laser Drawings

## A. 1 Neutral Density Filter Wheels

Schematics for the construction of neutral density filter wheel mounts compatible with the Matisse Ti:Sapphire ring laser are shown in Figure A.1.

(a) Schematic of aluminum mounting plate.

(b) Schematic of mounting ferrule.

Figure A.1: Neutral density filter wheel assembly.

## A. 2 SHG Oven Mount

The sum harmonic generation (SHG) PPLN oven was connected to a 3 axis stage (New Focus 9082) using an adapter plate shown in Figure A.2(a). The 3 axis stage was connected to a 1 axis stage (New Focus $9063-\mathrm{COM}$ ) with the adapter plate shown in Figure A.2(b). The 1 axis translation stage was connected to another 1 axis translation stage (Thorlabs PT1) with an adapter plate shown in Figure A.2(c). This oven layout allowed for precise alignment of the SHG crystal to the laser beam, in the direction of the laser propagation, transverse horizontally, and transverse vertically allowing for full alignment of the crystal to the optimum position for SHG conversion.


Figure A.2: Mounts for SHG oven interface.

## A. 3 Frequency Tripler

A frequency tripler, used for third harmonic locking the SHG YAG radiation to an iodine line, was designed and constructed by Jim Wentz of the SCS Electrical Shop. A schematic diagram of the circuit is shown in Figure A.3. The circuit is designed to frequency triple a 10 kHz signal to a 30 kHz reference signal.


Figure A.3: Electrical schematic for circuit provide a frequency tripler at 10 kHz .

## A. 4 Peltier Cooling

The Peltier device (CUI Inc CP60333; Digikey 102-1674-ND) is sandwiched between a brass cold finger and the lid of the water cooling with thermal grease on both sides. A $1 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ diameter O-ring (\#268) was used to seal the water cooling base to the lid, and NPT fittings were used to connect Swagelok fittings to the water cooling base. A 10A power supply (MG Electronics - PS10AD) was used to power the Peltier device. Schematics for the water cooling parts are shown in Figure A.4. The peltier device has a voltage and current limit of 15 V and 3 A respectively, but the voltage seldom needed to exceed 10 V .


Figure A.4: Brass water-cooled Peltier cooler cold finger.

## A. 5 LabWindows Temperature Controller Program

```
\#include <formatio.h>
\#include <cvirte.h>
\#include <rs232.h>
\#include <utility.h>
\#include <userint.h>
\#include "temperature.h"
\#include <string.h>
\#include <stdlib.h>
\#include <stdio.h>
int panel_handle,
    comport = 4,
    baudrate = 115200,
    portindex, // portindex = comport - 1
    parity = 0,
    databits = 8,
    stopbits = 1,
    inputq = 512,
    outputq = 512,
    xmode = 0,
    ctsmode = 0,
    stringsize,
    bytes_sent,
    bytes_read,
    RS232Error,
    config_flag,
    breakstatus,
    port_open,
    com_status,
    send_mode,
    send_byte,
    send_term_index,
    read_term_index,
    read_term = '\r',
    inqlen, /* Stores result from GetInQLen */
    outqlen, /* Stores result from GetOutQLen */
stop = 0;
short read_cnt = 1000;
double timeout = 5.0,
    tset;
char devicename[30], // Global Variables are bad for you.
        send_data[500],
        read_data[2000],
// read_data1[2000],
        tbox_read_data[2000],
        com_msg[500],
        msg[100],
        arg[100];
char status_byte;
/* Internal function prototypes */
void DisplayRS232Error (void);
```

```
void enableComPort (void);
int ProcessEvent (int *stopPtr);
void UpdatePID (void);
void Offset (void);
void BegTMAX (void);
void FirstTemp (void); // Actual Temp
void SetToNormal (void);
void AllDisable (void);
void BegTset (void);
/* This is the application's entry-point.
int main (int argc, char *argv[])
{
if (InitCVIRTE (0, argv, 0) == 0)
return -1;
if ((panel_handle = LoadPanel (0, "temperature.uir", PANEL)) < 0)
return -1;
DisplayPanel (panel_handle);
enableComPort();
Delay(0.2);
FirstTemp();
AllDisable();
SetToNormal();
BegTMAX ();
BegTset();
Offset ();
UpdatePID ();
RunUserInterface ();
DiscardPanel (panel_handle);
return 0;
}
/* Display error information to the user. */
void DisplayRS232Error (void)
{
    char ErrorMessage[200];
    switch (RS232Error)
        {
        default :
            if (RS232Error < 0)
                    {
                    Fmt (ErrorMessage, "%s<RS232 error number %i", RS232Error);
                    MessagePopup ("RS232 Message", ErrorMessage);
                    }
        break;
            case 0 :
                MessagePopup ("RS232 Message", "No errors.");
            break;
        case -2 :
            Fmt (ErrorMessage, "%s", "Invalid port number (must be in the "
                    "range 1 to 8).");
            MessagePopup ("RS232 Message", ErrorMessage);
            break;
        case -3 :
            Fmt (ErrorMessage, "%s", "No port is open.\n"
```

```
            "Check COM Port setting in Configure.");
            MessagePopup ("RS232 Message", ErrorMessage);
            break;
        case -99 :
            Fmt (ErrorMessage, "%s", "Timeout error.\n\n"
            "Either increase timeout value,\n"
            " check COM Port setting, or\n"
            " check device.");
    MessagePopup ("RS232 Message", ErrorMessage);
        break;
    }
}
void enableComPort (void)
{
SuspendTimerCallbacks();
    port_open = 0; /* initialize flag to 0 - unopened */
    //GetConfigParms ();
    DisableBreakOnLibraryErrors ();
    RS232Error = OpenComConfig (comport, devicename, baudrate, parity,
                    databits, stopbits, inputq, outputq);
    EnableBreakOnLibraryErrors ();
    if (RS232Error) DisplayRS232Error ();
    if (RS232Error == 0) {
        port_open = 1;
        SetXMode (comport, xmode);
        SetCTSMode (comport, ctsmode);
    }
    send_data[0] = '\r';
    send_data[1] = '\0';
    stringsize = StringLength(send_data);
    bytes_sent = ComWrt (comport, send_data, stringsize);
    FlushInQ(comport);
    FlushOutQ(comport);
    ResumeTimerCallbacks();
}
int ProcessEvent (int *stopPtr){
*stopPtr = stop;
return ProcessSystemEvents();
}
int CVICALLBACK QuitProgram (int panel, int control, int event,
void *callbackData, int eventData1, int eventData2)
{
SuspendTimerCallbacks();
Delay(0.1);
    switch (event)
            {
            case EVENT_COMMIT :
            if (port_open){
FlushOutQ(comport);
                    RS232Error = CloseCom (comport);
                    if (RS232Error)
                        DisplayRS232Error ();
            }
```

```
            QuitUserInterface (0);
            break;
        case EVENT_RIGHT_CLICK :
        //DisplayHelp (QuitHelp);
        break;
        }
    ResumeTimerCallbacks();
    return 0;
}
int CVICALLBACK SetNormal (int panel, int control, int event,
void *callbackData, int eventData1, int eventData2)
{
switch (event)
{
case EVENT_COMMIT:
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_CycleTempSet, ATTR_DIMMED, 1); // 1 means dimmed
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_Speed, ATTR_DIMMED, 1);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_Direction, ATTR_DIMMED, 1);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_CycleStart, ATTR_DIMMED, 1);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_CycleStop, ATTR_DIMMED, 1);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_NormalTempSet, ATTR_DIMMED, 0); // 0 means not dimmed
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_NormalEnable, ATTR_DIMMED, 0);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_NormalDisable, ATTR_DIMMED, 0);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_Tune, ATTR_DIMMED, 1);
    Delay(0.1);
FlushInQ(comport);
FlushOutQ(comport);
send_data[0] = '\0'; // Read Actual Temperature, set to temp set value.
strcat(send_data, "tact?\r");
stringsize = StringLength(send_data);
bytes_sent = ComWrt (comport, send_data, stringsize); // send data
read_data[0] = '\0'; // discard first line, which is ""
bytes_read = ComRdTerm (comport, read_data, read_cnt, read_term);
read_data[0] = '\0'; // read second line, which is response from com port
bytes_read = ComRdTerm (comport, read_data, read_cnt, read_term);
Delay(0.2);
FlushInQ(comport);
FlushOutQ(comport);
SetCtrlVal (panel_handle, PANEL_NormalTempSet, atof(read_data));
SetCtrlVal (panel_handle, PANEL_TempSet, atof(read_data));
SetCtrlVal (panel_handle, PANEL_CURTEMP, atof(read_data)); // Thermometer
SetCtrlVal (panel_handle, PANEL_newtemp, atof(read_data)); // Indicator below Thermometer
// check if enabled, dim correct button (enable versus disabled).
Delay(0.2);
FlushInQ(comport);
FlushOutQ(comport);
strcpy(send_data, "stat?\r"); // get status
stringsize = StringLength(send_data);
bytes_sent = ComWrt (comport, send_data, stringsize);
read_data[0] = '\0'; // read status
bytes_read = ComRdTerm (comport, read_data, read_cnt, read_term);
read_data[0] = '\0';
```

```
bytes_read = ComRdTerm (comport, read_data, 2, read_term);
status_byte = 0x10*read_data[0] + read_data[1];
Delay(0.2);
if((status_byte & 0x01) == 0){ // dim the disable, bcz the unit is disabled
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_NormalDisable, ATTR_DIMMED, 1);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_Tune, ATTR_DIMMED, 1);
}
else {
// dim the enable, bcz the unit is enabled
//(Won't happen on startup, because there is a automatic disable on startup).
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_NormalEnable, ATTR_DIMMED, 1);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_Tune, ATTR_DIMMED, 0);
}
Delay(0.2);
FlushInQ(comport);
FlushOutQ(comport);
// Read offset, set button to on
//(so it can only be detuned) if the instrument has an offset.
send_data[0] = '\0';
strcat(send_data, "tune?\r");
stringsize = StringLength(send_data);
bytes_sent = ComWrt (comport, send_data, stringsize); // send data
Delay(0.2);
read_data[0] = '\0'; // read response
bytes_read = ComRdTerm (comport, read_data, read_cnt, read_term);
Delay(0.2);
read_data[0] = '\0'; // discard first line, which is "tune?"
bytes_read = ComRdTerm (comport, read_data, read_cnt, read_term);
// read second line, which is response from com port
Delay(0.2);
SetCtrlVal (panel_handle, PANEL_Offset, atof(read_data));
// display Tuning Offset in the main panel,
Delay(0.2);
if (atof(read_data)==0) {
SetCtrlVal (panel_handle, PANEL_Tune, 1);
}
break;
}
return 0;
}
int CVICALLBACK NormalEnable (int panel, int control, int event,
void *callbackData, int eventData1, int eventData2)
{
SuspendTimerCallbacks();
switch (event)
{
case EVENT_COMMIT:
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_NormalEnable, ATTR_DIMMED, 1);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_NormalDisable, ATTR_DIMMED, 0);
// Read set temperature and enable the heater.
GetCtrlVal (panel_handle, PANEL_NormalTempSet, &tset);
FlushInQ(comport);
FlushOutQ(comport);
```

```
send_data[0] = '\0';
arg[0] = '\0';
strcat(send_data, "tset=");
sprintf(arg, "%.1f", tset);
strcat(send_data, arg);
strcat(send_data, "\r");
stringsize = StringLength(send_data);
bytes_sent = ComWrt (comport, send_data, stringsize);
Delay(0.2);
// Enable heater
FlushInQ(comport);
FlushOutQ(comport);
strcpy(send_data, "stat?\r");
stringsize = StringLength(send_data);
bytes_sent = ComWrt (comport, send_data, stringsize);
read_data[0] = '\0';
bytes_read = ComRdTerm (comport, read_data, read_cnt, read_term);
read_data[0] = '\0';
bytes_read = ComRdTerm (comport, read_data, 2, read_term);
status_byte = 0x10*read_data[0] + read_data[1];
Delay(0.2);
if((status_byte & 0x01) == 0){
FlushInQ(comport);
FlushOutQ(comport);
strcpy(send_data, "ens\r");
stringsize = StringLength(send_data);
bytes_sent = ComWrt (comport, send_data, stringsize);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_Tune, ATTR_DIMMED, 0);
}
break;
}
ResumeTimerCallbacks();
return 0;
}
int CVICALLBACK NormalDisable (int panel, int control, int event,
void *callbackData, int eventData1, int eventData2)
{
SuspendTimerCallbacks();
switch (event)
{
case EVENT_COMMIT:
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_NormalEnable, ATTR_DIMMED, 0);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_NormalDisable, ATTR_DIMMED, 1);
// Disable the heater.
FlushInQ(comport);
FlushOutQ(comport);
strcpy(send_data, "stat?\r");
stringsize = StringLength(send_data);
bytes_sent = ComWrt (comport, send_data, stringsize);
read_data[0] = '\0';
bytes_read = ComRdTerm (comport, read_data, read_cnt, read_term);
```

```
read_data[0] = '\0';
bytes_read = ComRdTerm (comport, read_data, 2, read_term);
status_byte = 0x10*read_data[0] + read_data[1];
Delay(0.2);
if((status_byte & 0x01) != 0){
FlushInQ(comport);
FlushOutQ(comport);
strcpy(send_data, "ens\r");
stringsize = StringLength(send_data);
bytes_sent = ComWrt (comport, send_data, stringsize);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_Tune, ATTR_DIMMED, 1);
}
break;
}
ResumeTimerCallbacks();
return 0;
}
int CVICALLBACK Tune (int panel, int control, int event,
void *callbackData, int eventData1, int eventData2)
{
SuspendTimerCallbacks();
switch (event)
{
case EVENT_COMMIT:
Delay(0.2);
FlushInQ(comport); // flush any leftovers from previous operations
FlushOutQ(comport);
send_data[0] = '\0';
strcpy(send_data, "tune\r");
stringsize = StringLength(send_data);
bytes_sent = ComWrt (comport, send_data, stringsize); // send data
Delay(0.2);
break;
}
ResumeTimerCallbacks();
return 0;
}
int CVICALLBACK Cycle (int panel, int control, int event,
void *callbackData, int eventData1, int eventData2)
{
SuspendTimerCallbacks();
switch (event)
{
case EVENT_COMMIT:
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_CycleTempSet, ATTR_DIMMED, 0);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_Speed, ATTR_DIMMED, 0);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_Direction, ATTR_DIMMED, 0);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_CycleStart, ATTR_DIMMED, 0);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_NormalTempSet, ATTR_DIMMED, 1);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_NormalEnable, ATTR_DIMMED, 1);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_NormalDisable, ATTR_DIMMED, 1);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_Tune, ATTR_DIMMED, 1);
break;
```

```
}
ResumeTimerCallbacks();
return 0;
}
int CVICALLBACK CycleStart (int panel, int control, int event,
void *callbackData, int eventData1, int eventData2)
{
double Direction;
double settemp;
double curtemp;
double speed;
double increment; // per second
double cursettemp;
char arg[100];
double curstep, numsteps, l;
double current_temp, previous_temp;
SuspendTimerCallbacks();
switch (event)
{
case EVENT_COMMIT:
GetCtrlVal (panel_handle, PANEL_CycleTempSet, &settemp); // Read cycle set temp,
    GetCtrlVal (panel_handle, PANEL_Direction, &Direction); // Read Direction
Delay(0.2);
FlushInQ(comport);
FlushOutQ(comport);
send_data[0] = '\0'; // get current temperature value.
strcat(send_data, "tact?\r");
stringsize = StringLength(send_data);
bytes_sent = ComWrt (comport, send_data, stringsize);
read_data[0] = '\0'; // read first line of response
bytes_read = ComRdTerm (comport, read_data, read_cnt, read_term);
read_data[0] = '\0'; // discard first line, which is "tact?"
bytes_read = ComRdTerm (comport, read_data, read_cnt, read_term);
// read second line, which is response from com port
curtemp = atof(read_data);
cursettemp = curtemp;
GetCtrlVal (panel_handle, PANEL_Speed, &speed); // Read cycle speed.
increment = speed / 60 * Direction; // * Direction
FlushInQ(comport);
FlushOutQ(comport);
send_data[0] = '\0'; // set initial temperature
arg[0] = '\0';
strcat(send_data, "tset=");
sprintf(arg, "%.1f", curtemp);
strcat(send_data, arg);
strcat(send_data, "\r");
stringsize = StringLength(send_data);
bytes_sent = ComWrt (comport, send_data, stringsize);
// Enable heater
Delay(0.2);
FlushInQ(comport);
FlushOutQ(comport);
send_data[0]='\0';
```

```
strcpy(send_data, "stat?\r"); // find out if heater is on
stringsize = StringLength(send_data);
bytes_sent = ComWrt (comport, send_data, stringsize);
Delay (0.2);
read_data[0] = '\0';
bytes_read = ComRdTerm (comport, read_data, read_cnt, read_term);
read_data[0] = '\0';
bytes_read = ComRdTerm (comport, read_data, read_cnt, read_term);
read_data[0] = '\0';
bytes_read = ComRdTerm (comport, read_data, 2, read_term);
status_byte = 0x10*((int)read_data[0]-'0') + ((int)read_data[1]-'0');
// This part of the code isn't working right.
//status_byte = 10*read_data[0] + read_data[1];
    // This part of the code isn't working right.
if((status_byte & 0x01) == 0){
FlushInQ(comport);
FlushOutQ(comport);
strcpy(send_data, "ens\r");
stringsize = StringLength(send_data);
bytes_sent = ComWrt (comport, send_data, stringsize);
}
Delay(.2);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_CycleStop, ATTR_DIMMED, 0);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_CycleStart, ATTR_DIMMED, 1);
curstep = 0;
numsteps = (settemp - cursettemp)/increment;
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_Normal, ATTR_DIMMED, 1);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_CycleTempSet, ATTR_DIMMED, 1);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_Speed, ATTR_DIMMED, 1);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_Direction, ATTR_DIMMED, 1);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_Quit, ATTR_DIMMED, 1);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_TMAXSETTING, ATTR_DIMMED, 1);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_getTmax, ATTR_DIMMED, 1);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_GetOffset, ATTR_DIMMED, 1);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_PIDUpdate, ATTR_DIMMED, 1);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_Proportional, ATTR_DIMMED, 1);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_Integral, ATTR_DIMMED, 1);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_Derivative, ATTR_DIMMED, 1);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_GetTemp, ATTR_DIMMED, 1);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_TempNow, ATTR_DIMMED, 1);
while(curstep < numsteps && !stop){
//while ((cursettemp <= settemp) && !stop){
cursettemp += increment;
curstep++;
Delay(0.3); // This is the major delay change
FlushInQ(comport); // flush any leftovers from previous operations
FlushOutQ(comport);
send_data[0] = '\0';
arg[0] = '\0';
strcat(send_data, "tset=");
sprintf(arg, "%.1f", cursettemp);
strcat(send_data, arg);
strcat(send_data, "\r");
```

```
stringsize = StringLength(send_data);
bytes_sent = ComWrt (comport, send_data, stringsize);
Delay(0.3);
SetCtrlVal (panel_handle, PANEL_TempSet, cursettemp);
SetCtrlVal (panel_handle, PANEL_NormalTempSet, cursettemp);
// read current temperature
FlushInQ(comport); // flush any leftovers from previous operations
FlushOutQ(comport);
send_data[0] = '\0';
strcat(send_data, "tact?\r");
stringsize = StringLength(send_data);
bytes_sent = ComWrt (comport, send_data, stringsize); // send data
// read response
read_data[0] = '\0'; // discard first line, which is ""
bytes_read = ComRdTerm (comport, read_data, read_cnt, read_term);
read_data[0] = '\0'; // read second line, which is response from com port
bytes_read = ComRdTerm (comport, read_data, read_cnt, read_term);
    // flush any leftovers
Delay(0.3);
// display Current Temperature in the main panel, in double form
SetCtrlVal (panel_handle, PANEL_CURTEMP, atof(read_data));
// Thermometer
SetCtrlVal (panel_handle, PANEL_newtemp, atof(read_data));
// Indicator below Thermometer
ProcessEvent(&stop);
}
stop = 0;
Delay (0.5);
//Delay(600.0); // end of cycle delay
// read current temperature
FlushInQ(comport); // flush any leftovers from previous operations
FlushOutQ(comport);
send_data[0] = '\0';
strcat(send_data, "tact?\r");
stringsize = StringLength(send_data);
bytes_sent = ComWrt (comport, send_data, stringsize); // send data
// read response
read_data[0] = '\0'; // discard first line, which is ""
bytes_read = ComRdTerm (comport, read_data, read_cnt, read_term);
read_data[0] = '\0'; // read second line, which is response from com port
bytes_read = ComRdTerm (comport, read_data, read_cnt, read_term);
    // flush any leftovers
Delay(0.3);
// display Current Temperature in the main panel, in double form
SetCtrlVal (panel_handle, PANEL_CURTEMP, atof(read_data));
// Thermometer
SetCtrlVal (panel_handle, PANEL_newtemp, atof(read_data));
// Indicator below Thermometer
current_temp = atof(read_data);
if(Direction > 0)
Delay(10.0);
else
```

```
Delay(30.0);
do {
// read current temperature
FlushInQ(comport); // flush any leftovers from previous operations
FlushOutQ(comport);
send_data[0] = '\0';
strcat(send_data, "tact?\r");
stringsize = StringLength(send_data);
bytes_sent = ComWrt (comport, send_data, stringsize); // send data
// read response
read_data[0] = '\0'; // discard first line, which is ""
bytes_read = ComRdTerm (comport, read_data, read_cnt, read_term);
read_data[0] = '\0'; // read second line, which is response from com port
bytes_read = ComRdTerm (comport, read_data, read_cnt, read_term);
    // flush any leftovers
Delay(0.3);
// display Current Temperature in the main panel, in double form
SetCtrlVal (panel_handle, PANEL_CURTEMP, atof(read_data));
// Thermometer
SetCtrlVal (panel_handle, PANEL_newtemp, atof(read_data));
// Indicator below Thermometer
previous_temp = current_temp;
current_temp = atof(read_data);
if(Direction > 0)
Delay(10.0);
else
Delay(30.0);
} while((current_temp >= previous_temp + 0.05) || (current_temp <= previous_temp - 0.05));
Delay(0.2);
FlushInQ(comport); // flush any leftovers from previous operations
FlushOutQ(comport);
send_data[0] = '\0';
strcat(send_data, "tact?\r");
stringsize = StringLength(send_data);
bytes_sent = ComWrt (comport, send_data, stringsize); // send data
// read response
read_data[0] = '\0'; // discard first line, which is ""
bytes_read = ComRdTerm (comport, read_data, read_cnt, read_term);
read_data[0] = '\0'; // read second line, which is response from com port
bytes_read = ComRdTerm (comport, read_data, read_cnt, read_term);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_Normal, ATTR_DIMMED, 0);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_CycleTempSet, ATTR_DIMMED, 0);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_Speed, ATTR_DIMMED, 0);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_Direction, ATTR_DIMMED, 0);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_Quit, ATTR_DIMMED, 0);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_TMAXSETTING, ATTR_DIMMED, 0);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_getTmax, ATTR_DIMMED, 0);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_GetOffset, ATTR_DIMMED, 0);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_PIDUpdate, ATTR_DIMMED, 0);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_Proportional, ATTR_DIMMED, 0);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_Integral, ATTR_DIMMED, 0);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_Derivative, ATTR_DIMMED, 0);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_GetTemp, ATTR_DIMMED, 0);
```
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SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_TempNow, ATTR_DIMMED, 0);
if(atof(read_data)>50){
SetCtrlVal (panel_handle, PANEL_CycleTempSet, settemp);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_CycleTempSet, ATTR_DIMMED, 1);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_Speed, ATTR_DIMMED, 1);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_Direction, ATTR_DIMMED, 1);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_CycleStart, ATTR_DIMMED, 1);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_CycleStop, ATTR_DIMMED, 1);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_NormalTempSet, ATTR_DIMMED, 0);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_NormalEnable, ATTR_DIMMED, 0);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_NormalDisable, ATTR_DIMMED, 0);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_Tune, ATTR_DIMMED, 0);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_NormalEnable, ATTR_DIMMED, 1);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_NormalDisable, ATTR_DIMMED, 0);
}
else {
Delay(0.2);
FlushInQ(comport);
FlushOutQ(comport);
strcpy(send_data, "stat?\r");
stringsize = StringLength(send_data);
bytes_sent = ComWrt (comport, send_data, stringsize);
read_data[0] = '\0';
bytes_read = ComRdTerm (comport, read_data, read_cnt, read_term);
read_data[0] = '\0';
bytes_read = ComRdTerm (comport, read_data, 2, read_term);
status_byte = 0x10*read_data[0] + read_data[1];
Delay(0.2);
if((status_byte & 0x01) != 0){
FlushInQ(comport);
FlushOutQ(comport);
strcpy(send_data, "ens\r");
stringsize = StringLength(send_data);
bytes_sent = ComWrt (comport, send_data, stringsize);
}
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_CycleTempSet, ATTR_DIMMED, 1);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_Speed, ATTR_DIMMED, 1);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_Direction, ATTR_DIMMED, 1);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_CycleStart, ATTR_DIMMED, 1);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_CycleStop, ATTR_DIMMED, 1);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_NormalTempSet, ATTR_DIMMED, 0);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_NormalEnable, ATTR_DIMMED, 0);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_NormalDisable, ATTR_DIMMED, 1);
SetCtrlAttribute (panel_handle, PANEL_Tune, ATTR_DIMMED, 1);
}
break;
}
ResumeTimerCallbacks();
return 0;
}
int CVICALLBACK CycleStop (int panel, int control, int event,
void *callbackData, int eventData1, int eventData2)
```

```
{
SuspendTimerCallbacks();
switch (event)
{
case EVENT_COMMIT:
stop = 1; // Stop cycle and turn to normal mode.
break;
}
ResumeTimerCallbacks();
return 0;
}
int CVICALLBACK getTmax (int panel, int control, int event,
void *callbackData, int eventData1, int eventData2)
{
SuspendTimerCallbacks();
switch (event)
{
case EVENT_COMMIT:
// flush any leftovers from previous operations
Delay(0.2);
FlushInQ(comport);
FlushOutQ(comport);
// send data
send_data[0] = '\0';
strcat(send_data, "tmax?\r");
stringsize = StringLength(send_data);
bytes_sent = ComWrt (comport, send_data, stringsize);
// read response
    // discard first line, which is "tmax?"
read_data[0] = '\0';
bytes_read = ComRdTerm (comport, read_data, read_cnt, read_term);
    // read second line, which is response from com port
read_data[0] = '\0';
bytes_read = ComRdTerm (comport, read_data, read_cnt, read_term);
    // flush any leftovers
Delay(0.2);
FlushInQ(comport);
FlushOutQ(comport);
// display tmax in the main panel, in both string and double forms
//SetCtrlVal (panel_handle, PANEL_tmax, read_data);
SetCtrlVal (panel_handle, PANEL_tmaxF, atof(read_data));
break;
}
ResumeTimerCallbacks();
return 0;
}
int CVICALLBACK SetTMax (int panel, int control, int event,
void *callbackData, int eventData1, int eventData2)
{
double tmax;
char arg[100];
SuspendTimerCallbacks();
switch (event)
```

```
{
case EVENT_COMMIT:
Delay(0.2);
FlushInQ(comport);
// flush any leftovers from previous operations
FlushOutQ(comport);
GetCtrlVal (panel_handle, PANEL_TMAXSETTING, &tmax);
send_data[0] = '\0';
arg[0] = '\0';
strcat(send_data, "tmax=");
sprintf(arg, "%.1f", tmax);
strcat(send_data, arg);
strcat(send_data, "\r");
stringsize = StringLength(send_data);
bytes_sent = ComWrt (comport, send_data, stringsize);
Delay(0.2);
FlushInQ(comport);
FlushOutQ(comport);
SetCtrlVal (panel_handle, PANEL_tmaxF, tmax);
break;
}
ResumeTimerCallbacks();
return 0;
}
/* int CVICALLBACK TimerTick (int panel, int control, int event,
void *callbackData, int eventData1, int eventData2)
{
char send_timer_data[100] = "tact?\r";
char read_data_timer[1000];
int stringsize_timer = StringLength(send_timer_data);
int bytes_sent_timer, bytes_read_timer;
switch (event){
case EVENT_TIMER_TICK:
if(GetComStat(comport) == 0 && GetInQLen(comport) == 0 && GetOutQLen(comport) == 0){
    // if it's not busy
FlushInQ(comport); // flush leftover input/output
FlushOutQ(comport);
bytes_sent_timer = ComWrt (comport, send_timer_data, stringsize_timer);
read_data_timer[0] = '\0';
bytes_read_timer = ComRdTerm (comport, read_data_timer, read_cnt, read_term);
read_data_timer[0] = '\0';
bytes_read_timer = ComRdTerm (comport, read_data_timer, read_cnt, read_term);
SetCtrlVal (panel_handle, PANEL_CURTEMP, atof(read_data_timer));
}
break;
}
return 0;
}*/
int CVICALLBACK GetTemp (int panel, int control, int event,
void *callbackData, int eventData1, int eventData2)
{
SuspendTimerCallbacks();
switch (event)
```

```
{
case EVENT_COMMIT:
// flush any leftovers from previous operations
Delay(0.2);
FlushInQ(comport);
FlushOutQ(comport);
// send data
send_data[0] = '\0';
strcat(send_data, "tset?\r");
stringsize = StringLength(send_data);
bytes_sent = ComWrt (comport, send_data, stringsize);
// read response
    // discard first line, which is ""
read_data[0] = '\0';
bytes_read = ComRdTerm (comport, read_data, read_cnt, read_term);
    // read second line, which is response from com port
read_data[0] = '\0';
bytes_read = ComRdTerm (comport, read_data, read_cnt, read_term);
    // flush any leftovers
FlushInQ(comport);
FlushOutQ(comport);
// display tmax in the main panel, in both string and double forms
//SetCtrlVal (panel_handle, PANEL_tmax, read_data);
SetCtrlVal (panel_handle, PANEL_TempSet, atof(read_data));
break;
}
ResumeTimerCallbacks();
return 0;
}
int CVICALLBACK TempNow (int panel, int control, int event,
void *callbackData, int eventData1, int eventData2)
{
switch (event)
{
case EVENT_COMMIT:
FlushInQ(comport); // flush any leftovers from previous operations
FlushOutQ(comport);
send_data[0] = '\0';
strcat(send_data, "tact?\r");
stringsize = StringLength(send_data);
bytes_sent = ComWrt (comport, send_data, stringsize); // send data
// read response
read_data[0] = '\0'; // discard first line, which is ""
bytes_read = ComRdTerm (comport, read_data, read_cnt, read_term);
read_data[0] = '\0'; // read second line, which is response from com port
bytes_read = ComRdTerm (comport, read_data, read_cnt, read_term);
    // flush any leftovers
FlushInQ(comport);
FlushOutQ(comport);
// display Current Temperature in the main panel, in double form
SetCtrlVal (panel_handle, PANEL_CURTEMP, atof(read_data));
// Thermometer
SetCtrlVal (panel_handle, PANEL_newtemp, atof(read_data));
```
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// Indicator below Thermometer
break;
}
return 0;
}
int CVICALLBACK GetOffset (int panel, int control, int event,
void *callbackData, int eventData1, int eventData2)
{
switch (event)
{
case EVENT_COMMIT:
FlushInQ(comport); // flush any leftovers from previous operations
FlushOutQ(comport);
send_data[0] = '\0';
strcat(send_data, "tune?\r");
stringsize = StringLength(send_data);
bytes_sent = ComWrt (comport, send_data, stringsize); // send data
// read response
read_data[0] = '\0'; // discard first line, which is ""
bytes_read = ComRdTerm (comport, read_data, read_cnt, read_term);
read_data[0] = '\0'; // read second line, which is response from com port
bytes_read = ComRdTerm (comport, read_data, read_cnt, read_term);
FlushInQ(comport); // flush any leftovers
FlushOutQ(comport);
// display Tuning Offset in the main panel, in double form
SetCtrlVal (panel_handle, PANEL_Offset, atof(read_data)); // Offset
break;
}
return 0;
}
int CVICALLBACK PID (int panel, int control, int event,
void *callbackData, int eventData1, int eventData2)
{
switch (event)
{
case EVENT_COMMIT:
UpdatePID();
break;
}
return 0;
}
int CVICALLBACK Proportional (int panel, int control, int event,
void *callbackData, int eventData1, int eventData2)
{
int pset;
char prop[30] = "";
switch (event)
{
case EVENT_COMMIT:
GetCtrlVal (panel_handle, PANEL_Proportional, &pset);
// read desired pid value
sprintf( prop, "%i", pset );
// convert to double with no decimal points
```

```
//SetCtrlVal (panel_handle, PANEL_string, prop);
// display string
FlushInQ(comport); // flush any leftovers from previous operations
FlushOutQ(comport);
send_data[0] = '\0'; // setup to send proportional settings
strcat(send_data, "pgain=");
strcat(send_data, prop);
strcat(send_data, "\r");
stringsize = StringLength(send_data);
bytes_sent = ComWrt (comport, send_data, stringsize);
// send data
break;
}
return 0;
}
int CVICALLBACK Integral (int panel, int control, int event,
void *callbackData, int eventData1, int eventData2)
{
int iset;
char integ[30] = "";
switch (event)
{
case EVENT_COMMIT:
GetCtrlVal (panel_handle, PANEL_Integral, &iset);
// read desired pid value
sprintf( integ, "%i", iset );
// convert to double with no decimal points
//SetCtrlVal (panel_handle, PANEL_string, integ);
// display string
FlushInQ(comport);
// flush any leftovers from previous operations
FlushOutQ(comport);
send_data[0] = '\0';
// setup to send proportional settings
strcat(send_data, "igain=");
strcat(send_data, integ);
strcat(send_data, "\r");
stringsize = StringLength(send_data);
bytes_sent = ComWrt (comport, send_data, stringsize);
    // send data
break;
}
return 0;
}
int CVICALLBACK Derivative (int panel, int control, int event,
void *callbackData, int eventData1, int eventData2)
{
int dset;
char deriv[30] = "";
switch (event)
{
case EVENT_COMMIT:
```
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GetCtrlVal (panel_handle, PANEL_Derivative, &dset);
// read desired pid value
sprintf( deriv, "%i", dset );
// convert to double with no decimal points
//SetCtrlVal (panel_handle, PANEL_string, deriv);
// display string
FlushInQ(comport); // flush any leftovers from previous operations
FlushOutQ(comport);
send_data[0] = '\0'; // setup to send proportional settings
strcat(send_data, "dgain=");
strcat(send_data, deriv);
strcat(send_data, "\r");
stringsize = StringLength(send_data);
bytes_sent = ComWrt (comport, send_data, stringsize);
// send data
break;
}
return 0;
}
int CVICALLBACK NormalTempSet (int panel, int control, int event,
void *callbackData, int eventData1, int eventData2)
{
switch (event)
{
case EVENT_COMMIT:
// Read set temperature and enable the heater.
Delay(0.2);
FlushInQ(comport);
FlushOutQ(comport);
GetCtrlVal (panel_handle, PANEL_NormalTempSet, &tset);
send_data[0] = '\0';
arg[0] = '\0';
strcat(send_data, "tset=");
sprintf(arg, "%.1f", tset);
strcat(send_data, arg);
strcat(send_data, "\r");
stringsize = StringLength(send_data);
bytes_sent = ComWrt (comport, send_data, stringsize);
SetCtrlVal (panel_handle, PANEL_TempSet, tset);
Delay(0.2);
break;
}
return 0;
}
void UpdatePID (void){
int i = 0; // old pid
int j; // old pid
int offset;
char PGAIN[100] = "", IGAIN[100] = "", DGAIN[100] = "";
FlushInQ(comport); // flush any leftovers from previous operations
FlushOutQ(comport);
send_data[0] = '\0'; // setup to request pid settings
strcat(send_data, "pid?\r");
```

```
stringsize = StringLength(send_data);
bytes_sent = ComWrt (comport, send_data, stringsize); // send data
read_data[0] = '\0';// read response
bytes_read = ComRdTerm (comport, read_data, read_cnt, read_term);
read_data[0] = '\0'; // discard first line, which is "pid?"
for(j = 0; j < 2000; j++)
read_data[j] = '\0';
bytes_read = ComRdTerm (comport, read_data, read_cnt, read_term);
// read second line, which is response from com port
PGAIN[0]= '\0'; // Initialize PID strings to null
IGAIN[0]= '\0';
DGAIN[0]= '\0';
i = 0;
while(read_data[i] >= '0' && read_data[i] <='9'){
PGAIN[i] = read_data[i];
i++;
}
PGAIN[i] = '\0';
i++;
offset = i;
while(read_data[i] >= '0' && read_data[i] <='9'){
IGAIN[i-offset] = read_data[i];
i++;
}
IGAIN[i] = '\0';
i++;
offset = i;
while(read_data[i] >= '0' && read_data[i] <='9'){
DGAIN[i-offset] = read_data[i];
i++;
}
DGAIN[i] = '\0';
Delay(.1);
FlushInQ(comport); // flush any leftovers
FlushOutQ(comport);
SetCtrlVal (panel_handle, PANEL_Proportional, atoi(PGAIN));
// Set Control to match values.
SetCtrlVal (panel_handle, PANEL_Integral, atoi(IGAIN));
SetCtrlVal (panel_handle, PANEL_Derivative, atoi(DGAIN));
}
void Offset (void){
Delay(0.2);
FlushInQ(comport); // flush any leftovers from previous operations
FlushOutQ(comport);
send_data[0] = '\0';
strcat(send_data, "tune?\r");
stringsize = StringLength(send_data);
bytes_sent = ComWrt (comport, send_data, stringsize); // send data
Delay(0.2);
read_data[0] = '\0'; // read response
bytes_read = ComRdTerm (comport, read_data, read_cnt, read_term);
Delay(0.2);
read_data[0] = '\0'; // discard first line, which is "tune?"
```

```
bytes_read = ComRdTerm (comport, read_data, read_cnt, read_term);
// read second line, which is response from com port
Delay(0.2);
SetCtrlVal (panel_handle, PANEL_Offset, atof(read_data));
// display Tuning Offset in the main panel,
Delay(0.2);
}
void BegTMAX (void){ // Read TMAX to Interface
Delay(0.2);
FlushInQ(comport); // flush any leftovers from previous operations
FlushOutQ(comport);
send_data[0] = '\0';
strcat(send_data, "tmax?\r");
stringsize = StringLength(send_data);
bytes_sent = ComWrt (comport, send_data, stringsize);
read_data[0] = '\0'; // discard first line, which is ""
bytes_read = ComRdTerm (comport, read_data, read_cnt, read_term);
read_data[0] = '\0'; // read second line, which is response from com port
bytes_read = ComRdTerm (comport, read_data, read_cnt, read_term);
SetCtrlVal (panel_handle, PANEL_tmaxF, atof(read_data));
// display tmax in the main panel, in double form
SetCtrlVal (panel_handle, PANEL_TMAXSETTING, atof(read_data));
// display tmax in control box
Delay(0.2);
}
void FirstTemp (void){
Delay(0.2);
FlushInQ(comport);
FlushOutQ(comport);
send_data[0] = '\0';
strcat(send_data, "tact?\r");
stringsize = StringLength(send_data);
bytes_sent = ComWrt (comport, send_data, stringsize);
// send data
read_data[0] = '\0'; // discard first line, which is ""
bytes_read = ComRdTerm (comport, read_data, read_cnt, read_term);
read_data[0] = '\0'; // read second line, which is response from com port
bytes_read = ComRdTerm (comport, read_data, read_cnt, read_term);
SetCtrlVal (panel_handle, PANEL_CURTEMP, atof(read_data));
    // Thermometer
SetCtrlVal (panel_handle, PANEL_newtemp, atof(read_data));
// Indicator below Thermometer
Delay(0.2);
}
void SetToNormal (void){
Delay(0.2);
FlushInQ(comport);
FlushOutQ(comport);
send_data[0] = '\0';
strcpy(send_data, "mode=normal\r");
stringsize = StringLength(send_data);
bytes_sent = ComWrt (comport, send_data, stringsize);
Delay(0.2);
```

```
FlushInQ(comport);
FlushOutQ(comport);
}
void AllEnable (void){
Delay(0.2);
FlushInQ(comport);
FlushOutQ(comport);
strcpy(send_data, "stat?\r");
stringsize = StringLength(send_data);
bytes_sent = ComWrt (comport, send_data, stringsize);
read_data[0] = '\0';
bytes_read = ComRdTerm (comport, read_data, read_cnt, read_term);
read_data[0] = '\0';
bytes_read = ComRdTerm (comport, read_data, 2, read_term);
status_byte = 0x10*read_data[0] + read_data[1];
Delay(0.2);
if((status_byte & 0x01) == 0){
FlushInQ(comport);
FlushOutQ(comport);
strcpy(send_data, "ens\r");
stringsize = StringLength(send_data);
bytes_sent = ComWrt (comport, send_data, stringsize);
}
}
void AllDisable (void){
Delay(0.2);
FlushInQ(comport);
FlushOutQ(comport);
strcpy(send_data, "stat?\r");
stringsize = StringLength(send_data);
bytes_sent = ComWrt (comport, send_data, stringsize);
read_data[0] = '\0';
bytes_read = ComRdTerm (comport, read_data, read_cnt, read_term);
read_data[0] = '\0';
bytes_read = ComRdTerm (comport, read_data, 2, read_term);
status_byte = 0x10*read_data[0] + read_data[1];
Delay(0.2);
if((status_byte & 0x01) != 0){
FlushInQ(comport);
FlushOutQ(comport);
strcpy(send_data, "ens\r");
stringsize = StringLength(send_data);
bytes_sent = ComWrt (comport, send_data, stringsize);
}
}
void BegTset (void){
Delay(0.2);
FlushInQ(comport);
FlushOutQ(comport);
send_data[0] = '\0'; // send data
strcat(send_data, "tset?\r");
stringsize = StringLength(send_data);
bytes_sent = ComWrt (comport, send_data, stringsize);
```
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// read response
    // discard first line, which is ""
read_data[0] = '\0';
bytes_read = ComRdTerm (comport, read_data, read_cnt, read_term);
    // read second line, which is response from com port
read_data[0] = '\0';
bytes_read = ComRdTerm (comport, read_data, read_cnt, read_term);
    // flush any leftovers
Delay(0.2);
FlushInQ(comport);
FlushOutQ(comport);
SetCtrlVal (panel_handle, PANEL_TempSet, atof(read_data));
}
```


## A. 6 Sellmeier Curve Solving Program

The following is an Igor procedure file used to solve the temperatures for quasi phase matching for the PPLN for difference frequency generation.
\#pragma rtGlobals=1 // Use modern global access method.
// Main program to solve the temperature for the entire range of wavelengths. function WavelengthsAux(IRwavelength, Period)
variable Period
variable IRwavelength
variable TiSapph
variable $\mathrm{YAG}=1.064$
variable c=299792458
variable Temperature
TISapph $=(\mathrm{c} /((\mathrm{c} /(\text { IRwavelength*0.000001 }))+\mathrm{c} /(\text { YAG } / 1000000)))^{*} 1000000$
make $/ \mathrm{N}=4 / \mathrm{O}$ coeffs=IRwavelength, TiSapph, YAG, Period
findRoots/Q /L=50000 / H=150000 Solver,coeffs
Temperature $=.5^{*}\left(-546.32+\operatorname{Sqrt}\left(546.32 \hat{2}+4^{*}\left(546.32^{*} 24.5+\right.\right.\right.$ V_Root $\left.\left.)\right)\right)$
$/ /$ make $/ \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{N}=(2000,13)$ TemperatureArray=Wavelengths $\left(2.8+.001^{*} \mathrm{x}, 20+.25^{*} \mathrm{y}\right)$
$/ /$ make $/ \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{N}=2000$ XWave $=2.5+.001^{*} \mathrm{x}$
return Temperature
end
// Solves temperature for individual wavelength
function Solver(dummyS, f)
wave dummyS // dummyS[0] = lambdaIR, dummyS[1]= lambdaT, dummyS[2]=LambdaY, dummyS[3]=Period variable f
wave coeffir
wave coeffT
wave coeffY
make $/ \mathrm{N}=1 / \mathrm{O}$ coeffIR $=$ dummyS $[0]$
make $/ \mathrm{N}=1 / \mathrm{O}$ coeffT $=$ dummyS[1]
make $/ \mathrm{N}=1 / \mathrm{O}$ coeffY $=$ dummyS[2]
return $1 /(\mathrm{n}($ coeffT,f $) /$ dummyS[1] - $\mathrm{n}(\operatorname{coeffY,f)/dummyS[2]-n(coeffIR,f)/dummyS[0])-dummyS[3]}$
end
// Determines the index of refraction
function n (dummy, f )
wave dummy //dummyT[0]=lambdaT
variable f
wave wave1
return $\operatorname{Sqrt}\left(\right.$ wave1 $[0]+$ wave1 $\left.[6]^{*} \mathrm{f}+\left(\text { wave1 }[1]+\text { wave1 }^{2} 7\right]^{*} \mathrm{f}\right) /\left(\right.$ dummy $[0]^{\wedge}-\left(\right.$ wave1 $[2]+$ wave1 $\left.\left.[8]^{*} \mathrm{f}\right) \wedge 2\right)+($ wave1[3]

+ wave1[9]*f)/(dummy[0]~ 2-wave1[4]~ 2)-wave1[5]*dummy[0]~ 2)
end
// Sets up temperature array
function Wavelengths(IRwavelength, Period)
variable IRwavelength
variable Period
variable i
variable j
make $/ \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{N}=(2500,13)$ TemperatureArray
make $/ \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{N}=2500$ XWave
for $(\mathrm{i}=0 ; \mathrm{i} ; 2500 ; \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{i}+1)$
XWave[i] = IRwavelength $+\mathrm{i}^{*} 0.001$
for $(\mathrm{j}=0 ; \mathrm{j}<13 ; \mathrm{j}=\mathrm{j}+1)$
TemperatureArray $[\mathrm{i}][\mathrm{j}]=$ WavelengthsAux(IRwavelength $+\mathrm{i}^{*} 0.001$, Period $+\mathrm{j}^{*} 0.25$ )
endfor
endfor
end


## A. 7 Sellmeier DFG Temperature Lookup Table

Table A.1: PPLN Temperature for DFG quasi phase matching per poling period.

| Pump |  | Idler | Poling Period ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\lambda(\mathrm{nm})$ | $\bar{\nu}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | $\lambda(\mu \mathrm{m})$ | 20 | 20.25 | 20.5 | 20.75 | 21 | 21.25 | 21.5 | 21.75 | 22 | 22.25 | 22.5 | 22.75 |
| 763.231 | 3703.7 | 2.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 764.028 | 3690.0 | 2.71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 764.82 | 3676.5 | 2.72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 765.609 | 3663.0 | 2.73 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 766.393 | 3649.6 | 2.74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 767.174 | 3636.4 | 2.75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 767.95 | 3623.2 | 2.76 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 768.722 | 3610.1 | 2.77 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 769.49 | 3597.1 | 2.78 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 770.254 | 3584.2 | 2.79 | 108.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 771.014 | 3571.4 | 2.8 | 119.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 771.771 | 3558.7 | 2.81 | 130.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 772.523 | 3546.1 | 2.82 | 140.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 773.272 | 3533.6 | 2.83 | 150.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 774.016 | 3521.1 | 2.84 | 160.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 774.757 | 3508.8 | 2.85 | 170 | 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 775.494 | 3496.5 | 2.86 | 179.3 | 120.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 776.228 | 3484.3 | 2.87 | 188.3 | 130.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 776.957 | 3472.2 | 2.88 | 197.2 | 140.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 777.683 | 3460.2 | 2.89 |  | 149.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 778.406 | 3448.3 | 2.9 |  | 159.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Continu | ed on next | page. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A. 1 continued.

| Pump | Idler | Idler | Poling Period ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\lambda(\mathrm{nm})$ | $\bar{\nu}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | $\lambda(\mu \mathrm{m})$ | 20 | 20.25 | 20.5 | 20.75 | 21 | 21.25 | 21.5 | 21.75 | 22 | 22.25 | 22.5 | 22.75 |
| 779.124 | 3436.4 | 2.91 |  | 168.1 | 108.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 779.839 | 3424.7 | 2.92 |  | 176.9 | 117.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 780.551 | 3413.0 | 2.93 |  | 185.4 | 127.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 781.259 | 3401.4 | 2.94 |  | 193.8 | 136.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 781.963 | 3389.8 | 2.95 |  |  | 145.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 782.664 | 3378.4 | 2.96 |  |  | 154.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 783.361 | 3367.0 | 2.97 |  |  | 163.1 | 102.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 784.055 | 3355.7 | 2.98 |  |  | 171.4 | 111.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 784.746 | 3344.5 | 2.99 |  |  | 179.5 | 120.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 785.433 | 3333.3 | 3 |  |  | 187.4 | 129.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 786.117 | 3322.3 | 3.01 |  |  | 195.1 | 138.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 786.797 | 3311.3 | 3.02 |  |  |  | 146.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 787.474 | 3300.3 | 3.03 |  |  |  | 154.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 788.148 | 3289.5 | 3.04 |  |  |  | 162.7 | 102.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 788.819 | 3278.7 | 3.05 |  |  |  | 170.4 | 110.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 789.486 | 3268.0 | 3.06 |  |  |  | 178 | 119.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 790.15 | 3257.3 | 3.07 |  |  |  | 185.3 | 127.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 790.811 | 3246.8 | 3.08 |  |  |  | 192.5 | 135.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 791.468 | 3236.3 | 3.09 |  |  |  | 199.5 | 143.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 792.123 | 3225.8 | 3.1 |  |  |  |  | 150.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 792.774 | 3215.4 | 3.11 |  |  |  |  | 158.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 793.423 | 3205.1 | 3.12 |  |  |  |  | 165.3 | 105.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 794.068 | 3194.9 | 3.13 |  |  |  |  | 172.4 | 113 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 794.71 | 3184.7 | 3.14 |  |  |  |  | 179.2 | 120.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 795.349 | 3174.6 | 3.15 |  |  |  |  | 185.9 | 128.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 795.985 | 3164.6 | 3.16 |  |  |  |  | 192.5 | 135.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 796.618 | 3154.6 | 3.17 |  |  |  |  | 198.9 | 142.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 797.248 | 3144.7 | 3.18 |  |  |  |  |  | 149.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 797.875 | 3134.8 | 3.19 |  |  |  |  |  | 156.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 798.499 | 3125.0 | 3.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 162.6 | 102 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 799.12 | 3115.3 | 3.21 |  |  |  |  |  | 169.1 | 109.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 799.739 | 3105.6 | 3.22 |  |  |  |  |  | 175.3 | 116.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 800.354 | 3096.0 | 3.23 |  |  |  |  |  | 181.5 | 123.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 801.089 | 3084.5 | 3.242 |  |  |  |  |  | 188.6 | 131 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 801.698 | 3075.0 | 3.252 |  |  |  |  |  | 194.4 | 137.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 802.304 | 3065.6 | 3.262 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 143.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 802.908 | 3056.2 | 3.272 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 149.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 803.509 | 3046.9 | 3.282 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 155.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 804.107 | 3037.7 | 3.292 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 161.7 | 100.7 |  |  |  |  |
| 804.702 | 3028.5 | 3.302 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 167.5 | 107.2 |  |  |  |  |
| 805.294 | 3019.3 | 3.312 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 173 | 113.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 805.884 | 3010.2 | 3.322 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 178.5 | 119.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 806.471 | 3001.2 | 3.332 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 183.8 | 125.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 807.056 | 2992.2 | 3.342 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 189.1 | 131.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 807.638 | 2983.3 | 3.352 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 194.2 | 136.9 |  |  |  |  |
| 808.217 | 2974.4 | 3.362 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 199.2 | 142.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 808.794 | 2965.6 | 3.372 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 147.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 809.368 | 2956.8 | 3.382 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 153.1 |  |  |  |  |
| 809.939 | 2948.1 | 3.392 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 158.2 |  |  |  |  |
| 810.508 | 2939.5 | 3.402 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 163.2 | 102 |  |  |  |
| 811.074 | 2930.8 | 3.412 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 168.1 | 107.6 |  |  |  |
| 811.638 | 2922.3 | 3.422 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 172.9 | 112.9 |  |  |  |
| 812.199 | 2913.8 | 3.432 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 177.6 | 118.1 |  |  |  |
| 812.758 | 2905.3 | 3.442 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 182.1 | 123.2 |  |  |  |
| 813.314 | 2896.9 | 3.452 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 186.6 | 128.2 |  |  |  |
| Continue | d on next | page... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A. 1 continued.

| Pump | Idler | Idler | Poling Period ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\lambda(\mathrm{nm})$ | $\bar{\nu}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | $\lambda(\mu \mathrm{m})$ | 20 | 20.25 | 20.5 | 20.75 | 21 | 21.25 | 21.5 | 21.75 | 22 | 22.25 | 22.5 | 22.75 |
| 813.868 | 2888.5 | 3.462 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 191 | 133 |  |  |  |
| 814.42 | 2880.2 | 3.472 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 195.3 | 137.7 |  |  |  |
| 814.969 | 2871.9 | 3.482 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 199.5 | 142.3 |  |  |  |
| 815.515 | 2863.7 | 3.492 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 146.8 |  |  |  |
| 816.06 | 2855.5 | 3.502 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 151.2 |  |  |  |
| 816.601 | 2847.4 | 3.512 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 155.5 |  |  |  |
| 817.141 | 2839.3 | 3.522 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 159.7 |  |  |  |
| 817.678 | 2831.3 | 3.532 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 163.8 | 102.1 |  |  |
| 818.213 | 2823.3 | 3.542 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 167.8 | 106.6 |  |  |
| 818.745 | 2815.3 | 3.552 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 171.7 | 110.9 |  |  |
| 819.275 | 2807.4 | 3.562 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 175.5 | 115.2 |  |  |
| 819.803 | 2799.6 | 3.572 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 179.2 | 119.3 |  |  |
| 820.329 | 2791.7 | 3.582 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 182.8 | 123.3 |  |  |
| 820.852 | 2784.0 | 3.592 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 186.4 | 127.2 |  |  |
| 821.373 | 2776.2 | 3.602 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 189.9 | 131.1 |  |  |
| 821.892 | 2768.6 | 3.612 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 193.2 | 134.8 |  |  |
| 822.409 | 2760.9 | 3.622 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 196.6 | 138.4 |  |  |
| 822.923 | 2753.3 | 3.632 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 199.8 | 142 |  |  |
| 823.436 | 2745.7 | 3.642 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 145.4 |  |  |
| 823.946 | 2738.2 | 3.652 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 148.8 |  |  |
| 824.454 | 2730.8 | 3.662 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 152.1 |  |  |
| 824.959 | 2723.3 | 3.672 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 155.3 |  |  |
| 825.463 | 2715.9 | 3.682 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 158.4 |  |  |
| 825.965 | 2708.6 | 3.692 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 161.4 |  |  |
| 826.464 | 2701.2 | 3.702 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 164.4 | 101.8 |  |
| 826.961 | 2694.0 | 3.712 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 167.3 | 105 |  |
| 827.457 | 2686.7 | 3.722 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 170.1 | 108.1 |  |
| 827.95 | 2679.5 | 3.732 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 172.8 | 111.1 |  |
| 828.441 | 2672.4 | 3.742 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 175.5 | 114.1 |  |
| 828.93 | 2665.3 | 3.752 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 178.1 | 116.9 |  |
| 829.417 | 2658.2 | 3.762 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 180.6 | 119.7 |  |
| 829.902 | 2651.1 | 3.772 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 183 | 122.4 |  |
| 830.385 | 2644.1 | 3.782 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 185.4 | 125 |  |
| 830.867 | 2637.1 | 3.792 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 187.7 | 127.5 |  |
| 831.346 | 2630.2 | 3.802 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 190 | 130 |  |
| 831.823 | 2623.3 | 3.812 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 192.2 | 132.4 |  |
| 832.298 | 2616.4 | 3.822 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 194.3 | 134.7 |  |
| 832.771 | 2609.6 | 3.832 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 196.4 | 136.9 |  |
| 833.243 | 2602.8 | 3.842 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 198.4 | 139.1 |  |
| 833.712 | 2596.1 | 3.852 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 141.2 |  |
| 834.179 | 2589.3 | 3.862 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 143.2 |  |
| 834.645 | 2582.6 | 3.872 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 145.2 |  |
| 835.109 | 2576.0 | 3.882 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 147 |  |
| 835.571 | 2569.4 | 3.892 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 148.9 |  |
| 836.031 | 2562.8 | 3.902 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 150.6 |  |
| 836.489 | 2556.2 | 3.912 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 152.3 |  |
| 836.945 | 2549.7 | 3.922 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 154 |  |
| 837.4 | 2543.2 | 3.932 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 155.5 |  |
| 837.852 | 2536.8 | 3.942 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 157 |  |
| 838.303 | 2530.4 | 3.952 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 158.5 |  |
| 838.752 | 2524.0 | 3.962 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 159.9 |  |
| 839.199 | 2517.6 | 3.972 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 161.2 |  |
| 839.645 | 2511.3 | 3.982 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 162.5 |  |
| 840.089 | 2505.0 | 3.992 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 163.7 |  |
| 840.531 | 2498.8 | 4.002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 164.8 |  |
| Continue | ed on next | page... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Pump | Idler | Idler | Poling Period ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\lambda(\mathrm{nm})$ | $\bar{\nu}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | $\lambda(\mu \mathrm{m})$ | 20 | 20.25 | 20.5 | 20.75 | 21 | 21.25 | 21.5 | 21.75 | 22 | 22.25 | 22.5 | 22.75 |
| 840.971 | 2492.5 | 4.012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 165.9 | 101.1 |
| 841.409 | 2486.3 | 4.022 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 167 | 102.2 |
| 841.846 | 2480.2 | 4.032 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 167.9 | 103.2 |
| 842.281 | 2474.0 | 4.042 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 168.9 | 104.2 |
| 842.715 | 2467.9 | 4.052 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 169.7 | 105.1 |
| 843.146 | 2461.8 | 4.062 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 170.6 | 105.9 |
| 843.576 | 2455.8 | 4.072 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 171.3 | 106.7 |
| 844.005 | 2449.8 | 4.082 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 172 | 107.4 |
| 844.431 | 2443.8 | 4.092 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 172.7 | 108 |
| 844.856 | 2437.8 | 4.102 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 173.2 | 108.6 |
| 845.28 | 2431.9 | 4.112 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 173.8 | 109.2 |
| 845.702 | 2426.0 | 4.122 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 174.3 | 109.6 |
| 846.122 | 2420.1 | 4.132 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 174.7 | 110 |
| 846.54 | 2414.3 | 4.142 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 175.1 | 110.3 |
| 846.957 | 2408.5 | 4.152 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 175.4 | 110.6 |
| 847.372 | 2402.7 | 4.162 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 175.7 | 110.8 |
| 847.786 | 2396.9 | 4.172 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 175.9 | 111 |
| 848.198 | 2391.2 | 4.182 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 176.1 | 111.1 |
| 848.609 | 2385.5 | 4.192 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 176.2 | 111.1 |
| 849.018 | 2379.8 | 4.202 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 176.3 | 111.1 |
| 849.425 | 2374.2 | 4.212 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 176.3 | 111 |
| 849.831 | 2368.6 | 4.222 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 176.2 | 110.9 |
| 850.236 | 2363.0 | 4.232 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 176.2 | 110.7 |
| 850.639 | 2357.4 | 4.242 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 176 | 110.4 |
| 851.04 | 2351.8 | 4.252 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 175.8 | 110.1 |
| 851.44 | 2346.3 | 4.262 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 175.6 | 109.7 |
| 851.838 | 2340.8 | 4.272 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 175.3 | 109.3 |
| 852.235 | 2335.4 | 4.282 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 175 | 108.8 |
| 852.63 | 2329.9 | 4.292 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 174.6 | 108.2 |
| 853.024 | 2324.5 | 4.302 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 174.1 | 107.6 |
| 853.417 | 2319.1 | 4.312 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 173.6 | 106.9 |
| 853.808 | 2313.7 | 4.322 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 173.1 | 106.2 |
| 854.197 | 2308.4 | 4.332 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 172.5 | 105.4 |
| 854.585 | 2303.1 | 4.342 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 171.8 | 104.5 |
| 854.972 | 2297.8 | 4.352 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 171.1 | 103.6 |
| 855.357 | 2292.5 | 4.362 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 170.3 | 102.6 |
| 855.741 | 2287.3 | 4.372 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 169.5 | 101.5 |
| 856.123 | 2282.1 | 4.382 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 168.7 | 100.4 |
| 856.504 | 2276.9 | 4.392 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 167.7 |  |
| 856.884 | 2271.7 | 4.402 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 166.8 |  |
| 857.262 | 2266.6 | 4.412 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 165.8 |  |
| 857.639 | 2261.4 | 4.422 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 164.7 |  |
| 858.015 | 2256.3 | 4.432 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 163.6 |  |
| 858.389 | 2251.2 | 4.442 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 162.4 |  |
| 858.761 | 2246.2 | 4.452 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 161.1 |  |
| 859.133 | 2241.2 | 4.462 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 159.8 |  |
| 859.503 | 2236.1 | 4.472 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 158.5 |  |
| 859.872 | 2231.2 | 4.482 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 157.1 |  |
| 860.239 | 2226.2 | 4.492 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 155.6 |  |
| 860.605 | 2221.2 | 4.502 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 154.1 |  |
| 860.97 | 2216.3 | 4.512 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 152.5 |  |
| 861.333 | 2211.4 | 4.522 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 150.9 |  |
| 861.695 | 2206.5 | 4.532 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 149.2 |  |
| 862.056 | 2201.7 | 4.542 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 147.5 |  |
| 862.416 | 2196.8 | 4.552 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 145.7 |  |
| Continue | d on next | page... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A. 1 continued.

| Pump | Idler | Idler | Poling Period ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\lambda(\mathrm{nm})$ | $\bar{\nu}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | $\lambda(\mu \mathrm{m})$ | 20 | 20.25 | 20.5 | 20.75 | 21 | 21.25 | 21.5 | 21.75 | 22 | 22.25 | 22.5 | 22.75 |
| 862.774 | 2192.0 | 4.562 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 143.8 |  |
| 863.131 | 2187.2 | 4.572 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 141.9 |  |
| 863.487 | 2182.5 | 4.582 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 139.9 |  |
| 863.842 | 2177.7 | 4.592 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 137.9 |  |
| 864.195 | 2173.0 | 4.602 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 135.8 |  |
| 864.547 | 2168.3 | 4.612 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 133.6 |  |
| 864.898 | 2163.6 | 4.622 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 198.2 | 131.4 |  |
| 865.247 | 2158.9 | 4.632 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 196.3 | 129.1 |  |
| 865.596 | 2154.2 | 4.642 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 194.3 | 126.7 |  |
| 865.943 | 2149.6 | 4.652 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 192.3 | 124.3 |  |
| 866.289 | 2145.0 | 4.662 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 190.2 | 121.8 |  |
| 866.633 | 2140.4 | 4.672 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 188 | 119.2 |  |
| 866.977 | 2135.8 | 4.682 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 185.8 | 116.6 |  |
| 867.319 | 2131.3 | 4.692 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 183.6 | 113.9 |  |
| 867.66 | 2126.8 | 4.702 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 181.3 | 111.1 |  |
| 868 | 2122.2 | 4.712 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 178.9 | 108.2 |  |
| 868.339 | 2117.8 | 4.722 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 176.5 | 105.3 |  |
| 868.676 | 2113.3 | 4.732 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 174 | 102.3 |  |
| 869.013 | 2108.8 | 4.742 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 171.5 |  |  |
| 869.348 | 2104.4 | 4.752 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 168.9 |  |  |
| 869.682 | 2100.0 | 4.762 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 166.2 |  |  |
| 870.015 | 2095.6 | 4.772 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 163.5 |  |  |
| 870.347 | 2091.2 | 4.782 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 160.7 |  |  |
| 870.678 | 2086.8 | 4.792 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 157.8 |  |  |
| 871.007 | 2082.5 | 4.802 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 154.9 |  |  |
| 871.336 | 2078.1 | 4.812 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 151.9 |  |  |
| 871.663 | 2073.8 | 4.822 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 148.8 |  |  |
| 871.989 | 2069.5 | 4.832 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 145.7 |  |  |
| 872.314 | 2065.3 | 4.842 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 142.5 |  |  |
| 872.638 | 2061.0 | 4.852 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 139.2 |  |  |
| 872.961 | 2056.8 | 4.862 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 135.8 |  |  |
| 873.283 | 2052.6 | 4.872 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 132.4 |  |  |
| 873.604 | 2048.3 | 4.882 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 198.8 | 128.9 |  |  |
| 873.923 | 2044.2 | 4.892 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 195.8 | 125.3 |  |  |
| 874.242 | 2040.0 | 4.902 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 192.7 | 121.6 |  |  |
| 874.56 | 2035.8 | 4.912 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 189.6 | 117.8 |  |  |
| 874.876 | 2031.7 | 4.922 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 186.3 | 114 |  |  |
| 875.191 | 2027.6 | 4.932 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 183.1 | 110 |  |  |
| 875.506 | 2023.5 | 4.942 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 179.7 | 106 |  |  |
| 875.819 | 2019.4 | 4.952 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 176.3 | 101.9 |  |  |
| 876.131 | 2015.3 | 4.962 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 172.8 |  |  |  |
| 876.443 | 2011.3 | 4.972 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 169.2 |  |  |  |
| 876.753 | 2007.2 | 4.982 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 165.6 |  |  |  |
| 877.062 | 2003.2 | 4.992 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 161.9 |  |  |  |
| 877.37 | 1999.2 | 5.002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 158.1 |  |  |  |
| 877.739 | 1994.4 | 5.014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 153.5 |  |  |  |
| 878.045 | 1990.5 | 5.024 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 149.5 |  |  |  |
| 878.35 | 1986.5 | 5.034 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 145.5 |  |  |  |
| 878.654 | 1982.6 | 5.044 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 141.3 |  |  |  |
| 878.957 | 1978.6 | 5.054 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 137.1 |  |  |  |
| 879.258 | 1974.7 | 5.064 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 132.8 |  |  |  |
| 879.559 | 1970.8 | 5.074 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 128.4 |  |  |  |
| 879.859 | 1967.0 | 5.084 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 196.9 | 123.9 |  |  |  |
| 880.158 | 1963.1 | 5.094 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 193.1 | 119.3 |  |  |  |
| 880.457 | 1959.3 | 5.104 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 189.1 | 114.6 |  |  |  |
| Continue | d on next | page... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A. 1 continued.

| Pump | Idler | Idler | Poling Period ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\lambda(\mathrm{nm})$ | $\bar{\nu}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | $\lambda(\mu \mathrm{m})$ | 20 | 20.25 | 20.5 | 20.75 | 21 | 21.25 | 21.5 | 21.75 | 22 | 22.25 | 22.5 | 22.75 |
| 880.754 | 1955.4 | 5.114 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 185.1 | 109.8 |  |  |  |
| 881.05 | 1951.6 | 5.124 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 181 | 104.9 |  |  |  |
| 881.345 | 1947.8 | 5.134 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 176.9 |  |  |  |  |
| 881.639 | 1944.0 | 5.144 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 172.6 |  |  |  |  |
| 881.932 | 1940.2 | 5.154 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 168.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 882.225 | 1936.5 | 5.164 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 163.9 |  |  |  |  |
| 882.516 | 1932.7 | 5.174 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 159.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 883.096 | 1925.3 | 5.194 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 150.1 |  |  |  |  |

## A. 8 NICE-OHMS Optics Mounts



Figure A.5: Adapter plates for mounting the 1st and 2nd EOM's to rotation stages.

## Appendix B

# Appendix for Optical Frequency Comb 

## B. 1 Resetting Mode Lock

In most cases the mode-lock should be fairly stable and self-sustaining. And in most cases, if the fs laser is turned off, when turned on again it will return to the same mode locked state. However, sometimes power outages will cause changes in the fs laser which require a new mode-locked state to be found. If the laser does not automatically achieve mode lock (as indicated by a triple beep and the indicator light turning on - see Figure B.1) after about 5 minutes of trying, a new mode lock state will probably need to be attempted. First ensure that the values of the PControl laser sliders have been written down. Then attempt to correct the values back to the most recent "good" mode-lock state. Then try moving the sliders by 5 clicks in either direction to see of the mode lock space just shifted around a bit.


Figure B.1: Mode-lock indicator and "PControl Laser" sliders. A green indicator means that the control is active. For instance, the "laser" indicator being illuminated green means that the fs laser is being pumped. A green indicator on the "mode-locked" indicator means the mode-lock state has been achieved. The "PControl Laser" sliders indicate the positions of intracavity wedge controllers that change the polarization state of the fiber laser.

If no mode-lock is achieved by returning to the laser "good" values and the values near them, it may be necessary to start a scramble. Under the drop down menus for the main Fiber-comb program, choose the setup menu, and select fiber-laser. The "scrambler" dialogue (see Figure B.2) will then open. Pressing the "Reset" button discards the current mode locked state (if available) and starts searching for the next one. The "Halt" button disables the scrambling and auto-scrambling. The "Auto-ON"/"Auto OFF" buttons determine if the unit automatically scrambles when mode-lock is lost. Therefore "Auto ON" should seldom be pushed. "Enable ON" and "Enable OFF" turns the scrambler unit on and off, respectively. Therefore, sometimes one should press "Reset", and if the unit does not begin searching, press "Enable On" as well. The unit should stop once it finds a good mode locked space.

Write down those values, as well as the AC and DC values. Then go through the Offset beat section to see if a strong offset beat is formed. If the AC level is not above 1400 , the DC value is not above 850 , or there is not a strong offset beat formed, the mode-lock state will not work well, and a new mode-lock state should be searched for. Through experience, one will find that the sliders will ultimately be in approximately the same place in order to get the best mode-lock state, but sometimes a scramble is required to find those values. Just keep nudging the directions towards where it used to be. For further instructions, please refer to the "Femtosecond Fiber Laser User Manual". [10]


Figure B.2: "Scramble Dialogue" with the controls for starting a scramble (reset) stopping a scramble (halt). One should not press "Auto ON", and one may press "Enable ON" or "Enable OFF" as needed.

## B. 2 Photonic Crystal Fiber XYZ Stage Alignment

Often the output light from the frequency doubling SHG crystal is well collimated through the fiber. When large movements are made to the comb, or disastrous mechanical motion to the oven photonic crystal fiber (PCF) stage is made, one will need to realign the XYZ stage. Begin by ensuring that approximately 80 mW of SHG light is exiting the SHG stage and entering the XYZ stage area by using the Thorlabs power meter as described in Section 3.2.5. Place a white business card where the output of the PCF fiber should be visible and view the card with the IR viewer. If very small manual adjustments of the XYZ stage do not bring light through the fiber, you will probably need to remove the microscope objective. Center the XYZ computer control of the XYZ stages by pressing the " 38 V" button on the CW Beat Control 1. Translate the output objective very far from the PCF so that it is not damaged when the objective is removed. Once the objective is removed, light exiting the PCF may be visible with the IR viewer. If the beam passing through is very small, it means that the input light is focused into the cladding. Adjust the X and Y micrometers until the light going through is very bright and the beam is very large. A large beam means that the light is coupled into the core of the fiber, which is only $2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter. Sometimes it is helpful to move the XYZ stage away from the input lens by two turns because by de-focusing the light, it is easier to get some light through
the core. This is accomplished by turning the micrometer for the Z direction counter-clockwise (when viewed from the end of the micrometer). Once the X and Y directions have been optimized, the Z direction can be returned to its original value and optimized. The light is fully optimized when it is as bright, and as white, as possible. The objective should be inspected, and cleaned with the drag and drop method as needed. The objective is then inserted (when physically removed from the fiber) and moved inward until the output beam is collimated at large distances. The XYZ stage is then optimized further manually with the micrometers, and using computer control for the brightest, whitest spot.

## B. 3 Enabling XML-RPC Server

In order for the LabVIEW spectroscopy program to be able to read the values from the frequency comb, the Menlosystems Fiber Comb Control (V4.21) must have the correct value in the setup file. Below is an example of the setup file, with the modified code to enable the XML-RPC server located at the end in bold. The filename is Fibercomb.setup and is located at "C:\Program Files $\backslash$ MenloSystems $\backslash$ FiberComb $\backslash$ etc" on the frequency comb computer (IP 130.126.226.73). Note that the pound sign (\#) is the comment character for this type of file.

| [global] | AutoSimulate $=$ no |
| :---: | :---: |
| \# In the global section, specify | [BeatCalc] |
| \# - ConfigRevision : should state date and fibercomb ver- | FrequencyDRO $=980000000.000$ |
| sion, for documentation | ReprateHarmonic $=10$ |
| \# - ProjectID : for internal use | CWBeatChannels $=2$ |
| \# - Synthesizer : name of the section defining synthesizer | CWBeat0.Name $=$ VIS |
| hardware | CWBeat0.Harmonic $=2$ |
| ConfigRevision $=$ 20080527-0.4.15 | CWBeat1. $\mathrm{Name}=\mathrm{IR}$ |
| ProjectID $=9210$ | [AC1550] |
| Synthesizer = DDS | \# optional |
| \# Debug $=$ on | \# specify Device Name for DDS control unit |
| [DAQmx] | \# (use 'Channel = none' to deactive) |
| NI6220 = Dev2 | DeviceName $=$ AC1550 A |
| NI6703 = Dev1 | [DDS] |
| [GuiHints] | \# optional |
| optional (defaults to 'WinSize = Auto') | \# specify Device Name for DDS frequency synthesizer |
| WinSize = Auto | DeviceName = DDS |
| Compact | \# [LockboxReprate] |
| Large | \# optional |
| [TC1550] | \#BreakOutBox_NI_6221::LB1 |
| \# required (defaults to Channel $=$ | [ActuatorReprate] |
| serial://COM1/baud=115200) | Device $=$ TC1550 |
| \# specify communication channel for TC1550 control unit | motor $=6$ |
| \# (use 'Channel = none' to deactive) | [BeatControlXPS] |
| Channel $=$ serial: $/ /$ COM2 $/$ baud $=115200$, timeout $=500$ | \# required |
| [FXM50] | \# by default, Lockbox is LB2 |
| \# optional | AmplifierSwitch = AC1550::LDMV_I |
| \# specify options for FXM counter | BeatSignal $=$ OffsetBeatSignal |
| \# in production systems, AutoSimulate should be turned | SqueezerAmp = SqueezerOffset |
| off! | \#Lockbox = LockboxOffsetBeat |
| Channel $=$ serial: $/ /$ COM3 $/$ baud $=38400$ | Actuator $=$ ActuatorOffsetBeat |
| Devices $=1$ | [OffsetBeatSignal] |

AutoSimulate $=$ no
[BeatCalc]
equencyDRO $=98000000.000$
Harmonic $=10$

CWBeat0
CWBeat0.Harmonic $=2$
CWBeat1.Name $=\mathrm{IR}$
[AC1550]
\# optional
\# specify Device Name for DDS control unit
[DDS]
\# optional
\# specify Device Name for DDS frequency synthesizer
\#[LockboxReprate]
\# optional
\#BreakOutBox_NI_6221::LB1
[ActuatorReprate]
Device $=$ TC1550
motor $=6$
ControlXPS
\# by default, Lockbox is LB2
AmplifierSwitch = AC1550::LDMV_I
eatSignal = OffsetBeatSignal
4U
Actuator $=$ ActuatorOffsetBeat
[OffsetBeatSignal]

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Channel = BreakOutBox_NI_6221::RFDET } \\ & \text { [SqueezerOffset] } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Device = TC1550 |
|  | [ActuatorOffsetBeat] |
|  | Device $=$ TC1550 |
|  | motor $=4$ |
|  | \#[LockboxOffsetBeat] |
|  | \# optional |
|  | \#BreakOutBox_NI_6221::LB2 |
|  | [BeatControlCW1] |
|  | \# Aux channel is optional |
|  | \# specify Squeezers as required |
|  | \# lockbox and actuator are optional |
|  | Name = CW Beat Control 1 |
|  | AmplifierSwitch = AC1550::LDMV_II |
|  | BeatSignal = BeatSignalCW1 |
|  | \#Wavelength = VIS |
|  | BeatSignalAux $=$ BeatSignalCW2 |
|  | WavelengthAux $=1064 \mathrm{~nm}$ |
|  | SqueezerAmp = SqueezerAmpCW1 |
|  | SqueezerXyz = SqueezerXyzCW1 |
|  | Waveplate = WaveplateCW1 |
|  | \#Lockbox = LockboxCW1 |
|  | \#Actuator = ActuatorCW1 |
|  | [BeatSignalCW1] |
|  | Channel = BreakOutBox_NI_6221::AI1 |
|  | \#Valid.Min $=0.0$ |
|  | [BeatSignalCW2] |
|  | Channel = BreakOutBox_NI_6221::AI2 |
|  | \#Valid.Min $=0.0$ |
|  | [SqueezerAmpCW1] |
|  | Device $=$ HVA75 |
|  | Channel1 = BreakOutBox_NI_6703::AO0 |
|  | Channel2 = BreakOutBox_NI_6703::AO1 |
|  | Channel3 = BreakOutBox_NI_6703::AO2 |
|  | [SqueezerXyzCW1] |
|  | Device $=$ HVA75 |
|  | Channel1 = BreakOutBox_NI_6703::AO3 |
|  | Channel2 = BreakOutBox_NI_6703::AO4 |
|  | Channel3 = BreakOutBox_NI_6703::AO5 |
|  | [WaveplateCW1] |
|  | Device $=$ TC1550 |
|  | motor $=7$ |
|  | Limits $=$ off |
|  | \#[LockboxCW1] |
|  | \# optional |
|  | \#BreakOutBox_NI_6221::LB2 |
|  | [DataSave] |

Channel $0=$ true
Channel1 $=$ true
Channel2 $=$ true
Channel3 $=$ true
Channel $4=$ no
Channel5 $=$ no
Channel6 $=$ no
Channel7 = no
Time $=$ false
TimeStamp = true
Counter $=$ true
Phase $=$ false
Frequency = false
BeatSignal $=$ false
SystemLocked $=$ false
MotorPosition $=$ false
SqueezerXPS $=$ false
SqueezerSHG1 $=$ false
SqueezerSHG2 = false
Latency $=$ false
Interlock $=$ false
LockBoxVoltage $=$ false
Synthesizer $=$ false
[DataLog]
Channel0 $=$ true
Channel1 $=$ true
Channel2 $=$ true
Channel3 = true
Channel4 = no
Channel5 = no
Channel6 $=$ no
Channel7 $=$ no
Time $=$ true
TimeStamp $=$ true
Counter $=$ true
Phase $=$ false
Frequency $=$ true
BeatSignal $=$ true
SystemLocked $=$ true
MotorPosition $=$ true
SqueezerXPS = yes
SqueezerSHG1 $=$ yes
SqueezerSHG2 $=$ yes
Latency $=$ true
Interlock $=$ true
LockBoxVoltage $=$ true
Synthesizer $=$ true

```
[XmlRpcServer]
enable = true
port = 8123
verbosity = 1
login = comb
password = system
```


## B. 4 Reading Comb Values from XML server

A python script code was written inside of LabVIEW which queries the XML server to obtain the values necessary for using Equation 3.3 to determine the frequency of the laser. The text of this code is shown in Figure B.3.


Figure B.3: Python script used to extract frequency comb values from XML server.

## B. 5 List of Python Commands

A list of important python commands used in the script shown in Figure B. 3 is shown in Table B.1. A list of the remaining python commands used are shown in Table B.2.

| Command | Description | Unit | Type |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| counter0.freq | Counter channel 0 frequency (DMRR) | Hz | double |
| counter1.freq | Counter channel 1 frequency (Offset) | Hz | double |
| counter2.freq | Counter channel 2 frequency (Ti:Sapphire Beat) | Hz | double |
| counter3.freq | Counter channel 3 frequency (YAG Beat) | Hz | double |
| reprate.freq | The actual repetition rate of the comb (calculated from counter0) | Hz | double |
| reprate.freqref | Reference Frequency for Rep Rate (98,000,000 Hz) | Hz | double |
| timestamp.abs | Time of data taking in Unix Time | s | double |
| timestamp.abs.day | Day of data taking in format YYYY-MM-DD | string |  |
| timestamp.abs.time | Time of data taking in format HH:MM:SS (one second resolution) | string |  |

Table B.1: Important command set for XML-RPC in Fiber Comb Control v0.4.25.

Table B.2: Remaining command set for XML-RPC in Fiber Comb Control v0.4.25.

| Command | Description | Unit | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| system.interlock | System interlock status |  | boolean |
| system.locked | All relevant and active lockboxes are locked |  | boolean |
| CW2.freq | Optical frequency of CW source 2-depends on correct beat signs! | Hz | double |
| aux0 | Analog input source "aux0" | V | double |
| aux1 | Analog input source "aux1" | V | double |
| aux2 | Analog input source "aux2" | V | double |
| aux3 | Analog input source "aux3" | V | double |
| beat1.act.pos | Position of CW-beat-1 Actuator | V | double |
| beat1.lb.mon | Monitor output of CW-beat-1 LockBox | V | double |
| beat1.squeezer.x | Position of CW-beat-1 beat amplifier polarization control (x) | V | double |
| beat1.squeezer.y | Position of CW-beat-1 beat amplifier polarization control (y) | V | double |
| beat1.squeezer.z | Position of CW-beat-1 beat amplifier polarization control (z) | V | double |
| beat1.stage.x | Position of CW-beat-1 M-VIS stage (x) | V | double |
| beat1.stage.y | Position of CW-beat-1 M-VIS stage (y) | V | double |
| beat1.stage.z | Position of CW-beat-1 M-VIS stage (z) | V | double |
| beat1.waveplate | Position of CW-beat-1 beat waveplate actuator |  | double |
| beat2.act.pos | Position of CW-beat-2 Actuator | V | double |
| beat2.lb.mon | Monitor output of CW-beat-2 LockBox | V | double |
| beat2.squeezer.x | Position of CW-beat-2 beat amplifier polarization control (x) | V | double |
| beat2.squeezer.y | Position of CW-beat-2 beat amplifier polarization control (y) | V | double |
| beat2.squeezer.z | Position of CW-beat-2 beat amplifier polarization control (z) | V | double |
| beat2.stage.x | Position of CW-beat-2 M-VIS stage (x) | V | double |
| beat2.stage.y | Position of CW-beat-2 M-VIS stage (y) | V | double |
| beat2.stage.z | Position of CW-beat-2 M-VIS stage (z) | V | double |
| beat2.waveplate | Position of CW-beat-2 beat waveplate actuator |  | double |
| beat3.act.pos | Position of CW-beat-3 Actuator | V | double |
| beat3.lb.mon | Monitor output of CW-beat-3 LockBox | V | double |
| beat3.squeezer.x | Position of CW-beat-3 beat amplifier polarization control (x) | V | double |
| beat3.squeezer.y | Position of CW-beat-3 beat amplifier polarization control (y) | V | double |
| beat3.squeezer.z | Position of CW-beat-3 beat amplifier polarization control (z) | V | double |
| beat3.stage.x | Position of CW-beat-3 M-VIS stage (x) | V | double |
| beat3.stage.y | Position of CW-beat-3 M-VIS stage (y) | V | double |
| beat3.stage.z | Position of CW-beat-3 M-VIS stage (z) | V | double |
| beat3.waveplate | Position of CW-beat-3 beat waveplate actuator | V | double |
| beat4.act.pos | Position of CW-beat-4 Actuator | V | double |
| beat4.lb.mon | Monitor output of CW-beat-4 LockBox | V | double |
| Continued on next page... |  |  |  |

Table B. 2 continued.

| Command | Description | Unit | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| beat4.squeezer.x | Position of CW-beat-4 beat amplifier polarization control (x) | V | double |
| beat4.squeezer.y | Position of CW-beat-4 beat amplifier polarization control (y) | V | double |
| beat4.squeezer.z | Position of CW-beat-4 beat amplifier polarization control (z) | V | double |
| beat4.stage.x | Position of CW-beat-4 M-VIS stage (x) | V | double |
| beat4.stage.y | Position of CW-beat-4 M-VIS stage (y) | V | double |
| beat4.stage.z | Position of CW-beat-4 M-VIS stage (z) | V | double |
| beat4.waveplate | Position of CW-beat-4 beat waveplate actuator | V | double |
| counter.gatetime | Counter gate time | s | double |
| counter0.freqref | Reference frequency for reprate stabilization | Hz | double |
| counter2.signal | Signal strength of counter channel 2 input | V | double |
| counter1.freqref | Reference frequency for offset beat stabilization |  | double |
| counter1.signal | Signal strength of counter channel 1 input | V | double |
| counter3.signal | Signal strength of counter channel 3 input | V | double |
| lb1.mon | Monitor output of LockBox 1 (Offset beat) | V | double |
| lb2.mon | Monitor output of LockBox 2 (Reprate) | V | double |
| lb3.mon | Monitor output of LockBox 3 (CW beat 1) | V | double |
| lb4.mon | Monitor output of LockBox 4 (CW beat 2) | V | double |
| offset.freq | Offset beat frequency (see counter1) | V | double |
| offset.freqref | Reference frequency for offset beat stabilization | Hz | double |
| offset.squeezer.x | Position of XPS amplifier polarization control (x) | V | double |
| offset.squeezer.y | Position of XPS amplifier polarization control (y) | V | double |
| offset.squeezer.z | Position of XPS amplifier polarization control (z) | V | double |
| offset.stage | Position for long term shift compensator in offset beat stabilization |  | double |
| reprate.stage | Position for long term shift compensator in repetition rate stabilization |  | double |
| system.latency | data acquisition execution time (Should be well below 1) | S | double |
| counter.channels | Number of available counter channels (numbered starting with 0) |  | int |
| beat1.lb.status | Status of CW-beat-1 LockBox (0 off, 1 unlocked, 2 locked) |  | int |
| beat2.lb.status | Status of CW-beat-2 LockBox (0 off, 1 unlocked, 2 locked) |  | int |
| beat3.lb.status | Status of CW-beat-3 LockBox (0 off, 1 unlocked, 2 locked) |  | int |
| beat4.lb.status | Status of CW-beat-4 LockBox (0 off, 1 unlocked, 2 locked) |  | int |
| lb1.status | Status of LockBox 1 (Offset beat) (0 off, 1 unlocked, 2 locked) |  | int |
| lb2.status | Status of LockBox 2 (Reprate) (0 off, 1 unlocked, 2 locked) |  | int |
| lb3.status | Status of LockBox 3 (CW beat 1) (0 off, 1 unlocked, 2 locked) |  | int |
| lb4.status | Status of LockBox 4 (CW beat 2) (0 off, 1 unlocked, 2 locked) |  | int |

## Appendix C

## Appendix for Spectroscopic Methods

## C. 1 Phase Shifter Calibration

The 150 MHz RF phase shifter was calibrated by recording the change in relative phase shift between an RF signal at 113 MHz passing through the RF phase shifter and a reference line, and is shown in Table C.1.

| Voltage | $\phi$ | Voltage | $\phi$ | Voltage | $\phi$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.14 | 0 | 5.31 | 79.3 | 7.39 | 188.2 |
| 0.41 | 0.7 | 5.42 | 83.7 | 7.54 | 194.3 |
| 1.01 | 4.2 | 5.50 | 87.7 | 7.75 | 200.7 |
| 1.66 | 8.7 | 5.69 | 96.2 | 7.96 | 206.2 |
| 2.18 | 13.7 | 5.79 | 101.2 | 8.14 | 210.4 |
| 2.73 | 18.7 | 5.90 | 107.7 | 8.20 | 211.7 |
| 3.05 | 22.7 | 5.95 | 109.7 | 8.29 | 213.8 |
| 3.51 | 29.7 | 6.01 | 112.7 | 8.41 | 215.7 |
| 3.74 | 33.3 | 6.02 | 113.7 | 8.65 | 219.3 |
| 4.07 | 40.2 | 6.11 | 117.7 | 8.94 | 222.7 |
| 4.11 | 41.6 | 6.2 | 124 | 9.26 | 225.7 |
| 4.24 | 44.3 | 6.31 | 131.2 | 9.6 | 227.7 |
| 4.37 | 47.6 | 6.39 | 135.7 | 10 | 229.7 |
| 4.45 | 49.3 | 6.51 | 142.7 | 10.54 | 232.8 |
| 4.56 | 51.94 | 6.62 | 148.7 | 11 | 234.7 |
| 4.71 | 56.7 | 6.7 | 153 | 12 | 236.9 |
| 4.82 | 60.7 | 6.82 | 160.7 | 12.25 | 238.272 |
| 4.94 | 64.7 | 6.9 | 164.7 | 12.5 | 238.372 |
| 5.09 | 70.5 | 7.04 | 172 | 12.75 | 238.472 |
| 5.24 | 76.2 | 7.15 | 178.1 | 13 | 238.572 |

Table C.1: Phase shifter calibration data with the phase shift $(\phi)$ as a function of voltage.

The data was fit to a thirteen order polynomial in the voltage $(\mathrm{V})$ applied to the phase shifter.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi=a+b V+c V^{2}+d V^{3}+e V^{4}+f V^{5}+g V^{6}+h V^{7}+h V^{8}+i V^{9}+j V^{10}+k V^{11}+l V^{12} \tag{C.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the coefficients being 4.0262, $-40.223,115.87,-132.86,83.014,-30.927,7.2506,-1.096,0.10717,-0.0066355$, $0.00024496,-4.6813 \mathrm{e}-06,3.1141 \mathrm{e}-08$. Using that fit function, a lookup table was constructed which indicates what phase shift corresponds to what voltage and is found in Table C.2.

| Voltage | $\phi$ | Voltage | $\phi$ | Voltage | $\phi$ | Voltage | $\phi$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.2 | 3 | 12 | 6 | 117 | 9 | 224.7 |
| 0.1 | 0.2 | 3.1 | 14 | 6.1 | 122 | 9.1 | 226.1 |
| 0.2 | 0.2 | 3.2 | 15 | 6.2 | 127 | 9.2 | 227.4 |
| 0.3 | 0.3 | 3.3 | 17 | 6.3 | 132 | 9.3 | 228.6 |
| 0.4 | 0.3 | 3.4 | 19 | 6.4 | 137 | 9.4 | 229.7 |
| 0.5 | 0.4 | 3.5 | 21 | 6.5 | 142 | 9.5 | 230.6 |
| 0.6 | 0.4 | 3.6 | 23 | 6.6 | 147 | 9.6 | 231.5 |
| 0.7 | 0.5 | 3.7 | 25 | 6.7 | 152 | 9.7 | 232.3 |
| 0.8 | 0.6 | 3.8 | 28 | 6.8 | 157 | 9.8 | 233.0 |
| 0.9 | 0.7 | 3.9 | 30 | 6.9 | 161 | 9.9 | 233.7 |
| 1 | 0.9 | 4 | 33 | 7 | 166 | 10 | 234.2 |
| 1.1 | 1.0 | 4.1 | 36 | 7.1 | 170 | 10.1 | 234.7 |
| 1.2 | 1.2 | 4.2 | 39 | 7.2 | 175 | 10.2 | 235.2 |
| 1.3 | 1.4 | 4.3 | 42 | 7.3 | 179 | 10.3 | 235.6 |
| 1.4 | 1.6 | 4.4 | 45 | 7.4 | 183 | 10.4 | 236.0 |
| 1.5 | 1.8 | 4.5 | 49 | 7.5 | 186 | 10.5 | 236.3 |
| 1.6 | 2.1 | 4.6 | 53 | 7.6 | 190 | 10.6 | 236.6 |
| 1.7 | 2.5 | 4.7 | 57 | 7.7 | 194 | 10.7 | 236.8 |
| 1.8 | 2.8 | 4.8 | 61 | 7.8 | 197 | 10.8 | 237.0 |
| 1.9 | 3.2 | 4.9 | 65 | 7.9 | 200 | 10.9 | 237.2 |
| 2 | 3.7 | 5 | 69 | 8 | 203 | 11 | 237.4 |
| 2.1 | 4.2 | 5.1 | 73 | 8.1 | 206 | 11.1 | 237.5 |
| 2.2 | 4.8 | 5.2 | 78 | 8.2 | 209 | 11.2 | 237.6 |
| 2.3 | 5.5 | 5.3 | 83 | 8.3 | 211 | 11.3 | 237.8 |
| 2.4 | 6.2 | 5.4 | 87 | 8.4 | 214 | 11.4 | 237.8 |
| 2.5 | 7.0 | 5.5 | 92 | 8.5 | 216 | 11.5 | 237.9 |
| 2.6 | 7.9 | 5.6 | 97 | 8.6 | 218 | 11.6 | 238.0 |
| 2.7 | 8.9 | 5.7 | 102 | 8.7 | 220 | 11.7 | 238.0 |
| 2.8 | 10.0 | 5.8 | 107 | 8.8 | 222 | 11.8 | 238.1 |
| 2.9 | 11.2 | 5.9 | 112 | 8.9 | 223 | 11.9 | 238.1 |

Table C.2: Phase shifter lookup table.

## C. 2 Laser Table Modifications

As the ion beam instrument was built on a separate base structure, the laser table needed to be extended to build a cavity around the instrument. Therefore, additional breadboards were cantilevered off of the end sides of the laser table. An overview of the modifications was given in Section 4.3. This section includes the details of how the laser table was modified. The SCS machine shop constructed four types of parts to modify the laser table: 1) top aluminum plates, 2) bottom aluminum plates, 3) angle washers, and 4) 80-20 supports to connect the top and bottom plates and keep them from vibrating. Schematic diagrams for these parts are shown in Figure C.1. The top aluminum plates are shown in part Figure C.1(a). The parts are $24 "$ long by 12 " tall and were rough cut. The two parts are essentially mirror images of one another, and are drilled with $121 / 4$ "-clearance holes countersunk to $1 / 2$ " diameter for 0.3 " deep. The bottom aluminum plates are two $1 / 2$ "-thick, 8 " $x 8$ " plates. The $8 \times$ angle washers are constructed from a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ aluminum rod that has a loose $1 / 4$ " clearance hole drilled through it. Then the part is cut at a $45^{\circ}$
angle. An example of the angle bracket is shown in red in Figure C.1(b). Four 1" x1" 80-20 extrusions were cut to $15.7^{\prime \prime}$ long with a $50^{\circ}$ bevel on each end, as illustrated in Figure C.1(b). Then a $1 / 4 "$ clearance hole was drilled perpendicular to the cut.


Figure C.1: Designs for modifications to ion beam laser table.

Once the parts were constructed, the bottom aluminum plates were attached to the laser table by drilling and tapping the bottom of the laser table, and drilling through the aluminum plates, and connecting them with screws. The top aluminum plates were connected directly to the laser table. Tapped holes were drilled into the top and bottom aluminum plates that could be connected by the $80-20$ supports. The angle washers were used to account for the angle between the support and the angle of the tap into the aluminum plates.

## C. 3 Brass Cavity Mounts I

This iteration of brass cavity mounts is not vacuum compatible. The detailed machining schematics are shown in Figure C.2. The mounts are composed of a back plate (C.2(a)) which are attached with screws to the base plate (C.2(c)). Precision screws separate the front plate (C.2(b)) from the back plate (C.2(a)) and rest on sapphire jewels
glued into the front plate. A piezo electric transducer (Piezomechanik HPSt 150/20-15/12 VS35) or dummy (C.2(d)) is mounted with 4 metric screws to the front plate. High reflectivity mirrors are mounted in their holders (C.2(e) and C.2(f) for 1 " and .8 " mirrors, respectively). The recesses for the sapphire jewels are apparent on the "back view" of the front piece (C.2(b)). The "ring" recess is prepared by using a 0.5 " end mill 0.05 " deep, and then using a 0.255 " end mill for an additional 0.05 ". The "disk" recess is prepared by using a 0.5 " end mill 0.075 " deep, and then using a 0.255 " end mill for an additional 0.092 ". The "rods" recesses are prepared by first using a 0.5 " end mill 0.092 " deep, and then cutting 2 channels. The channels are 0.062 " wide channels, 0.011 " deep and 0.26 " long. The center of each channel is separated from the center of the hole by 0.07 ". Four $1 / 8 "$ diameter stainless steel (SS) rods $0.5 "$ long are machined, and four springs (McMaster-Carr 9654K108) were purchased. The mirror holders are constructed out of aluminum because it is lighter than brass, and is therefore easier for the piezo to dither. The mirror holders have a top and a bottom piece. The top piece has one O-ring groove to protect the low reflectivity side of the mirror. The bottom piece has two O-ring grooves, one to protect the high reflectivity side of the mirror, and the other one to enable a vacuum seal in future implementations of cavity mounts. In all cases a 114 O-ring was used with standard channel width, but the depth was only 0.05 " deep to ensure the high reflectivity mirrors are not scratched.

Several sapphire jewels (2 olive rings, 2 sapphire disks, and 4 sapphire rods) are purchased from Swiss Jewel Company (R318.0 - olive ring 0.05 " thick, ID $0.125 "$ OD $0.25 "$ - quantity 2) (SP-28 - $0.245 "$ long sapphire rod quantity 4) (Sapphire Disk, $0.0898 "$ tall $0.249 "$ diameter - quantity 2). Once the brass parts have been machined, the sapphire jewels are glued into place with 5-minute epoxy. Six precision mounting screws (Newport 9303) are placed in-between the back and front plates and are tightened. The springs are placed in-between the front and back plates and are held in place with the $1 / 8 "$ SS rods. The springs hold the mount together, and the precision screws push the mount apart, and change the tilt of the mirrors.

In addition to the actual cavity mounts, several alignment tools were also produced. Figure C. 3 illustrates the schematic diagram for a long tube, in part Figure C.3(a), and alignment jigs, in Figure C.3(b). The long tube is made from aluminum and has internal M22 threads at one end, and external M22 threads at the other. It can be used to get the laser beam passing through the center of the first jig by alternately adding and removing the piece, to get a short and long distance and centering up the laser each time. The jigs in Figure C.3(b) are even more important. A small plastic (poly-methyl-methacrylate(PMMA)) insert is press fit into an aluminum mount that has internal M22 threads. The plastic insert has a 2 mm hole in it, so the laser beam can be centered to the jig on either end of the cavity.


Figure C.2: Schematics for non-vacuum compatible brass cavity mount designs.


Figure C.3: Schematics for cavity alignment tools.

## C. 4 Brass Cavity Mounts II

In several circumstances it is helpful to have the cavity mirrors directly connected to the vacuum chamber. It was determined, however, that such mirror mounts cannot be placed on vibration dampening Sorbothane, as the pressure of the atmosphere on the mounts tends to bend the mounts towards the vacuum. While it is possible to obtain a cavity ring-down signal with such mounts without Sorbothane, it is currently unknown if it is possible to lock a cavity which is not mounted on the Sorbothane. However, the construction of these mounts is still described in this section under the assumption that they will be used in the system at some future time. Schematics for the construction of these mounts are shown in Figure C.4.


Figure C.4: Schematics for vacuum compatible brass cavity mounts. The front, back, and base plates are machined from brass, while three stainless steel inserts are used for precision alignment.

## C. 5 LabVIEW Data File Output

A LabVIEW program was constructed which runs the spectroscopic data collection, and saves the data collected by the DAQ card and frequency comb computer. Two data points of the an example file are shown in Table C.4. Twenty-three columns are data are given, and the number of rows correspond to the number of data points intended for a specific scan. The columns of data and a description are found in Table C.3.

| Column Name | Description |
| :---: | :---: |
| PiezoVoltage | Voltage of reference cell piezoelectric transducer, changes laser wavelength. |
| Wavemeter | Wavelength of laser as read by wavemeter. |
| Lock-InX | X-channel - digital lock-in amplifier - dispersion heterodyne signal. |
| Lock-InY | Y-channel - digital lock-in amplifier - dispersion heterodyne signal. |
| CEVMS_X | X-channel - digital lock-in amplifier - absorption heterodyne signal. |
| CEVMS_Y | Y-channel - digital lock-in amplifier - absorption heterodyne signal. |
| VMSX | Blank. |
| FastDetector | DC level of fast photodiode. |
| RepRateCounter | Downmixed repetition rate as explained in Section 3.1.1. |
| CalculatedRepRate | Repetition rate calculated using Equation 3.6. |
| OffsetFrequency | Offset frequency SHG doubled comb. Sign assigned in LabVIEW program. |
| Matisse | Cw beat frequency for Ti:Sapphire laser |
| Beat | Cw with sign assigned in the LabVIEW program. |
| Mode | The mode number (assumed mode number) |
| Number | input into the LabVIEW program. |
| Difference (MHz) | The difference in frequency of the laser determined by the wavemeter and frequency comb. |
| Wavelength | The wavelength of the Ti:Sapphire laser |
| FromComb | as determined by the frequency comb. |
| TimeStamp | The time of the data reading in Unix time. |
| IncorrectMode | The mode number calculated by the |
| NumberCalculated | LabVIEW program using Equation 3.7. |
| TimeStamp | The time of the data reading in Unix time. |
| Scan | The direction which the comb needed to be scanned |
| Mode | to keep the Matisse beat in the bandwidth of detection. |
| Picoammeter | The current being read on the Faraday cup, usually after the drift region with apertures in place. |
| Pressure | Blank. |
| VMSY | Blank. |
| wavemeter wavenumber | The frequency of the Ti:Sapphire laser as measured by the wavemeter in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. |
| keithleyvoltage | tage from Keithley |

Table C.3: Column names and description.

| PiezoVoltage | Wavemeter | Lock-InX | Lock-InY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.122010 | 921.360827 | -0.179566 | 0.077069 |
| 0.122020 | 921.360794 | -0.199697 | 0.083860 |
| CEVMS_X | CEVMS_Y | VMSX | FastDetector |
| 0.226554 | 0.168487 | 1.181869 | 3.099246 |
| 0.240478 | 0.243178 | 0.417089 | 3.124544 |
|  |  |  |  |
| RepRateCounter | CalculatedRepRate | OffsetFrequency | MatisseBeat |
| 20017600.000000 | 100001760.000000 | -40000099.030000 | -22845685.391000 |
| 20017600.000000 | 100001760.000000 | -40000001.912000 | -22758501.895000 |
|  |  |  |  |
| ModeNumber | Difference(MHz) | WavelengthFromComb | TimeStamp |
| 3253744.000000 | -14.435433 | 921.360868 | 1313007287.000000 |
| 3253744.000000 | -26.263629 | 921.360868 | 1313007288.000000 |
|  |  |  |  |
| IncorrectModeNumberCalculated | ScanMode | Picoammeter | Pressure |
| 3253744.144352 | 0.000000 | 0.764427 | 1.000000 |
| 3253744.262632 | 0.000000 | 0.764295 | 1.000000 |
| VMSY |  |  |  |
| 1.049578 | wavemeterwavenumber | keithleyvoltage |  |
| 1.050929 | 10853.511137 | 4144.161000 |  |

Table C.4: Example of data file.

## C. 6 Lookup Table of Bessel Functions

The values for the Bessel functions, including the modulation index $(\beta), \mathrm{J}_{0}^{2}, \mathrm{~J}_{1}^{2}, \mathrm{~J}_{2}^{2}$, the ratio squared, and the values multiplied are given in Table C.5.

Table C.5: Values of Bessel functions.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\beta$ | $\mathrm{J}_{0}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{~J}_{1}$ | $\%$ | $\mathrm{~J}_{1}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{~J}_{2}^{2}$ | Ratio |  |
| $\%$ |  | Squared | Multiplied |  |  |  |  |
| 0.30 | 0.978 | 0.148 | 95.6 | 2.2 | 0.012 | 43.4 | 0.145 |
| 0.31 | 0.976 | 0.153 | 95.3 | 2.3 | 0.014 | 40.6 | 0.149 |
| 0.32 | 0.975 | 0.158 | 95.0 | 2.5 | 0.016 | 38.1 | 0.154 |
| 0.33 | 0.973 | 0.163 | 94.7 | 2.6 | 0.018 | 35.7 | 0.158 |
| 0.34 | 0.971 | 0.168 | 94.3 | 2.8 | 0.020 | 33.6 | 0.163 |
| 0.35 | 0.970 | 0.172 | 94.0 | 3.0 | 0.023 | 31.7 | 0.167 |
| 0.36 | 0.968 | 0.177 | 93.7 | 3.1 | 0.026 | 29.9 | 0.171 |
| 0.37 | 0.966 | 0.182 | 93.3 | 3.3 | 0.029 | 28.2 | 0.176 |
| 0.38 | 0.964 | 0.187 | 93.0 | 3.5 | 0.032 | 26.7 | 0.180 |
| 0.39 | 0.962 | 0.191 | 92.6 | 3.7 | 0.035 | 25.3 | 0.184 |
| 0.40 | 0.960 | 0.196 | 92.2 | 3.8 | 0.039 | 24.0 | 0.188 |
| 0.41 | 0.958 | 0.201 | 91.9 | 4.0 | 0.043 | 22.8 | 0.192 |
| 0.42 | 0.956 | 0.205 | 91.5 | 4.2 | 0.047 | 21.7 | 0.196 |
| 0.43 | 0.954 | 0.210 | 91.1 | 4.4 | 0.052 | 20.6 | 0.200 |
| Continued | on next | page... |  |  |  |  |  |

Table C. 5 continued.

| $\beta$ | $\mathrm{J}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{J}_{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{J}_{0}^{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{J}_{1}^{2} \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{J}_{2}^{2} \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Ratio Squared | Multiplied |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.44 | 0.952 | 0.215 | 90.7 | 4.6 | 0.057 | 19.7 | 0.204 |
| 0.45 | 0.950 | 0.219 | 90.3 | 4.8 | 0.062 | 18.8 | 0.208 |
| 0.46 | 0.948 | 0.224 | 89.8 | 5.0 | 0.068 | 17.9 | 0.212 |
| 0.47 | 0.946 | 0.229 | 89.4 | 5.2 | 0.073 | 17.1 | 0.216 |
| 0.48 | 0.943 | 0.233 | 89.0 | 5.4 | 0.080 | 16.4 | 0.220 |
| 0.49 | 0.941 | 0.238 | 88.5 | 5.7 | 0.087 | 15.7 | 0.224 |
| 0.50 | 0.938 | 0.242 | 88.1 | 5.9 | 0.094 | 15.0 | 0.227 |
| 0.51 | 0.936 | 0.247 | 87.6 | 6.1 | 0.10 | 14.4 | 0.231 |
| 0.52 | 0.934 | 0.251 | 87.1 | 6.3 | 0.11 | 13.8 | 0.235 |
| 0.53 | 0.931 | 0.256 | 86.7 | 6.5 | 0.12 | 13.2 | 0.238 |
| 0.54 | 0.928 | 0.260 | 86.2 | 6.8 | 0.13 | 12.7 | 0.242 |
| 0.55 | 0.926 | 0.265 | 85.7 | 7.0 | 0.14 | 12.2 | 0.245 |
| 0.56 | 0.923 | 0.269 | 85.2 | 7.2 | 0.15 | 11.8 | 0.248 |
| 0.57 | 0.920 | 0.274 | 84.7 | 7.5 | 0.16 | 11.3 | 0.252 |
| 0.58 | 0.918 | 0.278 | 84.2 | 7.7 | 0.17 | 10.9 | 0.255 |
| 0.59 | 0.915 | 0.282 | 83.7 | 8.0 | 0.18 | 10.5 | 0.258 |
| 0.60 | 0.912 | 0.287 | 83.2 | 8.2 | 0.19 | 10.1 | 0.261 |
| 0.61 | 0.909 | 0.291 | 82.6 | 8.5 | 0.20 | 9.76 | 0.265 |
| 0.62 | 0.906 | 0.295 | 82.1 | 8.7 | 0.22 | 9.41 | 0.268 |
| 0.63 | 0.903 | 0.300 | 81.6 | 9.0 | 0.23 | 9.09 | 0.271 |
| 0.64 | 0.900 | 0.304 | 81.0 | 9.2 | 0.24 | 8.77 | 0.274 |
| 0.65 | 0.897 | 0.308 | 80.5 | 9.5 | 0.26 | 8.48 | 0.276 |
| 0.66 | 0.894 | 0.312 | 79.9 | 9.8 | 0.28 | 8.19 | 0.279 |
| 0.67 | 0.891 | 0.317 | 79.4 | 10.0 | 0.29 | 7.92 | 0.282 |
| 0.68 | 0.888 | 0.321 | 78.8 | 10.3 | 0.31 | 7.66 | 0.285 |
| 0.69 | 0.884 | 0.325 | 78.2 | 10.6 | 0.33 | 7.41 | 0.287 |
| 0.70 | 0.881 | 0.329 | 77.7 | 10.8 | 0.35 | 7.17 | 0.290 |
| 0.71 | 0.878 | 0.333 | 77.1 | 11.1 | 0.36 | 6.95 | 0.292 |
| 0.72 | 0.875 | 0.337 | 76.5 | 11.4 | 0.38 | 6.73 | 0.295 |
| 0.73 | 0.871 | 0.341 | 75.9 | 11.6 | 0.41 | 6.52 | 0.297 |
| 0.74 | 0.868 | 0.345 | 75.3 | 11.9 | 0.43 | 6.32 | 0.300 |
| 0.75 | 0.864 | 0.349 | 74.7 | 12.2 | 0.45 | 6.12 | 0.302 |
| 0.76 | 0.861 | 0.353 | 74.1 | 12.5 | 0.47 | 5.94 | 0.304 |
| 0.77 | 0.857 | 0.357 | 73.5 | 12.8 | 0.50 | 5.76 | 0.306 |
| 0.78 | 0.854 | 0.361 | 72.9 | 13.0 | 0.52 | 5.59 | 0.308 |
| 0.79 | 0.850 | 0.365 | 72.2 | 13.3 | 0.55 | 5.42 | 0.310 |
| 0.80 | 0.846 | 0.369 | 71.6 | 13.6 | 0.57 | 5.26 | 0.312 |
| 0.81 | 0.843 | 0.373 | 71.0 | 13.9 | 0.60 | 5.11 | 0.314 |
| 0.82 | 0.839 | 0.376 | 70.4 | 14.2 | 0.63 | 4.96 | 0.316 |
| 0.83 | 0.835 | 0.380 | 69.7 | 14.5 | 0.66 | 4.82 | 0.318 |
| 0.84 | 0.831 | 0.384 | 69.1 | 14.7 | 0.69 | 4.69 | 0.319 |
| 0.85 | 0.827 | 0.388 | 68.5 | 15.0 | 0.72 | 4.55 | 0.321 |
| 0.86 | 0.823 | 0.391 | 67.8 | 15.3 | 0.75 | 4.43 | 0.322 |
| 0.87 | 0.820 | 0.395 | 67.2 | 15.6 | 0.79 | 4.30 | 0.324 |
| 0.88 | 0.816 | 0.399 | 66.5 | 15.9 | 0.82 | 4.18 | 0.325 |
| 0.89 | 0.812 | 0.402 | 65.9 | 16.2 | 0.86 | 4.07 | 0.327 |
| 0.90 | 0.808 | 0.406 | 65.2 | 16.5 | 0.89 | 3.96 | 0.328 |
| 0.92 | 0.799 | 0.413 | 63.9 | 17.1 | 0.97 | 3.75 | 0.330 |
| 0.94 | 0.791 | 0.420 | 62.6 | 17.6 | 1.05 | 3.55 | 0.332 |
| 0.96 | 0.783 | 0.427 | 61.2 | 18.2 | 1.14 | 3.36 | 0.334 |
| 0.98 | 0.774 | 0.433 | 59.9 | 18.8 | 1.23 | 3.19 | 0.335 |
| 1.00 | 0.765 | 0.440 | 58.6 | 19.4 | 1.32 | 3.02 | 0.337 |
| 1.02 | 0.756 | 0.446 | 57.2 | 19.9 | 1.42 | 2.87 | 0.338 |
| 1.04 | 0.747 | 0.453 | 55.9 | 20.5 | 1.52 | 2.72 | 0.338 |

Continued on next page...

Table C. 5 continued.

| $\beta$ | $\mathrm{J}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{J}_{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{J}_{0}^{2} \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{J}_{1}^{2} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{J}_{2}^{2} \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Ratio Squared | Multiplied |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.06 | 0.738 | 0.459 | 54.5 | 21.1 | 1.63 | 2.59 | 0.339 |
| 1.08 | 0.729 | 0.465 | 53.1 | 21.6 | 1.75 | 2.46 | 0.339 |
| 1.10 | 0.720 | 0.471 | 51.8 | 22.2 | 1.86 | 2.34 | 0.339 |
| 1.12 | 0.710 | 0.477 | 50.4 | 22.7 | 1.99 | 2.22 | 0.339 |
| 1.14 | 0.701 | 0.482 | 49.1 | 23.3 | 2.12 | 2.11 | 0.338 |
| 1.16 | 0.691 | 0.488 | 47.7 | 23.8 | 2.25 | 2.01 | 0.337 |
| 1.18 | 0.681 | 0.493 | 46.4 | 24.3 | 2.39 | 1.91 | 0.336 |
| 1.20 | 0.671 | 0.498 | 45.0 | 24.8 | 2.54 | 1.81 | 0.334 |
| 1.22 | 0.661 | 0.503 | 43.7 | 25.3 | 2.69 | 1.73 | 0.333 |
| 1.24 | 0.651 | 0.508 | 42.4 | 25.8 | 2.85 | 1.64 | 0.331 |
| 1.26 | 0.641 | 0.513 | 41.1 | 26.3 | 3.01 | 1.56 | 0.329 |
| 1.28 | 0.630 | 0.518 | 39.8 | 26.8 | 3.18 | 1.48 | 0.326 |

## C. 7 Saturation Modeling Program

## C.7.1 Experimental NIR Saturation

Some useful complex number identities are given in Equations C. 2 and C.3.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{Erfi}[z]=-i \operatorname{Erf}[i z]=\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{z} \operatorname{Exp}\left[s^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} s  \tag{C.2}\\
\operatorname{Erfc}[\mathrm{z}]=1-\operatorname{Erf}[z]=\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{z}^{\infty} \operatorname{Exp}\left[-\left(s^{2}\right)\right] \mathrm{d} s \tag{C.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

The Mathematica file used to simulate the saturation effects on the ion beam line shape is shown below.

```
(*Change the fm to the value you want. Ma or Exp *)
(*Choose the y you want (by changing a=) *)
muu = 10000;
HWHM = 0.0125750701317101; (*Dopplerl angular half*)
(*fm=0.020120112; (* Ma2008 *) *)
fm = 0.02368304874805400; (* Experimental *)
dx[x_] := x - muu;
xneg1[x_] := 1 (dx[x] - fm)*Sqrt[Log[2.]]/HWHM;
xpos1[x_] := 1 (dx[x] + fm)*Sqrt[Log[2.]]/HWHM;
xzero[x_] := 1 (dx[x])*Sqrt[Log[2.]]/HWHM;
yneg1[Gminus_, y_] := y*Sqrt[1 + Gminus];
ypos1[Gplus_, y_] := y*Sqrt[1 + Gplus];
yzero[Gzero_, y_] := y*Sqrt[1 + Gzero];
zneg1[x_, Gminus_, y_] := xneg1[x] + I*yneg1[Gminus, y];
zpos1[x_, Gplus_, y_] := xpos1[x] + I* ypos1[Gplus, y];
zzero[x_, Gzero_, y_] := xzero[x] + I* yzero[Gzero, y];
dubyajneg1[x_, Gminus_, y_] := (1 + I*Erfi[zneg1[x, Gminus, y]])* E^ -(zneg1[x, Gminus, y] \\hat{2});
dubyajpos1[\mp@subsup{x}{-}{}, Gplus_, y_] := (1 + I*Erfi[zpos1[x, Gplus, y]])* E^ -(zpos1[x, Gplus, y] \\hat{2});
dubyajzero[\mp@subsup{x}{-}{\prime}, Gzero_, y_] := (1 + I*Erfi[zzero[x, Gzero, y]])* E^ -(zzero[x, Gzero, y]2\hat{2});
Dispneg1[\mp@subsup{x}{-}{\prime}, Gminus_, y_] := Im[dubyajneg1[x, Gminus, y]];
Disppos1[\mp@subsup{x}{-}{}, Gplus_, y_] := Im[dubyajpos1[x, Gplus, y]];
```

Dispzero[x_, Gzero_, y_] :=-1* $\operatorname{Im}[d u b y a j z e r o[x, ~ G z e r o, ~ y]] ;$
TotalDisp[x_, Gminus_, Gzero_, Gplus,$~ y]:=\operatorname{Dispneg} 1[\mathrm{x}$, Gminus, y$]+$ Dispzero[x, Gzero, y] + Disppos1[x, Gplus, $\mathrm{y}]$;
Absneg1[x_, Gminus_, y_] := Re[dubyajneg1[x, Gminus, y]];
Abspos1[x_, Gplus_, y_] := Re[dubyajpos1[x, Gplus, y]];
TotalAbs[x_, Gminus_, Gplus_, y-] := (1/Sqrt[1 + Gplus]) (-Absneg1[x, Gminus, y] + Abspos1[x, Gplus, y]);
DirectoryName["C:<br>Documents and Settings <br>\Andrew<br>Desktop<br>"];
$\mathrm{a}=0.1$
xwave $=$ Range[9999.9, 10000.1, .0001];
ywave $1=$ TotalDisp[xwave, $0,0,0, \mathrm{a}$;
ywave $2=$ TotalDisp[xwave, .03, .97, .03, a];
ywave $3=$ TotalDisp[xwave, .09, 2.91, .09, a];
ywave $4=$ TotalDisp $[$ xwave, .3, 9.7, .3, a];
ywave $5=$ TotalDisp[xwave, .9, 29.1, .9, a];
ywave $6=$ TotalDisp[xwave, 3, 97, 3, a];
ywave7 $=$ TotalDisp[xwave, 30, 970, 30, a];
ywave $8=$ TotalDisp[xwave, 300, 9700, 300, a];
Export["xwave.xls", xwave];
Export["ywave1.xls", ywave1];
Export["ywave2.xls", ywave2];
Export["ywave3.xls", ywave3];
Export["ywave4.xls", ywave4];
Export["ywave5.xls", ywave5];
Export["ywave6.xls", ywave6];
Export["ywave7.xls", ywave7];
Export["ywave8.xls", ywave8];
ywave $11=$ TotalAbs[xwave, 0, 0, a];
ywave $12=$ TotalAbs[xwave, $.03, .03, \mathrm{a}]$;
ywave $13=$ TotalAbs[xwave, .09, .09, a];
ywave $14=$ TotalAbs[xwave, .3, .3, a];
ywave $15=$ TotalAbs[xwave, .9, .9, a];
ywave $16=$ TotalAbs[xwave, 3, 3, a];
ywave17 $=$ TotalAbs[xwave, 30, 30, a];
ywave $18=$ TotalAbs[xwave, 300, 300, a];
Export["ywave11.xls", ywave11];
Export["ywave12.xls", ywave12];
Export["ywave13.xls", ywave13];
Export["ywave14.xls", ywave14];
Export["ywave15.xls", ywave15];
Export["ywave16.xls", ywave16];
Export["ywave17.xls", ywave17];
Export["ywave18.xls", ywave18];
(*Ion Beam Simulations*)
$\mathrm{a}=0.028$
xwave $=$ Range[9999.9, 10000.1, .0001];
ywave1=TotalDisp[xwave, $0,0,0, \mathrm{a}]$;
ywave $2=$ TotalDisp[xwave, $, 15, .7, .15, \mathrm{a}]$;
ywave $3=$ TotalDisp[xwave,.45,2.1,.45,a];
ywave $4=$ TotalDisp[xwave, $1.5,7,1.5, \mathrm{a}$ ];
ywave5=TotalDisp[xwave,4.5,21,4.5,a];
ywave6=TotalDisp[xwave,15,70,15,a];
ywave $7=$ TotalDisp [xwave, 150, $700,150, \mathrm{a}]$;
ywave $8=$ TotalDisp[xwave, 1500, 7000, 1500, a];
ywave9=TotalDisp[xwave,6311,30299,6311,a];
Export["xwave.xls",xwave];
Export["ywave1.xls", ywave1];
Export["ywave2.xls",ywave2];
Export["ywave3.xls",ywave3];

```
Export["ywave4.xls",ywave4];
Export["ywave5.xls",ywave5];
Export["ywave6.xls",ywave6];
Export["ywave7.xls",ywave7];
Export["ywave8.xls", ywave8];
Export["ywave9.xls",ywave9];
ywave11=TotalAbs[xwave,0,0,a];
ywave12=TotalAbs[xwave,.15,.15,a];
ywave13=TotalAbs[xwave,.45,.45,a];
ywave14=TotalAbs[xwave,1.5,1.5,a];
ywave15=TotalAbs[xwave,4.5,4.5,a];
ywave 16=TotalAbs[xwave,15,15,a];
ywave17=TotalAbs[xwave,150,150,a];
ywave18=TotalAbs[xwave,1500,1500,a];
ywave19=TotalAbs[xwave,6311,6311,a];
Export["ywave11.xls",ywave11];
Export["ywave12.xls",ywave12];
Export["ywave13.xls",ywave13];
Export["ywave14.xls",ywave14];
Export["ywave15.xls",ywave15];
Export["ywave16.xls",ywave16];
Export["ywave17.xls",ywave17];
Export["ywave18.xls",ywave18];
Export["ywave19.xls",ywave19];
Plot[TotalDisp[x, 0, 0, 0, 0.028], TotalDisp[x, .15,.7, .15, 0.028], TotalDisp[x, .45, 2.1, 4.5, 0.028], TotalDisp[x, 1.5,
7, 1.5, 0.028], TotalDisp[x, 4.5, 21, 4.5, 0.028], TotalDisp[x, 15, 70, 15, 0.028], TotalDisp[x, 150, 700, 150, 0.028],
TotalDisp[x, 1500, 7000, 1500, 0.028], TotalDisp[x, 6311, 30229, 6311, 0.028], x, 9999.90, 10000.1, PlotRange ->(*-
1,1*)All] (* Ion Beam Values*)
Plot[TotalDisp[x, 0, 0, 0, b], TotalDisp[x, .03,.97, .03, b], TotalDisp[x, .09, 2.91, .09, b], TotalDisp[x, .3, 9.7, .3, b],
TotalDisp[x, .9, 29.1, .9, b], TotalDisp[x, 3, 97, 3, b], TotalDisp[x, 30, 970, 30, b], TotalDisp[x, 300, 9700, 300, b], x,
9999.925, 10000.075, PlotRange -> All] (* Ma Different Values*)
```


## C.7.2 Theoretical MIR Saturation

The parameters of the Mathematica simulation code was changed as indicated for the mid-IR calculations.

HWHM $=0.00419 ;\left({ }^{*}\right.$ Dopplerl angular half*)
(*Ion Beam Simulations*)
$a=0.011$
xwave $=$ Range[9999.9, 10000.1, .0001];
ywave1 = TotalDisp[xwave, 0, 0, 0, a];
ywave $2=$ TotalDisp[xwave, .15, .7, .15, a];
ywave3 = TotalDisp[xwave, .45, 2.1, .45, a];
ywave $4=$ TotalDisp[xwave, $1.5,7,1.5$, a];
ywave5 = TotalDisp[xwave, 4.5, 21, 4.5, a];
ywave6 $=$ TotalDisp[xwave, $9,45,9$, a];
Export["xwave.xls", xwave];
Export["ywave1.xls", ywave1];
Export["ywave2.xls", ywave2];
Export["ywave3.xls", ywave3];
Export["ywave4.xls", ywave4];
Export["ywave5.xls", ywave5];
Export["ywave6.xls", ywave6];
ywave11 = TotalAbs[xwave, 0, 0, a];
ywave12 $=$ TotalAbs[xwave, .15, .15, a];
ywave $13=$ TotalAbs[xwave, $.45, .45, \mathrm{a}]$; ywave $14=$ TotalAbs[xwave, 1.5, 1.5, a]; ywave $15=$ TotalAbs[xwave, 4.5, 4.5, a]; ywave16 = TotalAbs[xwave, 9, 9, a];
Export["ywave11.xls", ywave11];
Export["ywave12.xls", ywave12];
Export["ywave13.xls", ywave13];
Export["ywave14.xls", ywave14];
Export["ywave15.xls", ywave15];
Export["ywave16.xls", ywave16];

## Appendix D

## Appendix for Ion Beam System

## D. 1 Welch Pump Exhaust Adapter

Future mid infrared ion beam experiments will involve pumping hydrogen as part of the discharge. Therefore, the mechanical pump which vents the turbos needed to be vented to the ventilation system of RAL. The drawings for the adapter necessary to connect the welch pump to the building exhaust is shown in Figure D.1. 3/4" ID Tygon tubing connects this adapter to a quick-flange connection, that is welded into the pump room's exhaust pipe.


Figure D.1: Schematic of adapter for welch pump exhaust.

## D. 2 Varian Turbo Pump Water Cooling Adapters

Each Varian turbo pump has a British pipe thread inlet and outlet port tapped with $1 / 8$ " $\times 28$ threads per inch parallel threads. Adapters to connect $3 / 8$ " Swagelok to this inlet were constructed from brass. A \#110 O-ring is used on the threaded side, so a $1 / 8$ " recess for the threads is acceptable. The non-threaded side is connected with a 3/8" Swagelok connection. The drawings for the water cooling adapters are shown in Figure D.2.


Figure D.2: Schematics for water cooling adapters for Navigator 550 and 250 turbo pumps machined from brass.

## D. 3 80-20 Building Materials

A heavy duty instrument base was constructed out of 2 "x2" aluminum $80-20$ extrusions. A list of $80-20$ parts purchased for construction of ion beam base, as well as general lab construction, is shown in Table D.1. A schematic for the top view of the ion beam base is given in Figure 5.7.

| Part \# | Quantity | Length (") | Unit | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1010 | 20 | 72 | IN | 1"x1" T-Slot Extrusion |
| 2020 | 8 | 72 | IN | 2"x2" T-Slot Extrusion |
| 2020 | 26 | 22.5 | IN | 2"x2" T-Slot Extrusion |
| 2020 | 34 | 12.75 | IN | 2"x2" T-Slot Extrusion |
| 2020 | 4 | 90 | IN | $2 " \mathrm{x} 2$ " T-Slot Extrusion |
| 2020 | 4 | 26.645 | IN | 2"x2" T-Slot Extrusion |
| 2020 | 6 | 7.917 | IN | 2"x2" T-Slot Extrusion |
| 2142 | 8 |  | Ea | 10S 2"x2" Base Plate 3/8"-16 Tap in Corner |
| 2299 | 8 |  | Ea | 2" Swivel Caster with 3/8"-16 Stem with Brake |
| 2408 | 14 |  | Ea | 15 S base plate for 2715 |
| 2715 | 14 |  | Ea | 600 Lb leveling caster |
| 3112 | 80 |  | Ea | 5/16" x 3/4" SHCS |
| 3321 | 60 |  | Ea | 1/4"-20 x 1/2" FBHSCS \& Econ T-Nut |
| 3376 | 200 |  | Ea | 10 S Drop-in T-Nut 1/4"-20 |
| 3393 | 1420 |  | Ea | $1 / 4 "-20 \times 1 / 2$ " BHSCS Econ T-Nut |
| 3458 | 56 |  | Ea | $5 / 16 "$ x $7 / 8$ " SHCS Econ T-Nut |
| 3916 | 100 |  | Ea | 1/4"-20 Drop in T-Nut with Ball and Spring |
| 4113 | 40 |  | Ea | 10S 4 Hole Inside Corner Bracket |
| 4114 | 130 |  | Ea | 10S 8 Hole Inside Corner Bracket |
| 4119 | 60 |  | Ea | 10S 2 Hole Inside Corner Bracket |
| 4166 | 30 |  | Ea | 10 S 6 Hole Joining Plate |
| 4167 | 20 |  | Ea | 10 S 4 Hole Joining Plate |

Table D.1: $80-20$ parts purchased for construction of instrument base.

## D. 4 Steel Pipe Holders

Steel pipe holders were built to hold the 8 " CF tubes that comprise the ion beam instrument, connect them to the instrument base, and center the pipes. The most cost effective way to have these parts made was to first water jet cut the general outline, use a band saw to cut them into two pieces, and then finish machining with an endmill. Figure D. 3 contains the machining schematics for the steel pipe holders.

(a) Schematic drawing of water jet cutting of steel pipe holders

(b) Post water jet machining of steel pipe holders. Four M8 $\times$ 1.25 through taps were made per each pipe holder.

Figure D.3: Schematics for construction of steel pipe holders.

## D. 5 Source

Several parts were machined for the source, including the source ferrules, a holder for the source, and water and electrical connections for the back electrode of the source. Engineering schematics for the custom designed ferrules are shown in Figure D.4.


Figure D.4: Engineering schematic of the front ferrule, machined from stainless steel.

The back source electrode was sandwiched between an aluminum and PEEK pieces which threaded together. The PEEK piece was attached to the back flange of the source chamber, and can be translated in the X and Y directions. The engineering schematics for the aluminum and PEEK parts are shown in Figure D.5. An adapter for bringing a bias voltage to the back electrode of the cold cathode source is constructed out of PEEK, and threads into the $1 / 4 "-28$ threads in the aluminum source holder, and the engineering schematics for this part are found in Figure D.6.


Tapped holes must not break thru!
(a) PEEK piece which holds back electrode of source and connects it to translatable back flange of the source chamber.

(b) Aluminum cap which screws onto PEEK holder, providing mechanical stability for the back electrode of the source.

Figure D.5: Schematic for PEEK and aluminum back electrode adapters and holders.


Figure D.6: Homemade PEEK adapter screw used for connecting discharge voltage to back electrode.


Figure D.7: Schematics for water cooling setup necessary for anodic extraction.

## D. 6 Einzel Lens 1

The positive ions that emerge from the ion source are extracted by a stainless steel plate and focused by the first Einzel lens. Drawings for the parts that comprise the Einzel lens are shown in Figure D.8. The aperture of the extractor plate diameter can be chosen by attaching a stainless steel plate with the desired aperture diameter to the extractor plate. The extractor plate typically had 0.25 " diameter aperture attached (Kimball Physics, B Series, 5x5, 0.25 " Round Diameter). The stainless steel Einzel lens was constructed by the University of Illinois machine shop and electropolished. It was assembled with high-temperature alumina ceramic balls (McMaster-Carr 9599K16 1/2" diameter) and tubes (McMaster-Carr 8746K18-0.375" OD, 0.25 " ID x 1" long) used to electrically isolate the biased, central plate from the outer plates, which were connected to ground. Three 10-32 set screws are used to position the extractor plate into position, 2 of which have a springloaded plunger (McMaster-Carr 8688A141), and the other set screw with a flat end. Bias voltage for the Einzel lens via a 5 kV SHV feedthrough on a 2-3/4" flange located off of the source chamber.

A photo of the assembled Einzel lens is shown in Figure D.9. Ceramic balls separate the middle electrode from the front and back ground electrodes. Ceramic tubes insulate the screws which attach the front and back ground electrodes from the middle electrode. High voltage is applied to the middle electrode.


Figure D.8: Schematics for the first Einzel lens, machined out of stainless steel.


Figure D.9: Photo of assembled Einzel lens.

## D. 7 Steerers

The steerer assembly is constructed from $8 \times 1 / 4$ " thick plates, 1.5 " wide by 1 " long. The first set of 4 is separated from the second set of four with $1 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ ceramic spacers. There are no schematic drawings for the steerers.

## D. 8 Cylindrical Deflectors

Technical drawings of the cylindrical deflectors are shown in Figure D.10. The benders were constructed from stainless steel sheets (McMaster Carr 89815K43 0.036" thick). Metal 6-32 screws were used to connect the bender plates to the blocks through ceramic spacers (McMaster-Carr 96109A160). PEEK screws (McMaster Carr 96367A477 6-32 threads, $3 / 4$ " long) were used to connect the front plates of the bender to the blocks also through the ceramic spacers. Four set screws (2 springloaded, 2 flat) (McMaster Carr 8688A141 and 94355A341) were used to center the plates of the bender to the 8 " Conflat cross.

A photo of the assembled cylindrical bender is shown in Figure D.11.


Figure D.10: Schematics for electrostatic benders, machined from stainless steel.


Figure D.11: Photo of assembled cylindrical bender.

## D. 9 Faraday Cup 1

Technical drawings for the first Faraday cup are shown in Figure D.12. The collector of the Faraday cup was connected directly to a SHV-5 feedthrough.

(a) Schematic of the ion collector.

(b) Schematic of the insulator.

(c) Schematic of the ground covering.


Figure D.12: Schematics for the first Faraday cup.

## D. 10 Drift Region

A drift tube was modified from a stainless steel tube 1.25 " in diameter, 10.72" long (McMaster-Carr 8989K308) by adding two slots, as illustrated in Figure 5.16. An additional 0.15 " diameter hole in the in the tube is used to connect the drift tube to a BNC feedthrough. Iris plates (as illustrated in Figure D.13) were constructed. Two stainless steel $6-32$ screws were used to connect the iris plates to the linear motion manipulators. Polycarbonate washers are used to electrically isolate that screw from the manipulator. A third hole was included in the iris plate to connect each iris plate to a BNC feedthroughs.


Figure D.13: Schematics for irises for achieving laser beam / ion beam overlap.

Each iris plate has three holes, each 3 mm in diameter, and horizontally offset from each other by 1 mm . Engineering schematics for the construction of the aperture plates, and plastic washers are shown in Figure D.13.

## D. 11 Einzel Lens 2

The second Einzel lens was constructed similar to the first lens diagrammed in Figure D.8. Modifications to the second lens are diagramed in Figure D. 14.


Figure D.14: Schematic drawing for modifications of Einzel lens shown in Figure D. 8 for Einzel lens 2.

## D. 12 Faraday Cup 2

The second Faraday cup was constructed similar to the first Faraday cup, and schematics for the parts are shown in Figure D.15. The electrode of the Faraday cup is connected to a SHV-5 feedthrough, which is later connected to a picoammeter.


Figure D.15: Schematic drawings for Faraday cup 2.

## D. 13 Beam Modulated Time of Flight Pulser Plates

Schematics for the pulsing plates of the beam modulated time of flight mass spectrometer are shown in Figure D.16.

(a) Schematic of MS pulser connector.


Figure D.16: Schematic drawings for MS ion optics pulser.

## D. 14 MS Iris

As part of the beam modulated time of flight mass spectrometer, an iris was constructed and positioned approximately 3 " before the electron multiplier. The iris was positioned inside of the 4.5 " 4 -way cross, connected directly to the flange input flange. The design for this iris is shown in Figure D.17. A stainless steel piece of $1 / 16$ " sheet metal is machined so that it can form an iris. The tabs on the side are tapped so that $4-40$ screws can be used to hold the piece inside of the cross, properly centering the iris.


Figure D.17: Schematic drawing of end iris.

## D. 15 Electron Multiplier Mount

Schematic drawings of the modified 4.5 " CF flange, block, and plate used to hold the electron multiplier are shown in Figure D.18. Three SHV-5 and one BNC feedthroughs were welded into the CF flange to allow for electrical connections to the detector.

(a) Schematic of modifications made to 4.5 " CF blank flange when viewed from the CF side. Four $1 / 2 "$ holes were milled through and three SHV-5 feedthroughs were welded into place (red). One BNC feedthrough was welded into place in the top middle (blue).

(b) Schematic of aluminum block that connects the detector plate to the flange.

(c) Schematic of aluminum plate which connects the detector to the aluminum block.

(d) Electrical connections for detector flange as viewed from the outside the vacuum.

Figure D.18: Schematic drawings for plates, blocks, and flanges for connecting the electron multiplier to a 4.5" CF flange.

## D. 16 Brewster Windows

Two aluminum brewster window holders were designed for $\mathrm{CaF}_{2}$ brewster windows. Engineering schematics for the holders are shown in Figure D.19.


Figure D.19: Schematic drawing of Brewster window holders.

## Appendix E

## Appendix for $\mathbf{N}_{2}^{+}$in Ion Beam

## E. 1 Igor Data File Reader

The Igor procedure file to signal processing is shown below.

```
#pragma rtGlobals=1 // Use modern global access method.
// Modified September 3, 2011
// Various functions that also are necessary.
//function Singleload(numwaves,LI1Norm,LI2Norm, LI3Norm) // 22 uv/10, , ,
// makedoppler(suffix,voltage,plusvoltage,minusvoltage)
// killcomb(suffix) removes comb files, if unnecessary.
// redocomb(suffix). recalibrates the comb spectrum and redoes the AOMShiftComb
// modedet(suffix,low,high) makes a graph of a histogram of the mode numbers of the
//absolute comb mode number determination. input low and high as mode-. 35 and mode+.35.
// addmode(suffix) adds the mode to the AMN graph.
// makedoppler(suffix,beamvoltage,plusmodvoltage,minusmodvoltage).
// Makes Fplus1_suffix, minus, 1,2
// adddoppler(suffix). Add's doppler lines to graph.
// fixgraph(). Makes bottom high trip 100000
// deletepnts(suffix,start,length). Deletes many points from the waves.
// tableone(suffix). Makes a table with the waves, with and without comb info.
// removeone(suffix). Deletes most if not all, waves with the suffix input.
// singlesplit(frequency,voltage,plusvoltage,minusvoltage).
// Gives a single split of a single frequency.
// changeheterodyne puts sidebands on shifted N2-lus lines. Less important.
// makemask(suffix,fewer). Makes a wave that has a 1 every "fewer"
// points and the rest are zeros.
// subgraphcomb(suffix,fewer). duplicates xasuffixf to xasuffixs and
// combshiftwnr to combshiftwnrs_suffix. Then applies mask and makes a sub graph.
// Only graphs one point every "fewer"
// subgraph(suffix,fewer). duplicates xasuffixf to xasuffix s and shiftwnr to
// shiftwnrs_suffix. Then applies mask and makes a sub graph.
// Only graphs one point every "fewer"
// suffix="14";appendtograph $("XaSmooth_"+ suffix) vs $("shiftwnr_"+ suffix);
// ModifyGraph rgb($("XaSmooth_"+ suffix))=(0,0,26112)
// smoothrotated(suffix)
// makeheterodyne(suffix, beam voltage, plusvoltage, minusvoltage, frequencymhz).
// Makes doppler and adds heterodyne, less important.
// addheterodyne2(suffix). Less Important.
// makegraphs(suffix1,suffix2). Makes graphs to compare to suffixs? Less important
// findsignalandnoise, right now nothing...
// rf_rot(x1,y1,x2,y2,degrees). Rotates across RF, maybe correct.
```

```
// rotator2(xa,ya,xb,yb,theta1,theta2) Rotates 2 x and y waves by different amounts.
// lorentz, DawsonF, GaussF, LorentzD, LorentzA, makedawson, makegaussian.
// Fitting functions
//function fullbinner(number,textwave1) // Andrew's binning program
function Singleload(numwaves,LI1Norm,LI2Norm, LI3Norm) // 23 uv/10, , ,
// Input normalization value, Normalization value = scale/10.
// So a 50 uV scale is 0.00005/10 = . 000005.
variable numwaves, LI1Norm, LI2Norm, LI3Norm
// Open data file for reading
variable refNum
open/r refNum
fstatus refNum
print S_path
// Return file reading position back to beginning because
// we'll be returning to it later for meta data
fsetpos refNum,0
// Get Suffix to append to all wave names when loading data from .txt file
string suffix
prompt suffix, "Suffix:"
doprompt "Please type in a suffix",suffix
killthewaves(numwaves)
LoadWave /o/k=1/G/D /A=wave (S_path+S_filename) // (S_path+S_filename)//
// Load wave0 ... wave21
removezeros(numwaves)
allnumerical(numwaves)
namewaves(suffix)
make /d/o/n=70 $("StatS_"+ suffix)
wave stats=$("StatS_"+ suffix)
wavestats /Q $("P_"+suffix)
stats[0]=V_npnts
calibratespectrum(suffix)
// Wavelength_Suffix is unshifted
calibratespectrumComb(suffix)
// CombWavelength_Suffix is unshifted.
calibratedetector(suffix)
calibratePA(suffix)
normalizelockins(suffix, LI1Norm,LI2Norm, LI3Norm) // uV value
// Multiply Lock-In Values by normalization value. X1Norm_Suffix.
fractionalizelockins(suffix)
// X1Frac_Suffix.
DuplicateForRotation(suffix)
AOMShift(suffix)
// ShiftedWavelength_Suffix, ShiftWnr_Suffix
AOMShiftComb(suffix)
// CombShiftedWavelength_Suffix, ShiftWnr_Suffix
//killcomb(suffix)
rotator($("xa"+suffix) ,$("ya"+suffix),00)
    rotator($("xb"+suffix) ,$("yb"+suffix),00)
makersnts(suffix)
smoothwaves(suffix)
smoothrotated(suffix)
DuplicateForNormalization(suffix)
    normalizetovoltagecurrent(suffix)
```

```
    getstats(suffix,LI1Norm,LI2Norm, LI3Norm)
    smoothnormalized(suffix)
display $("xa"+ suffix) vs $("shiftwnr_"+ suffix)
// Display LockIn 1 vs shiftwnr
appendtograph $("ya"+ suffix) vs $("shiftwnr_"+ suffix)
appendtograph $("Xasmooth_"+ suffix) vs $("shiftwnr_"+ suffix)
appendtograph $("Yasmooth_"+ suffix) vs $("shiftwnr_"+ suffix)
ModifyGraph rgb($("Xa"+ suffix))=(48896,49152,65280)
ModifyGraph rgb($("Xasmooth_"+ suffix))=(0,0,39168)
ModifyGraph rgb($("Ya"+ suffix))=(65280,32768,32768)
ModifyGraph highTrip(bottom)=1e+07
display $("xa"+ suffix) vs $("combshiftwnr_"+ suffix)
// Display LockIn 1 vs combshiftwnr
appendtograph $("ya"+ suffix) vs $("combshiftwnr_"+ suffix)
appendtograph $("Xasmooth_"+ suffix) vs $("combshiftwnr_"+ suffix)
appendtograph $("Yasmooth_"+ suffix) vs $("combshiftwnr_"+ suffix)
ModifyGraph rgb($("Xa"+ suffix))=(48896,49152,65280)
ModifyGraph rgb($("Xasmooth_"+ suffix))=(0,0,39168)
ModifyGraph rgb($("Ya"+ suffix))=(65280,32768,32768)
ModifyGraph highTrip(bottom)=1e+07
display $("combwl_"+ suffix)
// Display Combwavelength // Unshifted
ShowInfo
display $("imn_"+ suffix); appendtograph $("amn_"+ suffix)
// Display Assumed and Calculated Mode numbers
ModifyGraph highTrip(left)=1e+07
//// display $("x2frac_"+ suffix) vs $("shiftwnr_"+ suffix)
// appendtograph $("y2frac_"+ suffix) vs $("shiftwnr_"+ suffix)
// ModifyGraph highTrip(bottom)=1e+07
//
// display $("x1frac_"+ suffix) vs $("shiftwnr_"+ suffix)
// appendtograph $("y1frac_"+ suffix) vs $("shiftwnr_"+ suffix)
// ModifyGraph highTrip(bottom)=1e+07
// display $("x2norm_"+ suffix) vs $("shiftwnr_"+ suffix)
// Display Lockin 2 vs shiftwnr
// appendtograph $("y2norm_"+ suffix) vs $("shiftwnr_"+ suffix)
// appendtograph $("X2NormSmooth_"+ suffix) vs $("shiftwnr_"+ suffix)
// appendtograph $("Y2NormSmooth_"+ suffix) vs $("shiftwnr_"+ suffix)
// ModifyGraph highTrip(bottom)=1e+07
// ModifyGraph rgb($("X2NormSmooth_"+ suffix))=(0,0,52224)
// ModifyGraph rgb($("X2Norm_"+ suffix))=(16384,28160,52224)
// display $("x1norm_"+ suffix) vs $("shiftwnr_"+ suffix)
// Display Lockin 1 vs shiftwnr
// appendtograph $("y1norm_"+ suffix) vs $("shiftwnr_"+ suffix)
// appendtograph $("X1NormSmooth_"+ suffix) vs $("shiftwnr_"+ suffix)
// appendtograph $("Y1NormSmooth_"+ suffix) vs $("shiftwnr_"+ suffix)
// ModifyGraph rgb($("X1NormSmooth_"+ suffix))=(0,0,52224)
// ModifyGraph rgb($("X1Norm_"+ suffix))=(16384,28160,52224)
// ModifyGraph highTrip(bottom)=1e+07
// display $("R1Smooth_"+ suffix) vs $("shiftwnr_"+ suffix)
```

```
// Display R Values. Not Very Important
// appendtograph $("R2Smooth_"+ suffix) vs $("shiftwnr_"+ suffix)
// ModifyGraph rgb($("R2Smooth_"+ suffix))=(0,0,52224)
// ModifyGraph highTrip(bottom)=1e+07
// display $("xb"+ suffix) vs $("shiftwnr_"+ suffix)
// Display Lockin 2 vs shiftwnr
// appendtograph $("yb"+ suffix) vs $("shiftwnr_"+ suffix)
// appendtograph $("Xbsmooth_"+ suffix) vs $("shiftwnr_"+ suffix)
// appendtograph $("Ybsmooth_"+ suffix) vs $("shiftwnr_"+ suffix)
// ModifyGraph rgb($("Xb"+ suffix))=(48896,49152,65280)
// ModifyGraph rgb($("Xbsmooth_"+ suffix))=(0,0,39168)
// ModifyGraph rgb($("Yb"+ suffix))=(65280,32768,32768)
// ModifyGraph highTrip(bottom)=1e+07
//
// display $("xanc"+ suffix) vs $("shiftwnr_"+ suffix)
// appendtograph $("yanc"+ suffix) vs $("shiftwnr_"+ suffix)
// appendtograph $("Xancsmooth_"+ suffix) vs $("shiftwnr_"+ suffix)
// appendtograph $("Yansmooth_"+ suffix) vs $("shiftwnr_"+ suffix)
// ModifyGraph rgb($("Xanc"+ suffix))=(48896,49152,65280)
// ModifyGraph rgb($("Xancsmooth_"+ suffix))=(0,0,39168)
// ModifyGraph rgb($("Yanc"+ suffix))=(65280,32768,32768)
// ModifyGraph highTrip(bottom)=1e+07
end
function Killthewaves(numwaves)
// using the number of waves goes through all waves wave0...wave22
// and kills them so they can be loaded.
variable numwaves
variable jj
string suffix
for(jj=0; jj<numwaves; jj+=1) // goes through number of waves
suffix=num2str(jj)
killwaves /z $("wave"+suffix)
endfor
end
function removezeros(numwaves) // goes through all waves wave0 ... wave22
// and removes the zeros from the bottom of the file
variable numwaves
variable fullfile=0, test=0, lastpoint, small, big
wavestats /Q wave0
fullfile=V_NPNTS
wave wave0
variable incrementer=fullfile
do //Determine the last data point in the set, store as
if(wave0[incrementer]==0)
incrementer-=1
else
test=1
endif
while(test!=1) // end do while loop
lastpoint=incrementer
string suffix
for(big=0; big<numwaves; big+=1) // goes through number of waves
suffix=num2str(big)
WAVE WA=$("wave"+suffix)
make /d/o/n =(incrementer+1) $("wave"+suffix+"B")
```

```
WAVE WB=$("wave"+suffix+"B")
for(small=0; small <=incrementer; small+=1)
WB[small]=WA [small]
endfor
killwaves /Z WA
make /d/o/n=(incrementer+1) $("wave"+suffix)
wave WC=$("wave"+suffix)
WC=WB
killwaves /Z WB
endfor
end
function allnumerical(numwaves) // Puts waves in order of increasing
// piezo voltage (increasing wavelength, decreasing frequency)
variable numwaves
numwaves-=1 // This is correct
string wsuffix=""
variable length, ii, jj
wave wave0
wavestats /Q wave0
length=V_npnts-1
if(wave0[length]-wave0[0]>0) // This side doesn't work.
// for(jj=0; jj <=numwaves; jj+=1)
// wsuffix=num2str(jj)
// //print wsuffix
// wave WC=$("wave"+wsuffix)
// make /d/o/n =(length+1) $("wave"+wsuffix+"B")
// wave WD=$("wave"+wsuffix+"B")
// for(ii=0; ii <=length+1; ii+=1)
// WD[ii]=WC[ii] // first assignment
// endfor
// killwaves /z WC
// make /d/o/n =(length+1) $("wave"+wsuffix)
// wave WE=$("wave"+wsuffix)
// WE=WD // set back to good name
// killwaves /z WD
// endfor
endif
if(wave0[length]-wave0[0]<0) //print -1
// Then invert the waves, this is the wrong order // This side works
for(jj=0; jj <=numwaves; jj+=1)
wsuffix=num2str(jj)
//print suffix
wave WC=$("wave"+wsuffix)
make /d/o/n =(length+1) $("wave"+wsuffix+"B")
wave WD=$("wave"+wsuffix+"B")
for(ii=0; ii <=length+1; ii+=1)
WD[ii]=WC[length-ii] // first assignment
endfor
killwaves /z WC
make /d/o/n =(length+1) $("wave"+wsuffix)
wave WE=$("wave"+wsuffix)
WE=WD // set back to good name
killwaves /z WD
endfor
endif
end
```

```
function namewaves(suffix)
// Changes wave names from wave0...wave22 to "meaningful names."
string suffix
string P, W, X1, Y1, X2, Y2, X3, DC, RRC, CRR, OF, MB, AMN
string DMHZ, CombWL, TS, IMN, SM, PA, Pressure, Y3, WMWN, kv
P = "P_"+ suffix // Piezo Voltage
W = "W_"+ suffix // Wavemeter Wavelength
X1 = "X1_"+ suffix // Digital Lock-In Channel X
Y1 = "Y1_"+ suffix // Digital Lock-In Channel Y
X2 = "X2_"+ suffix // Analog Lock-In Channel X
Y2 = "Y2_"+ suffix // Analog Lock-In Channel Y
X3 = "X3_"+ suffix // Third Lock-In (Optional) X
DC = "DC_"+ suffix // DC component of Fast Detector
RRC = "RRC_"+ suffix // Rep Rate Counter or Downmixed Repitition Rate
CRR = "CRR_"+ suffix // Calculated Repeition Rate
OF = "OF_"+ suffix // Signed Offset Frequency
MB = "MB_"+ suffix // Signed cw Matisse beat Frequency
AMN = "AMN_"+ suffix // Assumed mode number
DMHZ = "DMHZ_"+ suffix
// Difference between comb calculated and wavemeter frequency in MHz
CombWL = "CombWL_"+ suffix // Wavelength of Ti:Sapphire as determined by frequency comb
TS = "TS_"+ suffix // Time stamp of frequency comb measurement
IMN = "IMN_"+ suffix // "Incorrect" or calculated mode number
SM = "SM_"+ suffix // Scanning mode
PA = "PA_"+ suffix // Picoammeter
Pressure = "Pressure_"+ suffix // Pressure Transducer
Y3 = "Y3_"+ suffix // Third Lock-In (Optional) Y
WMWN = "WMWN_" + suffix // Wavemeter frequency in wavenumbers
kv = "KV_" + suffix // Keithley voltage reading
//rename waves
rename wave0 $P
rename wave1 $W
rename wave2 $X1
rename wave3 $Y1
rename wave4 $X2
rename wave5 $Y2
rename wave6 $X3
rename wave7 $DC
rename wave8 $RRC
rename wave9 $CRR
rename wave10 $0F
rename wave11 $MB
rename wave12 $AMN
rename wave13 $DMHZ
rename wave14 $CombWL
rename wave15 $TS
rename wave16 $IMN
rename wave17 $SM
rename wave18 $PA
rename wave19 $Pressure
rename wave20 $Y3
rename wave21 $WMWN
rename wave22 $kv
end
function calibratespectrum(suffix)
// Calibrate piezo voltage to wavemeter - AOM shift unaccounted for
```

```
string suffix //killwindow graph0
wave piezo=$("P_"+suffix)
wave wavemeter=$("W_"+suffix)
//display wavemeter vs piezo
//showinfo //UserCursorAdjust("Graph0")
Make /d/o/n=5 W_coef=0
Make /d/o/n=5 W_sigma=0
CurveFit/Q/NTHR=0 poly 5, wavemeter /X=piezo /D // WE[pcsr(B),pcsr(A)] /X=PVC /D
//Hideinfo
wave stats=$("StatS_"+suffix)
stats[2]=W_coef[0] // intercept
// Store wavemeter intercept in stats[2]
stats[3]=W_coef[1] // x
// Store wavemeter linear term in stats[3]
Stats[4]=W_coef[2] // x^2
// Store wavemeter quadratic term in stats[4]
Stats[5]=W_coef[3] // x^3
// Store wavemeter cubic term in stats[5]
Stats[6]=W_coef[4] // x^4
// Store wavemeter quartic term in stats[6]
Stats[7]=W_sigma[0]
Stats[8]=W_sigma[1] //
Stats[9]=W_sigma[2] //
Stats[10]=W_sigma[3] //
Stats[11]=W_sigma[4] //
//"Calibrate" spectrum with wavemeter. RAW VALUE.
variable incrementer=stats[0]
make /o/D/n=(incrementer) $("Wavelength_"+suffix)
make /o/D/n=(incrementer) tempwave
wave Wavelength=$("Wavelength_"+suffix)
tempwave=Piezo^4*Stats [6]+Piezo^3*Stats[5]+Piezo^2*Stats[4]+Piezo*Stats [3]+Stats [2]
Wavelength=tempwave // AOM shift unaccounted for
end
function calibratespectrumcomb(suffix)
string suffix //killwindow graph0
wave piezo=$("P_"+suffix)
wave wavemeter=$("combWL_"+suffix)
//display wavemeter vs piezo
//showinfo
//UserCursorAdjust("Graph0")
Make /d/o/n=5 W_coef=0
Make /d/o/n=5 W_sigma=0
CurveFit/Q/NTHR=0 poly 5, wavemeter /X=piezo /D // WE[pcsr(B),pcsr(A)] /X=PVC /D
//Hideinfo
wave stats=$("StatS_"+suffix)
stats[2]=W_coef[0] // intercept
stats[3]=W_coef[1] // x
Stats[4]=W_coef[2] // x^2
Stats[5]=W_coef[3] // x^3
Stats[6]=W_coef[4] // x^4
Stats[7]=W_sigma[0]
Stats[8]=W_sigma[1] //
Stats[9]=W_sigma[2] //
Stats[10]=W_sigma[3] //
Stats[11]=W_sigma[4] //
//"Calibrate" spectrum with wavemeter. RAW VALUE.
wavestats /Q $("P_"+suffix)
```

```
stats[0]=V_npnts
variable incrementer=stats[0]
make /o/D/n=(incrementer) $("CombWavelength_"+suffix)
make /o/D/n=(incrementer) tempwave
wave Wavelength=$("CombWavelength_"+suffix)
tempwave=Piezo^4*Stats [6]+Piezo^3*Stats [5]+Piezo^2*Stats [4]+Piezo*Stats [3]+Stats [2]
Wavelength=tempwave
end
function calibratedetector(suffix)
string suffix
//killwindow graph0
wave piezo=$("P_"+suffix)
wave detector=$("DC_"+suffix)
//display detector vs piezo
//showinfo
//UserCursorAdjust("Graph0")
Make /d/o/n=5 W_coef=0
Make /d/o/n=5 W_sigma=0
CurveFit/Q/NTHR=0 poly 5, detector /X=piezo /D // WE[pcsr(B),pcsr(A)] /X=PVC /D
//Hideinfo
wave stats=$("StatS_"+suffix)
stats[13]=W_coef[0] // intercept
// Store detector intercept in stats[13]
stats[14]=W_coef[1] // x
// Store detectorlinear term in stats[14]
Stats[15]=W_coef[2] // x^2
// Store detector quadratic term in stats[15]
Stats[16]=W_coef[3] // x^3
// Store detector cubic term in stats[16]
Stats[17]=W_coef[4] // x^4
// Store detector quartic term in stats[17]
Stats[18]=W_sigma[0]
Stats[19]=W_sigma[1] //
Stats[20]=W_sigma[2] //
Stats[21]=W_sigma[3] //
Stats[22]=W_sigma[4] //
//"Calibrate" spectrum with wavemeter. RAW VALUE.
variable incrementer=stats[0]
make /o/D/n=(incrementer) $("DetBaseLine_"+suffix)
make /o/D/n=(incrementer) tempwave
wave detbaseline=$("DetBaseLine_"+suffix)
tempwave=Piezo^4*Stats[17]+Piezo^3*Stats[16]+Piezo^2*Stats[15]+Piezo*Stats [14]+Stats [13]
detbaseline=tempwave
end
function calibratePA(suffix)
string suffix
//killwindow graph0
wave piezo=$("P_"+suffix)
wave ammeter=$("PA_"+suffix)
//display detector vs piezo
//showinfo
//UserCursorAdjust("Graph0")
Make /d/o/n=5 W_coef=0
Make /d/o/n=5 W_sigma=0
CurveFit/Q/NTHR=0 poly 5, ammeter /X=piezo /D // WE[pcsr(B),pcsr(A)] /X=PVC /D
//Hideinfo
wave stats=$("StatS_"+suffix)
```

```
stats[51]=W_coef[0] // intercept
// Store picoammeter intercept in stats[51]
stats[52]=W_coef[1] // x
// Store picoammeter linear term in stats[52]
Stats[53]=W_coef[2] // x^2
// Store picoammeter quadratic term in stats[53]
Stats[54]=W_coef[3] // x^3
// Store picoammeter cubic term in stats[54]
Stats[55]=W_coef[4] // x^4
// Store picoammeter quartic term in stats[55]
Stats[56]=W_sigma [0]
Stats[57]=W_sigma[1] //
Stats[58]=W_sigma[2] //
Stats[59]=W_sigma[3] //
Stats[60]=W_sigma[4] //
//"Calibrate" spectrum with wavemeter. RAW VALUE.
variable incrementer=stats[0]
make /o/D/n=(incrementer) $("PABaseLine_"+suffix)
make /o/D/n=(incrementer) tempwave
wave pabaseline=$("PABaseLine_"+suffix)
tempwave=Piezo^4*Stats[55]+Piezo^3*Stats[54]+Piezo^2*Stats [53]+Piezo*Stats[52]+Stats [51]
pabaseline=tempwave
end
function normalizelockins(suffix, LI1Norm,LI2Norm, LI3Norm)
string suffix
variable LI1Norm, LI2Norm, LI3Norm
wave stats=$("StatS_"+suffix)
variable incrementer=stats[0]
wave X1C=$("X1_"+suffix)
wave Y1C=$("Y1_"+suffix)
wave X2C=$("X2_"+suffix)
wave Y2C=$("Y2_"+suffix)
wave X3C=$("X3_"+suffix)
wave Y3C=$("Y3_"+suffix)
make /o/D/n=(incrementer) $("X1Norm_"+suffix)
make /o/D/n=(incrementer) $("Y1Norm_"+suffix)
make /o/D/n=(incrementer) $("X2Norm_"+suffix)
make /o/D/n=(incrementer) $("Y2Norm_"+suffix)
make /o/D/n=(incrementer) $("X3Norm_"+suffix)
make /o/D/n=(incrementer) $("Y3Norm_"+suffix)
wave X1N=$("X1Norm_"+suffix)
wave Y1N=$("Y1Norm_"+suffix)
wave X2N=$("X2Norm_"+suffix)
wave Y2N=$("Y2Norm_"+suffix)
wave X3N=$("X3Norm_"+suffix)
wave Y3N=$("Y3Norm_"+suffix)
X1N=X1C*LI1Norm
Y1N=Y1C*LI1Norm
X2N=X2C*LI2Norm
Y2N=Y2C*LI2Norm
X3N=X3C*LI3Norm
Y3N=Y3C*LI3Norm
end
function fractionalizelockins(suffix)
// Finds fractional signal. MUST choose right factor!!!
string suffix
```

```
wave stats=$("StatS_"+suffix)
wave DetectorBaseline=$("DetBaseline_"+suffix)
variable incrementer=stats[0]
wave detector=$("DC_"+suffix)
wave X1N=$("X1Norm_"+suffix)
wave Y1N=$("Y1Norm_"+suffix)
wave X2N=$("X2Norm_"+suffix)
wave Y2N=$("Y2Norm_"+suffix)
wave X3N=$("X3Norm_"+suffix)
wave Y3N=$("Y3Norm_"+suffix)
make /o/D/n=(incrementer) $("X1Frac_"+suffix)
make /o/D/n=(incrementer) $("Y1Frac_"+suffix)
make /o/D/n=(incrementer) $("X2Frac_"+suffix)
make /o/D/n=(incrementer) $("Y2Frac_"+suffix)
make /o/D/n=(incrementer) $("X3Frac_"+suffix)
make /o/D/n=(incrementer) $("Y3Frac_"+suffix)
wave X1F=$("X1Frac_"+suffix)
wave Y1F=$("Y1Frac_"+suffix)
wave X2F=$("X2Frac_"+suffix)
wave Y2F=$("Y2Frac_"+suffix)
wave X3F=$("X3Frac_"+suffix)
wave Y3F=$("Y3Frac_"+suffix)
variable base, maxs, xhalf, rate, ACGAIN, LIRF2Frac
    variable Gain , oldGainMinus10, DCLEVEL, oldgain
wavestats /q $("DetBaseline_"+suffix)
DCLEVEL=V_avg
make /o/D/n=(incrementer) $("ACBaseline_"+suffix)
wave ACBaseline=$("ACBaseline_"+suffix)
make /o/D/n=(incrementer) $("ACBaselineOld_"+suffix)
wave ACBaselineOld=$("ACBaselineOld_"+suffix)
make /o/D/n=(incrementer) $("ACBaselineDirect_"+suffix)
wave ACBaselineDirect=$("ACBaselineDirect_"+suffix)
// Calibrate AC Gain (V/A) based on DC LEVEL.
// Not NEEDED, AC level is so small...
// base=20803.058
// maxs=-12668.927
// xhalf=3.1777755
// rate=0.49094
// ACGAIN=base+maxs/(1+exp((xhalf-DCLEVEL)/rate))
// // calibrate AC voltgae to DC voltage
// variable base, maxs, xhalf, rate
// base=-1.8888
// maxs=6.83828
// xhalf=0.52693
// rate=. }640
// ACBaselineDirect=base+maxs/(1+exp((xhalf-DetectorBaseline)/rate))*Gain
// Gain = 7.798*ACGAIN/8077
OldGain = 7.798*20668/8077
OldGainMinus10 = 2.466*20668/8077
// GainMinus10=2.466*ACGAIN/8077
```

ACBaseline=DetectorBaseline*OldGain
// must decide if it's a 10 dB attenuation or not. Must somehow automate this.

```
LIRF2Frac=1.496235656*2.31739465/25.70395783*3.322259136
LIRF2Frac=1.496235656*2.31739465/25.70395783*3.322259136
// 1/PSloss 1/MixerLoss / amplifier gain / bessel ..
// 10 dB attenuator not taken into account
// Should not have bessel VALUE!!!
//LIRF2Frac=1.496235656*2.31739465/25.70395783*3.322259136*3.16227766
// 1/PSloss 1/MixerLoss / amplifier gain / bessel / 10 dB..
// With 10 dB attenuator taken into account //
X1F=X1N/ACBaseline
Y1F=Y1N/ACBaseline
X2F=X2N/ACBaseline
Y2F=Y2N/ACBaseline
X3F=X3N/ACBaseline
Y3F=Y3N/ACBaseline
end
function DuplicateForRotation(suffix)
string suffix
wave stats=$("StatS_"+suffix)
variable incrementer=stats[0]
duplicate /0 $("X1Norm_"+suffix), $("xa"+suffix)
duplicate /O $("Y1Norm_"+suffix), $("ya"+suffix)
duplicate /O $("X2Norm_"+suffix), $("xb"+suffix)
duplicate /O $("Y2Norm_"+suffix), $("yb"+suffix)
// wave XRA=$("xa"+suffix)
// wave YRA=$("ya"+suffix)
// wave XRB=$("xb"+suffix)
// wave YRB=$("yb"+suffix)
end
function DuplicateForNormalization(suffix)
string suffix
wave stats=$("StatS_"+suffix)
variable incrementer=stats[0]
duplicate /O $("xa"+suffix), $("xan"+suffix)
duplicate /0 $("ya"+suffix), $("yan"+suffix)
duplicate /O $("xb"+suffix), $("xbn"+suffix)
duplicate /0 $("yb"+suffix), $("ybn"+suffix)
duplicate /O $("xa"+suffix), $("xanc"+suffix)
duplicate /O $("ya"+suffix), $("yanc"+suffix)
duplicate /O $("xb"+suffix), $("xbnc"+suffix)
duplicate /O $("yb"+suffix), $("ybnc"+suffix)
end
function AOMShift(suffix)
// Applies AOM shift.
//Red Shift Subtracts out 170 MHz.
//Blue Shift adds in 170 MHz.
string suffix
wave stats=$("StatS_"+suffix)
variable incrementer=stats[0]
wave Wavelength=$("Wavelength_"+suffix)
```

```
make /o/D/n=(incrementer) $("UnshiftedFrequency_"+suffix)
wave FA=$("UnshiftedFrequency_"+suffix)
FA=299792458/(Wavelength/1e9)
make /o/D/n=(incrementer) $("ShiftedFrequency_"+suffix)
wave SF=$("ShiftedFrequency_"+suffix)
SF=FA-170000000 // 85 MHz * 2
// AOM red shifts means subtract out }85\textrm{MHz}\mathrm{ .
make /o/D/n=(incrementer) $("ShiftedWavelength_"+suffix)
wave SW=$("ShiftedWavelength_"+suffix)
SW=299792458/SF*1e9
make /o/D/n=(incrementer) $("ShiftWnr_"+suffix)
wave WNM=$("ShiftWnr_"+suffix)
WNM=1/(SW/1e7)
end
function AOMShiftComb(suffix)
string suffix
wave stats=$("StatS_"+suffix)
variable incrementer=stats[0]
wave Wavelength=$("CombWavelength_"+suffix)
make /o/D/n=(incrementer) $("CombUnshiftedFrequency_"+suffix)
wave FA=$("CombUnshiftedFrequency_"+suffix)
FA=299792458/(Wavelength/1e9)
make /o/D/n=(incrementer) $("CombShiftedFrequency_"+suffix)
wave SF=$("CombShiftedFrequency_"+suffix)
SF=FA-170000000 // 85 MHz * 2
// AOM red shifts means subtract out 85 MHz.
make /o/D/n=(incrementer) $("CombShiftedWavelength_"+suffix)
wave SW=$("CombShiftedWavelength_"+suffix)
SW=299792458/SF*1e9
make /o/D/n=(incrementer) $("CombShiftWnr_"+suffix)
wave WNM=$("CombShiftWnr_"+suffix)
WNM=1/(SW/1e7)
end
function killcomb(suffix)
string suffix
// string RRC, CRR, OF, MB, AMN, DMHZ, CombWL, TS, IMN, SM
wave RRC = $("RRC_"+ suffix)
wave CRR = $("CRR_"+ suffix)
wave OF = $("OF_"+ suffix)
wave MB = $("MB_"+ suffix)
wave AMN = $("AMN_"+ suffix)
wave DMHZ = $("DMHZ_"+ suffix)
wave CombWL = $("CombWL_"+ suffix)
wave TS = $("TS_"+ suffix)
wave IMN = $("IMN_"+ suffix)
wave SM = $("SM_"+ suffix)
killwaves /z RRC, CRR, OF, MB, AMN, DMHZ, CombWL, TS, IMN, SM
end
function rotator(xwaveA,ywaveA,theta1)
// rotates }x\mathrm{ wave and y wave by angle theta
wave xwaveA, ywaveA
variable theta1
duplicate xwaveA xwaveA2
duplicate ywaveA ywaveA2
variable thetaradians1
```

```
thetaradians1=theta1/180*pi
xwaveA=xwaveA2*cos(thetaradians1)-ywaveA2*sin(thetaradians1)
ywaveA=xwaveA2*sin(thetaradians1)+ywaveA2*cos(thetaradians1)
end
function makersnts(suffix) // Makes R wave, Theta wave
string suffix
wave X1 =$( "X1_"+ suffix)
wave Y1 = $("Y1_"+ suffix)
wave X2 = $("X2_"+ suffix)
wave Y2 = $("Y2_"+ suffix)
Duplicate/O $("X1_"+ suffix), $("R1_"+ suffix)
Duplicate/O $("X2_"+ suffix), $("T1_"+ suffix)
Duplicate/O $("Y1_"+ suffix), $("R2_"+ suffix)
Duplicate/O $("Y2_"+ suffix), $("T2_"+ suffix)
Duplicate/O $("Y1_"+ suffix), $("RTotal_"+ suffix)
Duplicate/O $("Y2_"+ suffix), $("TTotal_"+ suffix)
wave R1 = $("R1_"+ suffix)
wave T1 = $("T1_"+ suffix)
wave R2 = $("R2_"+ suffix)
wave T2 = $("T2"+ suffix)
wave RTotal = $("RTotal_"+ suffix)
Wave TTotal = $("TTotal_"+ suffix)
R1=sqrt(X1^2+Y1^2)
T1=atan(Y1/X1)
T1=T1/pi*180
R2=sqrt(X2^2+Y2^2)
T2=atan(Y2/X2)
T2=T2/pi*180
RTotal=sqrt(R1^2+R2^2)
TTotal=atan(R2/R1)
end
function smoothwaves(suffix)
string suffix
wave X1N =$( "X1Norm_"+ suffix)
wave Y1N = $("Y1Norm_"+ suffix)
wave X2N = $("X2Norm_"+ suffix)
wave Y2N = $("Y2Norm_"+ suffix)
wave R1 = $("R1_"+ suffix)
wave T1 = $("T1_"+ suffix)
wave R2 = $("R2_"+ suffix)
wave T2 = $("T2"+ suffix)
Duplicate/O $("X1Norm_"+ suffix), $("X1NormSmooth_"+ suffix)
Smooth/EVEN/B 20, $("X1NormSmooth_"+ suffix)
Duplicate/O $("X2Norm_"+ suffix), $("X2NormSmooth_"+ suffix)
Smooth/EVEN/B 20, $("X2NormSmooth_"+ suffix)
Duplicate/0 $("Y1Norm_"+ suffix), $("Y1NormSmooth_"+ suffix)
Smooth/EVEN/B 20, $("Y1NormSmooth_"+ suffix)
Duplicate/O $("Y2Norm_"+ suffix), $("Y2NormSmooth_"+ suffix)
Smooth/EVEN/B 20, $("Y2NormSmooth_"+ suffix)
Duplicate/O $("R1_"+ suffix), $("R1Smooth_"+ suffix)
Smooth/EVEN/B 20, $("R1Smooth_"+ suffix)
Duplicate/O $("T1_"+ suffix), $("T1Smooth_"+ suffix)
Smooth/EVEN/B 20, $("T1Smooth_"+ suffix)
Duplicate/O $("R2_"+ suffix), $("R2Smooth_"+ suffix)
Smooth/EVEN/B 20, $("R2Smooth_"+ suffix)
Duplicate/O $("T2_"+ suffix), $("T2Smooth_"+ suffix)
```

```
Smooth/EVEN/B 20, $("T2Smooth_"+ suffix)
Duplicate/O $("RTotal_"+ suffix), $("RTSmooth_"+ suffix)
Smooth/EVEN/B 20, $("RTSmooth_"+ suffix)
Duplicate/O $("TTotal_"+ suffix), $("TTSmooth_"+ suffix)
Smooth/EVEN/B 20, $("TTSmooth_"+ suffix)
end
function smoothrotated(suffix)
string suffix
wave Xa =$( "Xa"+ suffix)
wave Ya = $("Ya"+ suffix)
wave Xb = $("Xb"+ suffix)
wave Yb = $("Yb"+ suffix)
Duplicate/O $("Xa"+ suffix), $("XaSmooth_"+ suffix)
Smooth/EVEN/B 20, $("XaSmooth_"+ suffix)
Duplicate/O $("Ya"+ suffix), $("YaSmooth_"+ suffix)
Smooth/EVEN/B 20, $("YaSmooth_"+ suffix)
Duplicate/O $("Xb"+ suffix), $("XbSmooth_"+ suffix)
Smooth/EVEN/B 20, $("XbSmooth_"+ suffix)
Duplicate/O $("Yb"+ suffix), $("YbSmooth_"+ suffix)
Smooth/EVEN/B 20, $("YbSmooth_"+ suffix)
end
function smoothnormalized(suffix)
string suffix
Duplicate/O $("Xan"+ suffix), $("XanSmooth_"+ suffix)
Smooth/EVEN/B 20, $("XanSmooth_"+ suffix)
Duplicate/0 $("Yan"+ suffix), $("YanSmooth_"+ suffix)
Smooth/EVEN/B 20, $("YanSmooth_"+ suffix)
Duplicate/O $("Xbn"+ suffix), $("XbnSmooth_"+ suffix)
Smooth/EVEN/B 20, $("XbnSmooth_"+ suffix)
Duplicate/O $("Ybn"+ suffix), $("YbnSmooth_"+ suffix)
Smooth/EVEN/B 20, $("YbnSmooth_"+ suffix)
Duplicate/O $("Xanc"+ suffix), $("XancSmooth_"+ suffix)
Smooth/EVEN/B 20, $("XancSmooth_"+ suffix)
Duplicate/O $("Yanc"+ suffix), $("YancSmooth_"+ suffix)
Smooth/EVEN/B 20, $("YancSmooth_"+ suffix)
Duplicate/O $("Xbnc"+ suffix), $("XbncSmooth_"+ suffix)
Smooth/EVEN/B 20, $("XbncSmooth_"+ suffix)
Duplicate/O $("Ybnc"+ suffix), $("YbncSmooth_"+ suffix)
Smooth/EVEN/B 20, $("YbncSmooth_"+ suffix)
end
function smoothfrac(suffix)
string suffix
Duplicate/O $("X1Frac_"+ suffix), $("X1FracSmooth_"+ suffix)
Smooth/EVEN/B 20, $("X1FracSmooth_"+ suffix)
Duplicate/0 $("Y1Frac_"+ suffix), $("Y1FracSmooth_"+ suffix)
Smooth/EVEN/B 20, $("Y1FracSmooth_"+ suffix)
Duplicate/O $("X2Frac_"+ suffix), $("X2FracSmooth_"+ suffix)
Smooth/EVEN/B 20, $("X2FracSmooth_"+ suffix)
Duplicate/0 $("Y2Frac_"+ suffix), $("Y2FracSmooth_"+ suffix)
Smooth/EVEN/B 20, $("Y2FracSmooth_"+ suffix)
end
function getstats(suffix,LI1Norm,LI2Norm, LI3Norm)
string suffix
variable LI1Norm,LI2Norm, LI3Norm
```

```
wave stats=$("StatS_"+suffix)
variable incrementer=stats[0]
stats [24]=LI1Norm
// Stats[24]=L1Norm
stats[25]=LI2Norm
// Stats[25]=L2Norm
stats[26]=LI3Norm
// Stats[26]=L3Norm
wavestats /Q $("DetBaseLine_"+suffix)
stats[28]=V_avg
// Stats[28]=Average of DetBaseline
stats[29]=V_sdev
// Stats[29]=Standard Deviation of DetBaseline
wavestats /Q $("PABaseLine_"+suffix)
stats[31]=V_avg
// Stats[31]=Average of PaBaseline
stats[32]=V_sdev
// Stats[32]=Standard Deviation of PaBaseline
wavestats /Q $("X1Norm_"+suffix)
stats[34]=V_sdev
// Stats[34]=Standard Deviation of X1Normalized
wavestats /Q $("Y1Norm_"+suffix)
stats[35]=V_sdev
// Stats[35]=Standard Deviation of Y1Normalized
wavestats /Q $("X2Norm_"+suffix)
stats[36]=V_sdev
// Stats[36]=Standard Deviation of X2Normalized
wavestats /Q $("Y2Norm_"+suffix)
stats[37]=V_sdev
// Stats[37]=Standard Deviation of Y2Normalized
wavestats /Q $("X1Frac_"+suffix)
stats[39]=V_sdev
// Stats[39]=Standard Deviation of X1Frac
wavestats /Q $("Y1Frac_"+suffix)
stats[40]=V_sdev
// Stats[40]=Standard Deviation of Y1Frac
wavestats /Q $("X2Frac_"+suffix)
stats[41]=V_sdev
// Stats[41]=Standard Deviation of X2Frac
wavestats /Q $("Y2Frac_"+suffix)
stats[42]=V_sdev
// Stats[42]=Standard Deviation of Y2Frac
wavestats /Q $("X3_"+suffix)
stats[44]=V_avg
// Stats[44]=Standard Deviation of X3Frac
wavestats /Q $("Y3_"+suffix)
stats[45]=V_sdev
// Stats[45]=Standard Deviation of Y3Frac
wavestats /Q $("X1NormSmooth_"+suffix)
stats[47]=V_max-V_min
// Stats[47]=Height of X1 Channel Signal
wavestats /Q $("X2NormSmooth_"+suffix)
stats[48]=V_max-V_min
// Stats[48]=Height of X2 Channel Signal
wavestats /Q $("Y1NormSmooth_"+suffix)
stats[49]=V_max-V_min
// Stats[49]=Height of Y1 Channel Signal
wavestats /Q $("Y2NormSmooth_"+suffix)
```

```
stats[50]=V_max-V_min
// Stats[50]=Height of Y2 Channel Signal
end
function normalizetovoltagecurrent(suffix)
string suffix
wave stats=$("StatS_"+suffix)
variable incrementer=stats[0]
wave X1D=$("xan"+suffix)
wave Y1D=$("yan"+suffix)
wave X2D=$("xbn"+suffix)
wave Y2D=$("ybn"+suffix)
wave X1DC=$("xanc"+suffix)
wave Y1DC=$("yanc"+suffix)
wave X2DC=$("xbnc"+suffix)
wave Y2DC=$("ybnc"+suffix)
wave DBL=$("DetBaseLine_"+suffix)
wave PAB=$("PABaseline_"+suffix)
X1D/=DBL
X1D/=PAB
Y1D/=DBL
Y1D/=PAB
X2D/=DBL
X2D/=PAB
Y2D/=DBL
Y2D/=PAB
X1DC/=PAB
X2DC/=PAB
Y1DC/=PAB
Y2DC/=PAB
end
function redocomb(suffix)
string suffix
calibratespectrumComb(suffix)
AOMShiftComb(suffix)
end
function modedet(suffix,low,high)
string suffix
variable low,high
wave stats=$("StatS_"+suffix)
variable incrementer=stats[0]
make /o/D/n=2 $("xwave_"+suffix)
make /o/D/n=2 $("ywavea_"+suffix)
make /o/D/n=2 $("ywaveb_"+suffix)
wave xwave=$("xwave_"+suffix)
wave ywavea=$("ywavea_"+suffix)
wave ywaveb=$("ywaveb_"+suffix)
xwave [0]=0
xwave[1]=incrementer
ywavea=low
ywaveb=high
make /o/D/n=2 $("xwaveb_"+suffix)
make /o/D/n=2 $("xwavec_"+suffix)
make /o/D/n=2 $("xwaved_"+suffix)
make /o/D/n=2 $("ywave_"+suffix)
wave xwaveb=$("xwaveb_"+suffix)
```

```
wave xwavec=$("xwavec_"+suffix)
wave xwaved=$("xwaved_"+suffix)
wave ywave=$("ywave_"+suffix)
xwaveb=low
xwavec=high
ywave [0]=0
ywave[1]=incrementer
Make/N=1000/O $("IMN_"+suffix+"_Hist")
Histogram/B=1 $("IMN_"+suffix),$("IMN_"+suffix+"_Hist")
display $("IMN_"+suffix+"_Hist")
ModifyGraph highTrip(bottom)=1e+08
ModifyGraph mode($("IMN_"+suffix+"_Hist"))=1
appendtograph $("ywave_"+suffix) vs $("xwaveb_"+suffix)
appendtograph $("ywave_"+suffix) vs $("xwavec_"+suffix)
appendtograph $("ywave_"+suffix) vs $("xwaved_"+suffix)
CurveFit/M=2/W=O gauss, $("IMN_"+suffix+"_Hist")/D
ModifyGraph rgb($("ywave_"+suffix))=(0,0,65280)
ModifyGraph rgb($("ywave_"+suffix)#1)=(0,0,65280)
ModifyGraph rgb($("ywave_"+suffix)#2)=(0,0,0)
ModifyGraph rgb($("fit_IMN_"+suffix+"_Hist"))=(0,0,65280)
wave W_coef
ywave[1]=W_coef [1]*1.5
xwaved=W_coef [2]
end
function addmode(suffix)
string suffix
appendtograph $("ywaveb_"+suffix) vs $("xwave_"+suffix)
//ModifyGraph rgb($("ywavea_"+suffix))=(0,0,65280)
appendtograph $("ywavea_"+suffix) vs $("xwave_"+suffix)
//ModifyGraph rgb($("ywavea_"+suffix))=(0,0,65280)
end
function makedoppler(suffix,voltage,plusvoltage,minusvoltage)
// ("2", 4000, 10, -10)
string suffix
variable voltage, plusvoltage,minusvoltage
wave fn2plus,in2plus
variable nln2plus, factorA, factorB
wavestats /Q LN2plus //determine number of rows in input N2+ wave
nLN2plus=V_npnts
make /d/o/n=(nLN2plus) $("Fplus1_"+suffix)=0
make /d/o/n=(nLN2plus) $("Fminus1_"+suffix)=0
make /d/o/n=(nLN2plus) $("Fminus2_"+suffix)=0
make /d/o/n=(nLN2plus) $("Fplus2_"+suffix)=0
wave FP1W=$("Fplus1_"+suffix)
wave FM1W=$("Fminus1_"+suffix)
wave FP2W=$("Fplus2_"+suffix)
wave FM2W=$("Fminus2_"+suffix)
//Fplus1=0, Fminus1=0,Fplus2=0, Fminus2=0
// make the waves for the doppler split lines,
variable VoltageA=voltage-plusvoltage //3865 - 10
variable VoltageB=voltage-minusvoltage //3865 - -10
factorA=sqrt ( }2*1.60217646e-19*VoltageA/4.6517405810e-26/299792458/299792458
// factor = v/c=sqrt( 2*q*V/m/c/c)
factorB=sqrt (2*1.60217646e-19*VoltageB/4.6517405810e-26/299792458/299792458)
// factor = v/c=sqrt(2*q*V/m/c/c)
FP1W=fN2plus*sqrt((1+factorA)/(1-factorA)) // Fully Equal
```

```
FP2W=fN2plus*sqrt((1+factorB)/(1-factorB)) // Fully Equal
FM1W=fN2plus*sqrt((1-factorA)/(1+factorA)) // Fully Equal
FM2W=fN2plus*sqrt((1-factorB)/(1+factorB)) // Fully Equal
//FP1W=fN2plus*(1+factorA) //Approximation
//FP2W=fN2plus*(1+factorB) //Approximation
//FM1W=fN2plus*(1-factorA) //Approximation
//FM2W=fN2plus*(1-factorB) //Approximation
end
function changegraphs()
ModifyGraph highTrip(bottom)=1e+06
end
function adddoppler(suffix)
string suffix
getaxis bottom
SetAxis /A=0 /Z bottom V_min,V_max
appendtograph /r in2plus vs $("Fminus2_"+suffix)
ModifyGraph rgb(In2plus)=(13056,0,0)
appendtograph /r in2plus vs $("Fminus1_"+suffix)
ModifyGraph rgb(In2plus#1)=(13056,0,0)
appendtograph /r in2plus vs $("Fplus1_"+suffix)
ModifyGraph rgb(In2plus#2)=(0,0,52224)
appendtograph /r in2plus vs $("Fplus2_"+suffix)
ModifyGraph rgb(In2plus#3)=(0,0,52224)
ModifyGraph mode(In2plus)=1,mode(In2plus#1)=1,mode(In2plus#2)=1,mode(In2plus#3)=1
//,mode(In2plus#4)=1,mode(In2plus#5)=1,mode(In2plus#6)=1, mode(In2plus#7)=1
end
function fixgraph()
ModifyGraph highTrip(bottom)=100000
//addheterodyne2(suffix)
end
function deletepnts(suffix,start,length)
string suffix
variable start,length
DeletePoints start,length, $("P_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("W_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("X1_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("Y1_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("X2_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("Y2_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("X3_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("DC_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("PA_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("Pressure_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("Y3_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("xa"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("xb"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("ya"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("yb"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("ShiftWnr_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("shiftedfrequency_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("shiftedwavelength_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("unshiftedfrequency_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("wavelength_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("xan"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("yan"+ suffix)
```

```
DeletePoints start,length, $("xbn"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("ybn"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("DetBaseLine_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("PABaseLine_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("RTotal_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("RTSmooth_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("R1Smooth_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("R1_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("R2Smooth_"+ suffix),$("R2_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("TTotal_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("TTSmooth_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("T1Smooth_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("T1_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("T2Smooth_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("T2_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("X1Frac_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("Y2Frac_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("X2Frac_"+ suffix),$("X1Norm_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("Y3Frac_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("X3Frac_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("Y1Frac_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("Y1Norm_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("Y2Norm_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("X2Norm_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("X3Norm_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("Y3Norm_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("X1NormSmooth_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("Y1NormSmooth_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("Y2NormSmooth_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("X2NormSmooth_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("xasmooth_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("xbsmooth_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("yasmooth_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("ybsmooth_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("xanc"+suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("yanc"+suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("xbnc"+suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("ybnc"+suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("XanSmooth_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("YanSmooth_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("XbnSmooth_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("YbnSmooth_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("XancSmooth_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("YancSmooth_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("XbncSmooth_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("YbncSmooth_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("wmwn_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("kv_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("MB_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("AMN_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("DMHZ_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("CombWL_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("TS_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("IMN_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("SM_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("RRC_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("CRR_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("OF_"+ suffix)
```

```
DeletePoints start,length, $("combshiftedfrequency_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("combunshiftedfrequency_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start, length, $("combshiftedwavelength_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("combwavelength_"+ suffix)
DeletePoints start,length, $("combshiftwnr_"+ suffix)
end
function tableone(suffix)
string suffix
edit $("P_"+ suffix), $("W_"+ suffix), $("X1_"+ suffix), $("Y1_"+ suffix)
appendtotable $("X2_"+ suffix), $("Y2_"+ suffix), $("X3_"+ suffix), $("DC_"+ suffix)
//appendtotable $("MB_"+ suffix), $("AMN_"+ suffix), $("DMHZ_"+ suffix)
//appendtotable $("CombWL_"+ suffix), $("TS_"+ suffix), $("IMN_"+ suffix)
//appendtotable $("SM_"+ suffix), $("RRC_"+ suffix), $("CRR_"+ suffix), $("OF_"+ suffix)
appendtotable $("PA_"+ suffix), $("Pressure_"+ suffix), $("Y3_"+ suffix)
appendtotable $("ShiftWnR_"+ suffix), $("xa"+ suffix), $("xb"+ suffix)
appendtotable $("ya"+ suffix), $("yb"+ suffix), $("XASmooth_"+ suffix)
appendtotable $("YASmooth_"+ suffix), $("XBSmooth_"+ suffix), $("YBSmooth_"+ suffix)
appendtotable $("xan"+suffix),$("yan"+suffix),$("xbn"+suffix),$("ybn"+suffix)
appendtotable $("DetBaseLine_"+suffix),$("PABaseline_"+suffix)
appendtotable $("RTotal_"+ suffix), $("RTSmooth_"+ suffix),$("R1Smooth_"+ suffix)
appendtotable $("R1_"+ suffix),$("R2Smooth_"+ suffix),$("R2_"+ suffix)
appendtotable $("shiftedfrequency_"+ suffix) ,$("shiftedwavelength_"+ suffix)
appendtotable $("unshiftedfrequency_"+ suffix), $("wavelength_"+ suffix)
appendtotable $("TTotal_"+ suffix), $("TTSmooth_"+ suffix)
appendtotable $("T1Smooth_"+ suffix), $("T1_"+ suffix)
appendtotable $("T2Smooth_"+ suffix), $("T2_"+ suffix)
appendtotable $("X3Norm_"+ suffix),$("X3Frac_"+ suffix),$("Y3Norm_"+ suffix)
appendtotable $("Y3Frac_"+ suffix) ,$("X2Norm_"+ suffix) ,$("X2NormSmooth_"+ suffix)
appendtotable $("X2Frac_"+ suffix),$("X1Norm_"+ suffix)
appendtotable $("X1NormSmooth_"+ suffix), $("X1Frac_"+ suffix)
appendtotable $("YaSmooth_"+ suffix), $("YbSmooth_"+ suffix)
appendtotable $("Y2Norm_"+ suffix), $("Y2NormSmooth_"+ suffix), $("Y2Frac_"+ suffix)
appendtotable $("Y1Norm_"+ suffix), $("Y1NormSmooth_"+ suffix), $("Y1Frac_"+ suffix),
appendtotable $("fit_DC_"+ suffix),$("fit_PA_"+ suffix), $("fit_W_"+ suffix)
appendtotable $("Stats_"+ suffix)
appendtotable $("XanSmooth_"+ suffix), $("YanSmooth_"+ suffix)
appendtotable $("XbnSmooth_"+ suffix), $("YbnSmooth_"+ suffix)
appendtotable $("xanc"+suffix) , $("yanc"+suffix) , $("xbnc"+suffix)
appendtotable $("ybnc"+suffix) , $("XancSmooth_"+ suffix), $("YancSmooth_"+ suffix)
appendtotable $("XbncSmooth_"+ suffix), $("YbncSmooth_"+ suffix)
appendtotable $("wmwn_"+suffix) , $("kv_"+suffix), $("CombShiftedFrequency_"+ suffix)
appendtotable $("CombUnshiftedFrequency_"+ suffix)
appendtotable $("combshiftedwavelength_"+ suffix), $("combwavelength_"+ suffix)
appendtotable $("combshiftwnr_"+ suffix)
end
function removeone(suffix)
string suffix
// string P, W, X1, Y1, X2, Y2, X3, DC, RRC, CRR, OF,
// string MB, AMN, DMHZ, CombWL, TS, IMN, SM, PA, Pressure, Y3
wave P = $("P_"+ suffix)
wave W = $("W_"+ suffix)
wave X1 = $("X1_"+ suffix)
wave Y1 = $("Y1_"+ suffix)
wave X2 = $("X2_"+ suffix)
wave Y2 = $("Y2_"+ suffix)
wave X3 = $("X3_"+ suffix)
```

```
wave DC = $("DC_"+ suffix)
wave RRC = $("RRC_"+ suffix)
wave CRR = $("CRR_"+ suffix)
wave OF = $("OF_"+ suffix)
wave MB = $("MB_"+ suffix)
wave AMN = $("AMN_"+ suffix)
wave DMHZ = $("DMHZ_"+ suffix)
wave CombWL = $("CombWL_"+ suffix)
wave TS = $("TS_"+ suffix)
wave IMN = $("IMN_"+ suffix)
wave SM = $("SM_"+ suffix)
wave PA = $("PA_"+ suffix)
wave Pressure = $("Pressure_"+ suffix)
wave Y3 = $("Y3_"+ suffix)
wave shiftwnr = $("ShiftWnR_"+ suffix)
wave xa = $("xa"+ suffix)
wave xb = $("xb"+ suffix)
wave ya = $("ya"+ suffix)
wave yb = $("yb"+ suffix)
wave xas = $("XASmooth_"+ suffix)
wave yas = $("YASmooth_"+ suffix)
wave xbs = $("XBSmooth_"+ suffix)
wave ybs = $("YBSmooth_"+ suffix)
wave X1D=$("xan"+suffix)
wave Y1D=$("yan"+suffix)
wave X2D=$("xbn"+suffix)
wave Y2D=$("ybn"+suffix)
wave DBL=$("DetBaseLine_"+suffix)
wave PAB=$("PABaseline_"+suffix)
wave fdc=$("fit_DC_"+ suffix)
wave fpa=$("fit_PA_"+ suffix)
wave fwa=$("fit_W_"+ suffix)
wave RT=$("RTotal_"+ suffix)
wave RTS=$("RTSmooth_"+ suffix)
wave R1S=$("R1Smooth_"+ suffix)
wave R1=$("R1_"+ suffix)
wave R2S=$("R2Smooth_"+ suffix)
wave R2=$("R2_"+ suffix)
wave stats=$("Stats_"+ suffix)
wave sf=$("shiftedfrequency_"+ suffix)
wave sl=$("shiftedwavelength_"+ suffix)
wave usf=$("unshiftedfrequency_"+ suffix)
wave wl=$("wavelength_"+ suffix)
wave TT=$("TTotal_"+ suffix)
wave TTS=$("TTSmooth_"+ suffix)
wave T1S=$("T1Smooth_"+ suffix)
wave T1=$("T1_"+ suffix)
wave T2S=$("T2Smooth_"+ suffix)
wave T2=$("T2_"+ suffix)
wave X3N=$("X3Norm_"+ suffix)
wave X3F=$("X3Frac_"+ suffix)
wave Y3N=$("Y3Norm_"+ suffix)
wave Y3F=$("Y3Frac_"+ suffix)
wave X2N=$("X2Norm_"+ suffix)
wave X2S=$("X2NormSmooth_"+ suffix)
wave X2F=$("X2Frac_"+ suffix)
wave X1N=$("X1Norm_"+ suffix)
wave X1S=$("X1NormSmooth_"+ suffix)
```

```
wave X1F=$("X1Frac_"+ suffix)
wave YAS=$("YaSmooth_"+ suffix)
wave YBS=$("YbSmooth_"+ suffix)
wave Y2N=$("Y2Norm_"+ suffix)
wave Y2S=$("Y2NormSmooth_"+ suffix)
wave Y2F=$("Y2Frac_"+ suffix)
wave Y1N=$("Y1Norm_"+ suffix)
wave Y1S=$("Y1NormSmooth_"+ suffix)
wave Y1F=$("Y1Frac_"+ suffix)
wave xans = $("XanSmooth_"+ suffix)
wave yans = $("YanSmooth_"+ suffix)
wave xbns = $("XbnSmooth_"+ suffix)
wave ybns = $("YbnSmooth_"+ suffix)
wave X1DC=$("xanc"+suffix)
wave Y1DC=$("yanc"+suffix)
wave X2DC=$("xbnc"+suffix)
wave Y2DC=$("ybnc"+suffix)
wave xancs = $("XancSmooth_"+ suffix)
wave yancs = $("YancSmooth_"+ suffix)
wave xbncs = $("XbncSmooth_"+ suffix)
wave ybncs = $("YbncSmooth_"+ suffix)
wave wmwns = $("wmwn_"+ suffix)
wave kvs = $("kv_"+ suffix)
wave csf = $("combshiftedfrequency_"+ suffix)
wave cuf = $("combunshiftedfrequency_"+ suffix)
wave csw = $("combshiftedwavelength_"+ suffix)
wave cwl = $("combwavelength_"+ suffix)
wave cswn = $("combshiftwnr_"+ suffix)
killwaves /z P, W, X1, Y1, X2, Y2, X3, DC, RRC, CRR, OF, MB
killwaves /z AMN, DMHZ, CombWL, TS, IMN, SM, PA, Pressure, Y3
killwaves /z shiftwnr, xa, xb, ya, yb, xas, yas, xbs, ybx, x1d
killwaves /z y1d, x2d, y2d, dbl, pab
killwaves /z fdc, fpa, fwa, RT, RTS, R1S, R1, R2S, R2, stats
killwaves /z sf, sl, usf, wl, TT, TTS, T1S, T1, T2S, T2, X3N, X3F
killwaves /z Y3N, Y3F, X2N, X2S, X2F, X1N, X1S, X1F, YAS, YBS, Y2N
killwaves /z Y2S, Y2F, Y1N, Y1S, Y1f, XANS, YANS, XBNS, YBNS, X1DC
killwaves /z Y1Dc, X2DC,Y2DC, xancs, yancs, xbncs, ybncs
killwaves /z wmwns, kvs, csf, cuf, csw, cwl , cswn
end
function rotatorr(x, y, degrees)
wave x, y
variable degrees
duplicate x x1
duplicate y y1
variable rads = degrees*pi/180
x = x1 * cos(rads) - y1 * sin(rads)
y = x1 * sin(rads) + y1 * cos(rads)
end
function rf_rot(x1, y1, x2, y2, degrees)
wave x1, y1, x2, y2
variable degrees
rotatorr(x1, x2, degrees)
rotatorr(y1, y2, degrees)
end
function rotator2(xwaveA, ywaveA, xwaveB,ywaveB,theta1,theta2)
```

```
wave xwaveA, ywaveA, xwaveB, ywaveB
variable theta1,theta2
duplicate xwaveA xwaveA2
duplicate ywaveA ywaveA2
duplicate xwaveB xwaveB2
duplicate ywaveB ywaveB2
variable thetaradians1,thetaradians2
thetaradians1=theta1/180*pi
thetaradians2=theta2/180*pi
// print thetaradians
xwaveA=xwaveA2*cos(thetaradians1)-ywaveA2*sin(thetaradians1)
ywaveA=xwaveA2*sin(thetaradians1)+ywaveA2*cos(thetaradians1)
xwaveB=xwaveB2*cos(thetaradians2) -ywaveB2*sin(thetaradians2)
ywaveB=xwaveB2*sin(thetaradians2)+ywaveB2*cos(thetaradians2)
end
// lorentz, DawsonF, GaussF, LorentzD, LorentzA, makedawson, makegaussian. Fitting functions
function lorentz(f, g, f0)
variable f, g, f0
return (-(100/pi) * ((f0^2 - f^2)^2) / ((f0^2 - f^2) + (g^2)*(f^2)))
end
function DawsonF(nu, fwhm, nu0)
variable nu, nu0, fwhm
variable gam = 2*Sqrt(Ln(2))*(nu-nu0)}/\textrm{fwhm
return (-2/Sqrt(pi))*dawson(gam)
end
function GaussF(nu, fwhm, nu0)
variable nu, nu0, fwhm
return exp( -(4*Ln(2)) * ((nu-nu0)^2) / (fwhm^2) )
end
function LorentzD(nu, fwhm, nu0)
variable nu, nu0, fwhm
return nu0*fwhm*(nu0^2 - nu^2) / ((nu0^2-nu^2)^2 + (nu^2)*(fwhm^2))
end
function LorentzA(nu, fwhm, nu0)
variable nu, nu0, fwhm
return nu0*fwhm*nu*fwhm / ((nu0^2-nu^2)^2 + (nu^2)*(fwhm^2))
end
function makedawson(xwave, ywave,fwhm,mu)
wave xwave, ywave
variable fwhm, mu
killwaves /z gam
duplicate xwave gam
gam = 2*Sqrt(Ln(2))*(xwave-mu)/fwhm
//display gam vs xwave
ywave= (-2/Sqrt(pi))*dawson(gam)
//display ywave vs xwave
end
function makegaussian(xwave,ywave,fwhm,mu)
```

```
wave xwave, ywave
variable mu, fwhm
ywave=exp( -(4*Ln(2)) * ((xwave-mu)^2) / (fwhm^2) )
end
function singlesplit(frequency,voltage,plusvoltage,minusvoltage)
// pos, pos, negative
variable frequency, voltage, plusvoltage,minusvoltage
variable factorA, factorB, F1, F2, F3, F4
variable VoltageA=voltage-plusvoltage //3865 - 10
variable VoltageB=voltage-minusvoltage //3865 - -10
factorA=sqrt ( }2*1.60217646e-19*VoltageA/4.6517405810e-26/299792458/299792458
// factor = v/c=sqrt(2*q*V/m/c/c)
factorB=sqrt( }2*1.60217646e-19*VoltageB/4.6517405810e-26/299792458/299792458
// factor = v/c=sqrt(2*q*V/m/c/c)
F1=frequency*sqrt((1+factorA)/(1-factorA)) // Fully Equal
F2=frequency*sqrt((1+factorB)/(1-factorB)) // Fully Equal
F3=frequency*sqrt((1-factorA)/(1+factorA)) // Fully Equal
F4=frequency*sqrt((1-factorB)/(1+factorB)) // Fully Equal
print F1
print F2
print F3
print F4
wave wave50
wave50[1]=F1
wave50[2]=F2
wave50[3]=F3
wave50[4]=F4
wave50[0]=frequency
end
function makemask(suffix,fewer)
string suffix
variable fewer
variable ii
wavestats /q $("xa"+suffix+"f")
make /o/d/n=(V_npnts) testwave
testwave=1
for(ii=0; ii<=V_npnts; ii+=1) // goes through entire
    if(mod(ii, fewer)==0)
    testwave[ii]=1
    else
    testwave[ii]=0
    endif
endfor
make /o/d/n=(V_npnts) $("Mask_"+suffix)
wave mask=$("Mask_"+suffix)
mask=testwave
//edit testwave, $("Mask_"+suffix)
end
function subgraphcomb(suffix,fewer)
string suffix
variable fewer
variable ii, jj
wavestats /q $("P_"+suffix)
Duplicate/O $("x1frac_"+suffix), $("x1frac_"+suffix+"S")
//Duplicate/O $("xa"+suffix+"f"), $("xa"+suffix+"S")
```

```
wave datawaveone = $("x1frac_"+suffix)
wave datawavetwo=$("x1frac_"+suffix+"S")
Duplicate/O $("CombShiftWnr_"+suffix), $("combshiftwnrS_"+suffix)
wave xwaveone=$("combshiftwnr_"+suffix)
wave xwavetwo=$("combshiftwnrS_"+suffix)
for(ii=0; ii<=V_npnts; ii+=1) // goes through entire
    if(mod(ii, fewer)==0)
    datawavetwo[ii]=datawaveone[ii]
    xwavetwo[ii]=xwaveone[ii]
    else
    datawavetwo[ii]=0
    xwavetwo[ii]=0
    endif
endfor
variable newlength
newlength=floor(V_npnts/fewer)
print newlength
make /o/d/n=(newlength) $("x1frac_"+suffix+"SS")
//make /o/d/n=(newlength) $("xa"+suffix+"SS")
make /o/d/n=(newlength) $("combshiftwnrSS_"+suffix)
//wave newywave=$("xa"+suffix+"SS")
wave newywave=$("x1frac_"+suffix+"SS")
wave newxwave=$("combshiftwnrSS_"+suffix)
jj=0
for(ii=0; ii<=V_npnts; ii+=1) // goes through new entire wave
    if(xwavetwo[ii]==0) // It's never taking this case
else
newywave[jj]=datawavetwo[ii]
newxwave[jj]=xwavetwo[ii]
jj=1+jj
endif
endfor
display $("x1frac_"+suffix+"SS") vs $("combshiftwnrSS_"+suffix)
ModifyGraph highTrip(bottom)=1e+06
ModifyGraph mode=2,1\mathrm{ size }=3,\textrm{rgb}=(0,0,0)
appendtograph $("fit_x1frac_"+suffix)
end
function subgraph(suffix,fewer)
string suffix
variable fewer
variable ii, jj
wavestats /q $("P_"+suffix)
print V_npnts
Duplicate/O $("x1frac_"+suffix), $("x1frac_"+suffix+"S")
print "duplicated data"
wave datawaveone = $("x1frac_"+suffix)
wave datawavetwo=$("x1frac_"+suffix+"S")
Duplicate/O $("ShiftWnr_"+suffix), $("ShiftWnrS_"+suffix)
print "duplicated xwave"
wave xwaveone=$("shiftwnr_"+suffix)
wave xwavetwo=$("shiftwnrS_"+suffix)
for(ii=0; ii<=V_npnts; ii+=1) // goes through entire
    if(mod(ii, fewer)==0)
    datawavetwo[ii]=datawaveone[ii]
    xwavetwo[ii]=xwaveone[ii]
    else
```

```
    datawavetwo[ii]=0
    xwavetwo[ii]=0
    endif
endfor
print "made mask"
variable newlength
newlength=floor(V_npnts/fewer)
print newlength
make /o/d/n=(newlength) $("x1frac_"+suffix+"SS")
make /o/d/n=(newlength) $("shiftwnrSS_"+suffix)
wave newywave=$("x1frac_"+suffix+"SS")
wave newxwave=$("shiftwnrSS_"+suffix)
jj=0
for(ii=0; ii<=V_npnts; ii+=1) // goes through new entire wave
    if(xwavetwo[ii]==0) // It's never taking this case
else
newywave[jj]=datawavetwo[ii]
newxwave[jj]=xwavetwo[ii]
jj=1+jj
endif
endfor
display $("x1frac_"+suffix+"SS") vs $("shiftwnrSS_"+suffix)
ModifyGraph highTrip(bottom)=1e+06
ModifyGraph mode=2,1size=3,rgb=(0,0,0)
appendtograph $("fit_x1frac_"+suffix)
end
function looper()
variable ii, beg=1, num=19
for(ii=0; ii<num; ii+=1) // goes through number of waves
makegrphs(num2str(beg+ii))
endfor
end
function recalcomb(suffix)
string suffix
display $("kv_"+suffix) vs $("CombShiftWnr_"+suffix)
ModifyGraph highTrip(bottom)=100000
end
function makegrphs(suffix)
string suffix
display $("kv_"+suffix) vs $("CombShiftWnr_"+suffix)
ModifyGraph highTrip(bottom)=100000
end
function looper2()
wave loopervariable
variable ii, beg=0, num=18
for(ii=0; ii<num; ii+=1) // goes through number of waves
difference(num2str(loopervariable[ii]))
endfor
end
function difference(suffix)//,beg,endg)
string suffix
variable beg, endg
//wavestats /q $( "Xa_"+ suffix)
```

```
//print V_max-V_min
wavestats /q $( "PABaseline_"+ suffix)
print V_avg
//wavestats /q /R=[beg,endg] $( "PA_"+ suffix)
//print V_sdev
wavestats /q $( "DetBaseline_"+ suffix)
print V_avg
end
function findsignalandnoise(suffix)
string suffix
wave WN =$( "ShiftWnr_"+ suffix)
wave X1N =$( "X1Norm_"+ suffix)
wave Y1N = $("Y1Norm_"+ suffix)
wave X2N = $("X2Norm_"+ suffix)
wave Y2N = $("Y2Norm_"+ suffix)
wave X1S =$( "X1Norm_"+ suffix)
wave Y1S = $("Y1Norm_"+ suffix)
wave X2S = $("X2Norm_"+ suffix)
wave Y2S = $("Y2Norm_"+ suffix)
wave R1S = $("R1Smooth_"+ suffix)
wave T1S = $("T1Smooth_"+ suffix)
wave R2S = $("R2Smooth_"+ suffix)
wave T2S = $("T2Smooth_"+ suffix)
wave R1 = $("R1_"+ suffix)
wave T1 = $("T1_"+ suffix)
wave R2 = $("R2_"+ suffix)
wave T2 = $("T2"+ suffix)
wave RT = $("RTotal_"+ suffix)
wave TT = $("TTotal_"+ suffix)
wave RTS = $("RTSmooth_"+ suffix)
wave TTS = $("TTSmooth_"+ suffix)
//display RTS vs WN
//ModifyGraph highTrip(bottom)=1e+06
//wavestats /q
//display r1s vs WN
//ModifyGraph highTrip(bottom)=1e+06
//display r2s vs WN
//ModifyGraph highTrip(bottom)=1e+06
//display RT vs WN
//ModifyGraph highTrip(bottom)=1e+06
//display RTS vs WN
//ModifyGraph highTrip(bottom)=1e+06
end
function makegraphs(suffix1,suffix2)
string suffix1, suffix2
display $( "X1Norm_"+ suffix1) vs $("shiftwnr_"+suffix1)
appendtograph $( "X1Norm_"+ suffix2) vs $("shiftwnr_"+suffix2)
ModifyGraph highTrip(bottom)=100000
appendtograph $( "X1NormSmooth_"+ suffix1) vs $("shiftwnr_"+suffix1)
appendtograph $( "X1NormSmooth_"+ suffix2) vs $("shiftwnr_"+suffix2)
ModifyGraph rgb($( "X1NormSmooth_"+ suffix1))=(0,0,65280)
ModifyGraph rgb($( "X1Norm_"+ suffix2) )=(0,0,0)
ModifyGraph rgb($( "X1NormSmooth_"+ suffix2) )=(0,65280,0)
end
function addheterodyne2(suffix)
```

```
string suffix
wave in2plus
getaxis bottom
SetAxis /A=0 /Z bottom V_min,V_max
wave FP1W=$("Fplus1_"+suffix)
wave FM1W=$("Fminus1_"+suffix)
wave FP2W=$("Fplus2_"+suffix)
wave FM2W=$("Fminus2_"+suffix)
wave FP1A = $("Fplus1A_"+suffix)
wave FP1B = $("Fplus1B_"+suffix)
wave FP2A = $("Fplus2A_"+suffix)
wave FP2B = $("Fplus2B_"+suffix)
wave FM1A = $("Fminus1A_"+suffix)
wave FM1B = $("Fminus1B_"+suffix)
wave FM2A = $("Fminus2A_"+suffix)
wave FM2B = $("Fminus2B_"+suffix)
appendtograph /r in2plus vs FM2W
ModifyGraph rgb(In2plus)=(13056,0,0)
appendtograph /r in2plus vs $("Fplus2_"+suffix)
ModifyGraph rgb(In2plus)=(13056,0,0)
appendtograph /r in2plus vs FM1W
ModifyGraph rgb(In2plus#1)=(13056,0,0)
appendtograph /r in2plus vs FP1W
ModifyGraph rgb(In2plus#2)=(0,0,52224)
appendtograph /r in2plus vs FP2W
ModifyGraph rgb(In2plus#3)=(0,0,52224)
appendtograph /r in2plus vs FM2A
ModifyGraph rgb(In2plus#4)=(13056,0,0)
appendtograph /r in2plus vs FM2B
ModifyGraph rgb(In2plus#5)=(13056,0,0)
appendtograph /r in2plus vs FM1A
ModifyGraph rgb(In2plus#6)=(13056,0,0)
appendtograph /r in2plus vs FM1B
ModifyGraph rgb(In2plus#7)=(13056,0,0)
appendtograph /r in2plus vs FP2A
ModifyGraph rgb(In2plus#8)=(0,0,52224)
appendtograph /r in2plus vs FP2B
ModifyGraph rgb(In2plus#9)=(0,0,52224)
appendtograph /r in2plus vs FP1A
ModifyGraph rgb(In2plus#10)=(0,0,52224)
appendtograph /r in2plus vs FP1B
ModifyGraph rgb(In2plus#11)=(0,0,52224)
ModifyGraph mode(In2plus)=1,mode(In2plus#1)=1,mode(In2plus#2)=1
ModifyGraph mode(In2plus#3)=1,mode(In2plus#4)=1,mode(In2plus#5)=1
ModifyGraph mode(In2plus#6)=1, mode(In2plus#7)=1, mode(In2plus#8)=1
ModifyGraph mode(In2plus#9)=1, mode(In2plus#10)=1, mode(In2plus#11)=1
end
function makeheterodyne(suffix,voltage,plusvoltage,minusvoltage,frequencyMHz)
// pos, pos, negative, frequency
string suffix
variable voltage, plusvoltage,minusvoltage, frequencyMHz
variable frequency // cm-1
makedoppler(suffix,voltage,plusvoltage,minusvoltage)
changeheterodyne(suffix,frequencyMHz)
end
function changeheterodyne(suffix,frequencyMHz)
```

```
string suffix
variable frequencyMHz
variable nln2plus, frequency // cm-1
wavestats /Q LN2plus //determine number of rows in input N2+ wave
nLN2plus=V_npnts
wave fminus2, fminus1, fplus1,fplus2
frequency=frequencyMHz/1000/29.9792458
make /o/n=(nLN2plus) $("Fplus1A_"+suffix)=0
make /o/n=(nLN2plus) $("Fplus1B_"+suffix)=0
make /o/n=(nLN2plus) $("Fplus2A_"+suffix)=0
make /o/n=(nLN2plus) $("Fplus2B_"+suffix)=0
make /o/n=(nLN2plus) $("Fminus1A_"+suffix)=0
make /o/n=(nLN2plus) $("Fminus1B_"+suffix)=0
make /o/n=(nLN2plus) $("Fminus2A_"+suffix)=0
make /o/n=(nLN2plus) $("Fminus2B_"+suffix)=0
// make /d/o/n=50 $("StatS_"+ suffix)
// wave stats=$("StatS_"+ suffix)
wave FP1A = $("Fplus1A_"+suffix)
wave FP1B = $("Fplus1B_"+suffix)
wave FP2A = $("Fplus2A_"+suffix)
wave FP2B = $("Fplus2B_"+suffix)
wave FM1A = $("Fminus1A_"+suffix)
wave FM1B = $("Fminus1B_"+suffix)
wave FM2A = $("Fminus2A_"+suffix)
wave FM2B = $("Fminus2B_"+suffix)
//Fplus1A=0, Fminus1A=0,Fplus2A=0, Fminus2A=0, Fplus1B=0,
//Fminus1B=0,Fplus2B=0, Fminus2B=0
Fp1A=Fplus1+frequency
Fp1B=Fplus1-frequency
Fp2A=Fplus2+frequency
Fp2B=Fplus2-frequency
Fm1A=Fminus1+frequency
Fm1B=Fminus1-frequency
Fm2A=Fminus2+frequency
Fm2B=Fminus2-frequency
end
function fullbinner(number,textwave1) // Andrew's binning program
wave/T textwave1
variable number
string suffix
variable start,stop,step
variable binlength, ii,bin, low, jj
start=10853.4
stop=10853.5
step=((20)/1e3)/29.9792458
binlength=ceil((stop-start)/step)
make /o/d/n=(binlength) binaxis=0
make /o/d/n=(binlength) bincount=0
make /o/d/n=(binlength) binAX=0
make /o/d/n=(binlength) binAY=0
make /o/d/n=(binlength) binBX=0
make /o/d/n=(binlength) binBY=0
for(ii=0; ii <=binlength; ii+=1) // fills bin x axis
binaxis[ii]=ii*step+start
```

```
endfor
for(jj=0; jj <(number); jj+=1) // goes through loader program each time
suffix=textWave1[jj]
wave AX= $("x1frac_"+suffix) // previously had C / D
wave AY=$("y1frac_"+suffix)
wave BX=$("x2frac_"+suffix)
wave BY=$("y2frac_"+suffix)
wave wn = $("ShiftWnr_"+suffix)
wavestats /Q $("ShiftWnr_"+suffix)
low=V_npnts-1
for(ii=0; ii <=low; ii+=1) // bins each load
bin=0
bin=trunc((wn[ii]-start)/step)
bincount[bin]+=1
binAX[bin]+=AX[ii]
binAY[bin]+=AY[ii]
binBX[bin]+=BX[ii]
binBY[bin]+=BY[ii]
endfor
endfor
for(ii=0; ii <=binlength; ii+=1) // bins each load
binax[ii]=binax[ii]/bincount[ii]
binbx[ii]=binbx[ii]/bincount[ii]
binby[ii]=binby[ii]/bincount[ii]
binay[ii]=binay[ii]/bincount[ii]
endfor
display binAX vs binaxis
ModifyGraph mode=0
getaxis bottom
SetAxis /A=0 /Z bottom V_min,V_max
ModifyGraph highTrip(bottom)=1e+08
Label bottom "Frequency (cm\\S-1\\M)"
Label left "NICE OHMS Fractional Absorption"
//addheterodyne()
//SetAxis right 0,5
display binAY vs binaxis
ModifyGraph mode=0
getaxis bottom
SetAxis /A=0 /Z bottom V_min,V_max
ModifyGraph highTrip(bottom)=1e+08
Label bottom "Frequency (cm\\S-1\\M)"
Label left "NICE OHMS Fractional Absorption"
//addheterodyne()
//SetAxis right 0,5
display binBX vs binaxis
ModifyGraph mode=0
getaxis bottom
SetAxis /A=0 /Z bottom V_min,V_max
ModifyGraph highTrip(bottom)=1e+08
Label bottom "Frequency (cm\\S-1\\M)"
Label left "NICE OHMS Fractional Absorption"
//addheterodyne()
//SetAxis right 0,5
```

```
display binBY vs binaxis
ModifyGraph mode=0
getaxis bottom
SetAxis /A=O /Z bottom V_min,V_max
ModifyGraph highTrip(bottom)=1e+08
Label bottom "Frequency (cm\\S-1\\M)"
Label left "NICE OHMS Fractional Absorption"
//addheterodyne()
//SetAxis right 0,5
end
```


## Abbreviations

Table E.1: Abbreviations used in this dissertation.

| Abbreviation | Definition |
| :---: | :---: |
| AC | Alternating current |
| AOM | Acoustooptic modulator |
| $\beta$ | Heterodyne modulation depth |
| BiFi | Birefringent filter |
| BPM | Beam profile monitor |
| CF | Conflat |
| CRDS | Cavity ringdown spectroscopy |
| cw | Continuous wave |
| DC | Direct current |
| DFG | Difference frequency generation laser |
| DDS | Direct digital synthesizer |
| DLASFIB | Direct laser absorption spectroscopy of a fast ion beam |
| DMRR | Downmixed repetition rate |
| DRO | Dielectric resonant oscillator |
| DSP | Digital signal processor |
| EDFA | Erbium doped fiber laser |
| EOM | Electrooptic modulator |
| F | Finesse |
| FIB | Fast Ion Beam |
| FM | Frequency modulation |
| FM1 | Folding mirror 1 |
| FOB | Fraction of band |
| FWHM | Full width half max |
| FSR | Free spectral range |
| $f_{\text {REP }}$ | Frequency comb repetition frequency |
| $f_{\text {CEO }}$ | Frequency comb carrier envelope offset frequency |
| fs | femtosecond |
| $G^{+}$ | Saturation parameter |
| HWHM | Half width half max |
| HWP | Half wave plate |
| JILA | Joint Institute for laboratory Astrophysics |
| LED | Light emitting diode |
| LP | Low pass |
| MOS | Matisse optical set |
| NIR | Near infrared |
| NICE-OHMS | Noise-immune cavity-enhanced optical-heterodyne molecular spectroscopy |
| $\nu^{+}$ | Blue shifted Doppler component |
| $\nu^{-}$ | Red shifted Doppler component |
| OC | Output coupler |
| PBS | Polarizing beam splitter |
| PCF | Photonic crystal fiber |
| PEEK | Polyether ether ketone |
| PM | Pump Mirror |
| PMMA | Polymethyl methacrylate |
| PPLN | Periodically poled lithium niobate crystal |
| PZETL | Piezoetalon (thick etalon) |
| QWP | Quarter wave plate |
| QPM | Quasi phase matching |
| Continued on | ext page... |

Table E. 1 continued.

| Abbreviation | Definition |
| :---: | :--- |
| RAM | Residual amplitude modulation |
| RF | Radiofrequency |
| SCRIBES | Sensitive cooled resolved ion beam spectroscopy |
| SHG | Sum harmonic generation laser |
| SHV-5 | Safe high voltage connector $(5 \mathrm{kV})$ |
| SHV-20 | Safe high voltage connector $(20 \mathrm{kV})$ |
| TE | Thin etalon |
| Ti:Sapphire | Titanium sapphire laser |
| $\theta_{B}$ | Brewster's angle |
| TGG | Terbium gallium garnet |
| TOF | Time of flight mass spectrometry |
| VMS | Velocity modulation spectroscopy |
| XPS | Frequency comb carrier envelope offset interferometer. |
| YAG | Yttrium aluminum garnet laser |
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